

2005 ANNUAL REPORT



PRODUCING & DISTRIBUTING OUR OWN LINE OF HIGH QUALITY PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS





FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

	2005	2004	2003	2002
Net Sales	\$ 44,901,645	\$ 63,781,219	\$42,486,758	\$25,126,214
Gross Profit	13,484,737	36,924,344	26,228,964	16,673,537
Operating (Loss)/Income	(53,825,499)	20,830,969	19,060,106	11,425,483
Net (Loss)/Income	(32,779,597)	13,215,454	11,666,887	7,195,990
Basic (Loss)/Earnings Per Share	(1.36)	0.63	0.58	0.36
Diluted (Loss)/Earnings Per Share	(1.36)	0.63	0.58	0.36
Total Assets	94,917,060	131,904,084	31,834,544	17,338,503

MISSION STATEMENT

Lannett is committed to providing high quality, cost-effective pharmaceutical products. Its focus is the development and manufacturing of bio-equivalent generic substitutes for branded products with a wide range of medical indications. Armed with scientific expertise, market savvy, and a certified manufacturing facility, the ultimate goal of Lannett is to maximize its profits, and increase shareholder value while reducing the cost of healthcare.



DEAR SHAREHOLDERS:

IT IS APPROPRIATE TO REFLECT ON OUR RECENTLY COMPLETED 2005 FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE AND TO TAKE A MOMENT TO THINK ABOUT OUR COMPANY IN TERMS OF WHERE WE'VE BEEN, WHERE WE ARE TODAY, AND WHERE WE ARE GOING IN THE FUTURE. AS WE ALL KNOW, LANNETT IS THE OLDEST GENERIC DRUG COMPANY IN THE NATION. WE HAVE PROVIDED HEALTH CARE PRODUCTS AND SERVICES SINCE WORLD WAR II.

Over the past year, Lannett delivered several positive achievements as well as successfully faced several threats to our business. Lannett successfully acquired, built-out, and moved into a new facility at 9001 Torresdale Avenue. Our Company realized improvements and increased efficiencies through a new warehouse, new packaging operations and a new laboratory. We also freed up valuable space by moving some administrative functions to the new building. Lannett launched several new products to market including: phentermine for weight loss; terbutaline for asthma; hydromorphone for pain management; and ciprofloxacin to treat bacterial infections.

During fiscal 2005, Lannett was recognized by the Healthcare Distribution Management Association (HDMA) as the "Best Overall Pharmaceutical Products Manufacturer with Sales to HDMA Distributors Under \$300 Million." In an impressive public acknowledgement of our efforts, Lannett was recognized in October 2004 by Forbes Magazine as being ranked number three on their "Top 200 Best Small Companies" list. We should all be very proud of these accomplishments. Our challenge will be to build on this impressive foundation with a new list of accomplishments over the next year.

We also faced some of the most severe competitive threats of Lannett's history. Aggressive price cutting by many generic pharmaceutical companies hurt profitability for the entire industry. Fortunately, the culture of our Company and character of our employees allowed Lannett to weather this difficult competitive environment. Lannett made a significant investment in a potentially profitable drug to treat thyroid disorders, levothyroxine sodium. While there is still upside opportunity to this investment, it did not work out as we had hoped because the FDA approval for marketing of this drug was granted in full six months later than we expected. This delayed FDA approval put Lannett at a serious competitive disadvantage.

These threats notwithstanding, Lannett still ended the year with more cash in the bank than when we started the year as we generated \$8 million in cash flow from operations from \$45 million in sales. We also added a number of very capable and energetic employees to the Lannett team along with a list of new and improved equipment to compliment our new facilities and help our business grow.



WILLIAM FARBER, R.PH.

Chairman



ARTHUR P. BEDROSIAN
President and CEO

I am pleased to inform you that Lannett successfully passed Sarbanes-Oxley regulatory compliance testing at year end. This regulation ensures that publicly traded companies, like Lannett, have an appropriate level of internal controls in place to prevent fraud and financial misconduct. Through the hard work of many employees, Lannett sailed through this compliance process with great success.

We continue to automate our business with the goal of achieving a paperless office. While this goal may seem impossible at times, I assure you that we are making progress. The successful implementation of the SAP system will help us move forward with increased efficiency as we improve the way we capture and communicate data and results of our operations. This system will help us to make intelligent business decisions and become more competitive in the future.

In anticipation of future growth, Lannett's Board of Directors recently added two new members to the Board to increase the level of expertise in a variety of areas. Dr. Garnet Peck, a professor from Purdue University, has been appointed to the Board. Dr. Peck will bring a high level of industry expertise and pharmaceutical operations consulting background to Lannett. Dr. Kenneth Sinclair, chair of accounting at Lehigh University, has also been added to the Lannett Board. Dr. Sinclair will bring his cost analysis and manufacturing accounting expertise to Lannett. We are excited to have such qualified individuals agree to join our Board and participate in our future growth.

Lannett's opportunity for future growth is stronger now than at any time over the last year. Most recently, Lannett launched two new and exciting products. Esterified estrogen and methyltestosterone has tremendous opportunity for growth. Lannett also launched the generic version of Bactrim, which further expands our product line up and makes our Company more attractive to do business with for large national drugstore chains.

It is a combination of our significant R&D effort, our new equipment, systems and facilities, and the tireless effort of our valuable employees that will allow Lannett to make the exciting transition from being a good company to becoming a great company.

Sincerely,

William Farber Chairman

Lannett Company, Inc.

Arthur P. Bedrosian President and CEO



EMPLOYEE LIST

Patricia Adamson Aurea Almazan Benito Amado Jessica Banff Sheryl Banks Partha Basumallik Arthur Bedrosian Donna Bennett Scott Bertolami Manish Bhagat Joshua Birch Amin Bowman Renee Brown Joyce Bustard Paul Butts Theresa Carroll Luvina Carter Sandra Caserta Thomas Chacko Michael Clark Irma Claudio John Cook Ralph Cooper Staci Copman Philip Cristiano Deborah Daniels Juanita Davie Valerie Davis Simon Daw Lilia Delgado Loc (Jeremy) Dinh Frederick Dinnini Derek Dobson Dan Dominguez Robin Dornewass Jason Edwards Steve Ellingson John Ewald Denise Fairman Johnson Fernandez Wallace Ferrell Romeo Fider Nina Fleysh Robert Foley

Henry Furlong

Christine Gagne Alla Gampel Tslina Gampel Anthony Gawronski Mathew George Edward Glover Daniel Gottlieb Jeffrey Guadagno Allison Haddock Mulugetta Haile Lionel Hampton Jennifer Hernandez Kevin Higgins Jamie Holt James Horan Abraham Jacob Desiree Jefferies Michael Jones Brian Kearns Shaheen Khan Sofia Kipnis Christine Kirn Jeremy Klein Marie Klein Michael Kobel Anthony Kozar Hilda Krekevich Michael Krekevich Sabu Kuriakose Sam Kurian Marc Kurtzman Duc Lam Beryldene Liburd Yuh-Herng Lin Gregory Liscio Joseph Lock Lorraine Locke Sun Loesch Christopher Lucas Arezu Madani Carol Maio Beatrice Marengo

Christopher Marks

Richard Matchett

Thomas Mathew

Varghese Mattammel Steven Mays Patricia McBride Lynn McBride-Lazicki Michael McCormick James McMonagle Rita Melendez Michelle Miller John Morales Mayietta Morris-Moore Asa Mosby Daniel Moser Denise Murphy Herbert Murphy John Murphy Brian Myers Joseph Naluparayil Varsha Nariewala Barbara Ney James Nichols David Oliver Henry Ortiz Ravindra Oza Chintan Patel Nileshkumar Patel Elena Pena Zhong Peng Michael Perreault Thomas Peters Michael Phares Alan Phillips Barbara Pierce Subhash Poreddy Kevin Porter Suresh Potti Elizabeth Powers Saudy Ramos Heather Regitko MaryBeth Reilly Adam Reuter James Riddick DelRoy Roach Scott Rodman John Ryman **Ernest Sabo**

Carlos Sacanell Raisa Saltisky Bernard Sandiford Caroline Sandlin Thomas Santella William Schreck Daniel Septak Haroun Sillah Kevin Smith Linda Soroka Francis Spires Jr. Steven Stein Thomas Stein Kristie Stephens Catherine Stoklosa Paulette Strand Elena Streltsova Carmen Suarez del Villar Jenumon Thomas Amy Trinidad Chau Truong Anthony Tursi Andrew Uerkwitz Adam Valvano Rony Varuahese Mark Velardo Nelli Vorobyeva **Bradley Wagner** Kevin Walker Michael Walker Katherine Weaver George Wei Ronald Wenger Kenneth White Jovce Williams Brian Wilson Mary Witt Mary Wojtiw Gerald Woolf Valeria Yelkin Steven Youmans Varghese Zachariah Ping Zhong Isaak Zilberman Denise Zobnowski

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

(Mark C	One)
[X]	ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005
	OR
[]	TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to
	Commission File No. 0-9036
State of	LANNETT COMPANY, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) Delaware 23-0787-699
State of	Incorporation I.R.S. Employer I.D. No.
	9000 State Road Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19136 (215) 333-9000
	(Address of principal executive offices and telephone number)
	Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None
	Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: Common Stock, \$.001 Par Value (Title of class)
	Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or f the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the nt was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No
	Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not ad herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information at incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes No _X
Act).	Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Yes $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ No $\underline{}$
Exchang	Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12B-12 of the ge Act). Yes \underline{X}

Aggregate market value of Common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant, as of December 31, 2004 was \$99,942,641 based on the closing price of the stock on the American Stock Exchange.

As of August 25, 2005, there were 24,118,674 shares of the issuer's common stock, \$.001 par value, outstanding.

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

General

Lannett Company, Inc. (the "Company," "Lannett," "we," or "us") was incorporated in 1942 under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. In 1991, the Company merged into Lannett Company, Inc., a Delaware corporation. The sole purpose of the merger was to reincorporate the Company as a Delaware corporation. The Company develops, manufactures, packages, markets and distributes pharmaceutical products sold under generic chemical names. References herein to a fiscal year refer to the Company's fiscal year ending June 30.

Historically, the Company has competed for an increasing share of the generic market. Although net sales and operating income declined in fiscal 2005, the Company plans to improve future financial performance as a result of additions to the Company's line of generic products, additional sales to current customers, higher unit sales and a management focus on minimizing unnecessary overhead and administrative costs. Some of the new generic products sold by Lannett were developed and are manufactured by Lannett while others are manufactured by others. The products manufactured by Lannett and those manufactured by others are identified in the section entitled "**Products**" in Item 1 of this Form 10-K.

Over the past several years, Lannett has consistently devoted resources to research and development (R&D) projects, including new generic product offerings. The costs of these R&D efforts are expensed during the periods incurred. The Company believes that such investments may be paid back in future years as it submits applications to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and when it receives marketing approval from the FDA to distribute such products. In addition to using cash generated from its operations, the Company has entered into a number of financing agreements with third parties to provide for additional cash when it is needed. These financing agreements are more fully described in the section entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources" in Item 7 of this Form 10-K. The Company has embarked on an industrious plan to grow in future years. In addition to organic growth to be achieved through its own R&D efforts, the Company has also initiated marketing projects with other companies in order to expand future revenue projections. The Company expects that its growing list of generic drugs under development will drive future growth. The Company also intends to use the infrastructure it has created, and to continually devote resources to additional R&D projects. The following strategies highlight Lannett's plan:

Research and Development

There are numerous stages in the generic drug development process:

1.) Formulation and Analytical Method Development: Once a drug candidate is selected for future sales, product development scientists perform various experiments on the incorporation of active ingredients into a dosage form. These experiments include the creation of a number of product formulations to determine which formula will be most

suitable for the Company's subsequent development process. Various formulations, are tested in the laboratory to measure results against the innovator drug. During this time, the Company may use reverse engineering methods on samples of the innovator drug to determine the type and quantity of inactive ingredients. During the formulation phase, the Company's research and development chemists begin to develop an analytical, laboratory testing method. The successful development of this test method will allow the Company to test developmental and commercial batches of the product in the future. All of the information used in the final formulation, including the analytical test methods adopted for the generic drug candidate, will be included as part of the Chemical, Manufacturing and Controls section of the Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) submitted to the FDA in the generic drug application

- 2.) Scale-up: After the product development scientists and the R&D chemists agree on a final formulation to use in moving the drug candidate forward in the developmental process, the Company will attempt to increase the batch size of the product. The batch size represents the standard magnitude to be used in manufacturing a batch of the product. The determination of batch size will affect the amount of raw material that is input into the manufacturing process, and the number of expected tablets or capsules to be created during the production cycle. The Company attempts to determine batch size based on the amount of active ingredient in each dosage, the available production equipment and unit sales projections. The scaled-up batch is then generally produced in the Company's commercial manufacturing facilities. During this manufacturing process, the Company will document the equipment used, the amount of time in each major processing step and any other steps needed to consistently produce a batch of that product. This information, generally referred to as the validated manufacturing process, will be included in the Company's generic drug application submitted to the FDA.
- 3.) Clinical testing: After a successful scale-up of the generic drug batch, the Company then schedules and performs clinical testing procedures on the product if required by the FDA. These procedures, which are generally outsourced to third parties, include testing the absorption of the generic product in the human bloodstream, compared to the absorption of the innovator drug. The results of this testing are then documented and reported to the Company to determine the "success" of the generic drug product. Success, in this context, means the successful comparison of the Company's product related to the innovator product. Since bioequivalence and a stable formula are the primary requirements for a generic drug approval (assuming the manufacturing plant is in compliance with the FDA's manufacturing quality standards), lengthy and costly clinical trials proving safety and efficacy, which are generally required by the FDA for innovator drug approvals, are unnecessary for generic companies. If the results are successful, the Company will continue the collection of documentation and information for assembly of the drug application.
- 4.) Submission of the ANDA for FDA review and approval: The ANDA process became formalized under The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, also known as the Hatch-Waxman Act. An ANDA represents a generic drug company's application to the FDA to manufacture and/or distribute a drug that is the generic equivalent to an already-approved brand named ("innovator") drug. Once bioequivalence studies are complete, the generic drug company submits an ANDA to the FDA for marketing approval.

In a presentation to the Generic Pharmaceutical Association on February 26, 2005, Lester M. Crawford, D.V.M., Ph.D., and the Acting Commissioner of Food and Drugs at the FDA, said that the median approval time for a new ANDA for the FDA's Fiscal 2004 year was 16.2 months. However, there is no guarantee that the FDA will approve a company's ANDA or that any approval will be given within this time frame.

When a generic drug company files an ANDA to the FDA, it must certify that no patents are listed in the Orange Book, the FDA's reference listing of approved drugs, or listed patents have expired. If there are patents covering some aspect of the innovator drug, the applicant must state whether it is seeking approval for marketing after the expiration of the Orange Book patents; or the patents listed therein are invalid, unenforceable, or not infringed—usually referred to as a Paragraph IV Certification. ANDAs containing Paragraph IV certifications frequently result in legal actions by the innovator drug companies. These legal activities can trigger an automatic 30 month stay of our ANDA if the innovator company files a claim and it will delay the approval of the generic company's ANDA. Currently, Lannett has not filed two Paragraph IV certifications in its ANDAs.

Over the past several years, the Company has hired additional personnel in product development, production, formulation and the R&D laboratory. Lannett believes that its ability to select appropriate products for development, develop such products on a timely basis, obtain FDA approval, and achieve economies in production will be critical for its success in the generic industry. The strategy involves a combination of decisions focusing on long-term profitability and a secure market position with fewer challenges from competitors.

Competition in generic pharmaceutical manufacturing will continue to grow as more pharmaceutical products lose patent protection. However, the Company believes that with strong technical know-how, low overhead expenses, and efficient product development, manufacturing and marketing, it can remain competitive. It is the intention of the Company to reinvest as much capital as possible to develop new products since the success of any generic pharmaceutical manufacturer depends on its ability to continually introduce new generic products to the market. Over time, if a generic drug market for a specific product remains stable and consumer demand remains consistent, it is likely that additional generic manufacturing companies will pursue the generic product by developing it, submitting an ANDA, and potentially receiving marketing approval from the FDA. If this occurs, the generic competition for the drug increases, and a company's market share may drop. In addition to reduced unit sales, the unit selling price may also drop due to the product's availability from additional suppliers. This may have the effect of reducing a generic company's future net sales of the product. Due to these factors that may potentially affect a generic company's future results of operations, the ability to properly assess the competitive effect of new products, including market share, the number of competitors and the generic unit price erosion, is critical to a generic company's R&D plan. A generic company may be able to reduce the potential exposure to competitive influences that negatively affect its sales and profits by having several drug candidates in its R&D pipeline. As such, a generic company may be able to avoid becoming materially dependent on the sales of one drug. Unlike the branded, innovator companies, Lannett currently does not own proprietary drug patents. However, the typical intellectual property in the generic drug industry are the ANDAs that generic drug companies own.

Validated Pharmaceutical Capabilities

Lannett's manufacturing facility consists of 31,000 square feet on 3.5 acres owned by the Company. In July 2003, the Company signed a lease/purchase option agreement for a 63,000 square foot building located at 9001 Torresdale Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. On November 26, 2003, the Company exercised its option to purchase the facility. The initial renovation of the building is complete and the Company moved some of its staff and operations into that building in the fall of 2004. Lannett currently plans to move certain additional non manufacturing personnel into the 9001 Torresdale building over the next year.

Many FDA regulations relating to cGMP (current Good Manufacturing Practices) have been adopted by the Company in the last several years. In designing its facilities, full attention was given to material flow, equipment and automation, quality control and inspection. A granulator, an automatic film coating machine, high-speed tablet presses, blenders, encapsulators, fluid bed dryers, high shear mixers and high-speed bottle filling are a few examples of the sophisticated product development, manufacturing and packaging equipment the Company uses. In addition, the Company's Quality Control laboratory facilities are equipped with high precision instruments, like automated high-pressure liquid chromatographs, gas chromatographs and laser particle sizers.

Lannett continues to pursue its comprehensive plan for improving and maintaining quality control and quality assurance programs for its pharmaceutical development and manufacturing facilities. The FDA periodically inspects the Company's production facilities to determine the Company's compliance with the FDA's manufacturing standards. Typically, after the FDA completes its inspection, it will issue the Company a report, entitled a Form 483, containing the FDA's observations of possible violations of cGMP. Such observations may be minor or severe in nature. The degree of severity of the observation is generally determined by the time necessary to remediate the cGMP violation, any consequences upon the consumer of the Company's drug products, and whether the observation is subject to a Warning Letter from the FDA. By strictly enforcing the various FDA guidelines, namely Good Laboratory Practices, Standard Operating Procedures and cGMP, the Company has successfully reduced the number of observations in its latest FDA inspection. The Company believes that such observations are minor in nature, and will be remediated in a timely fashion with no material effect on its future results of operations.

Sales and Customer Relationships

The Company sells its pharmaceutical products to generic pharmaceutical distributors, drug wholesalers, chain drug retailers, private label distributors, mail-order pharmacies, other pharmaceutical manufacturers, managed care organizations, hospital buying groups and health maintenance organizations. It promotes its products through direct sales, trade shows, trade publications, and bids. The Company also licenses the marketing of its products to other manufacturers and/or marketers in private label agreements.

Despite the decline of Company sales in Fiscal 2005, the Company continues to expand its sales to the major chain drug stores, including CVS, Brooks, Rite Aid and Walgreen's. The mail order segment continued to be one of the fastest growing classes in the Company's distribution efforts. Such companies, as Medco Health, Express Scripts and Caremark are leaders in sales growth in

the pharmaceutical market. Lannett also increased distribution in the wholesaler segment led by Cardinal Health and McKesson Corporation. Lannett is recognized by its customers as a dependable supplier of high quality generic pharmaceuticals. The Company's policy of maintaining an adequate inventory and fulfilling orders in a timely manner has contributed to this reputation.

Management

As the Company continues to grow, additional managers will be hired to complement the skilled team. These new managers will serve in a variety of functions, including Research, Sales, Finance, Quality Control, Quality Assurance, Regulatory Compliance and Production. Ultimately, the execution of a sound business strategy requires a capable and knowledgeable management team.

ProductsAs of the date of this filing, the Company manufactured and/or distributed sixteen products:

Name of Product	Manufacture Source	Medical Indication	Equivalent Brand
Acetazolamide Tablets	Lannett	Glaucoma	Diamox [®]
Butalbital, Aspirin and Caffeine Capsules	Lannett	Migraine Headache	Fiorinal [®]
3) Butalbital, Aspirin, Caffeine with Codeine Phosphate Capsules	JSP	Migraine Headache	Fiorinal w/ Codeine #3 [®]
4) Ciprofloxacin Tablets	Spectrum	Antibiotic	Cipro®
5) Digoxin Tablets	JSP	Congestive Heart Failure	Lanoxin [®]
6) Dicyclomine Tablets/Capsules	Lannett	Irritable Bowels	Bentyl [®]
7) Diphenoxylate with Atropine Sulfate Tablets	Lannett	Diarrhea	Lomotil [®]
8) Hydromorphone HCl Tablets	Lannett	Pain Management	Dilaudid
9) Levothyroxine Sodium Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency	Levoxyl [®] / Synthroid [®]
10) Methocarbamol Tablets	Lannett	Muscle Relaxer	Robaxin [®]
11) Methyltestoterone/Esterified Estrogens Tablets	Lannett	Hormone Replacement	Estratest [®]
12) Phentermine HCl Tablets	Lannett	Weight Loss	Adipex-P [®]
13) Phenylpropanolamine Tablets-Vet	Lannett	Incontinence	Propagest [®]
14) Primidone Tablets	Lannett	Epilepsy	Mysoline [®]
15) Terbutaline Sulfate Tablets	Lannett	Bronchospasms	Brethine [®]
16) Unithroid Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency	N/A

All of the products currently manufactured and/or sold by the Company are prescription products. Of the products listed above, Unithroid and those containing butalbital, digoxin, primidone and levothyroxine sodium were the Company's key products, contributing to more than 93%, 97% and 95% of the Company's total net sales in Fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

The Company has two products containing butalbital. One of the products, Butalbital with Aspirin and Caffeine capsules has been manufactured and sold by Lannett for more than seven years. The other butalbital product, Butalbital with Aspirin, Caffeine and Codeine Phosphate capsules is manufactured by JSP. Lannett began buying this product from JSP and selling it to its customers in December 2001. Both products, which are in orally administered capsule dosage forms, are prescribed to treat tension headaches caused by contractions of the muscles in the neck and shoulder area and migraine. The drug is prescribed primarily for adults of various demographic backgrounds. Migraine headache is an increasingly prevalent condition in the United States. As conditions continue to grow, the demand for effective medical treatments will continue to grow. Common side effects of drugs which contain butalbital include dizziness and drowsiness. The Company notes that although new innovator drugs to treat migraine headaches have been introduced by brand name drug companies, there is still a loyal following of doctors and consumers who prefer to use butalbital products for treatment. As the brand name companies continue to promote products containing butalbital, like Fiorinal[®], the Company expects to continue to produce and sell its generic butalbital products.

Digoxin tablets are produced and marketed with two different potencies (0.125 and 0.25 milligrams per tablet). This product is manufactured by JSP. Lannett began buying this product from JSP, and selling it to its customers in September 2002. Digoxin tablets are used to treat congestive heart failure in patients of various ages and demographic backgrounds. The beneficial effects of Digoxin result from direct actions on the cardiac muscle, as well as indirect actions on the cardiovascular system mediated by effects on the autonomic nervous system. Side effects of Digoxin may include apathy, blurred vision, changes in heartbeat, confusion, dizziness, headaches, loss of appetite, nausea, vomiting and weakness.

Primidone tablets are produced and marketed with two different potencies (50 and 250 milligrams per tablet). This product was developed and manufactured by Lannett. Lannett has been manufacturing and selling Primidone 250-milligram tablets for more than seven years. Lannett began selling Primidone 50-milligram tablets in June 2001. Both products, which are in orally administered tablet dosage forms, are prescribed to treat convulsion and seizures in epileptic patients of all ages and demographic backgrounds. Common side effects of primidone include lack of muscle coordination, vertigo and severe dizziness.

The Company's products containing Levothyroxine Sodium tablets are produced and marketed with eleven different potencies (0.025, 0.05, 0.075, 0.088, 0.1, 0.112, 0.125, 0.15, 0.175, 0.2, and 0.3 milligrams per tablet). In addition to generic Levothyroxine Sodium tablets, the Company also markets and distributes Unithroid tablets, a branded version of Levothyroxine Sodium tablets, which is produced and marketed with eleven different potencies. Both Levothyroxine Sodium products are manufactured by JSP. Lannett began buying generic Levothyroxine Sodium tablets from JSP, and selling it to its customers in April 2003. In September 2003, the Company began buying the branded Unithroid tablets from JSP and selling it to its customers. Levothyroxine Sodium tablets are used to treat hypothyroidism and other thyroid disorders. It remains one of the most prescribed drugs in the United States with over 13 million patients of various ages and

demographic backgrounds. Side effects from Levothyroxine Sodium are rare, but may include allergic reactions, such as rash or hives. In late June of 2004, JSP received a letter from the FDA approving its supplemental application for generic bioequivalence to Levoxyl[®]. In December 2004, JSP received a letter from the FDA approving its supplemental application for generic bioequivalence to Synthroid[®]. With its distribution of these products, Lannett competes in a market which is currently controlled by two branded Levothyroxine Sodium tablet products—Abbott Laboratories' Synthroid[®] and Monarch Pharmaceutical's Levoxyl[®] as well as generic competition from Mylan Laboratories and Sandoz.

In April 2005, Lannett received a letter from the FDA with approval to market and launch Phentermine Hydrochloride tablets 37.5 mg., which is a central nervous system stimulant and anorexiant. Phentermine HCl tablets are the generic version of Adipex-P manufactured and sold by TEVA through its Gate Pharmaceutical division. It is indicated for the short-term management of obesity.

In March 2005, Lannett received approval from the FDA for the ANDA of Terbutaline Sulfate tablets 2.5mg and 5 mg. Terbutaline Sulfate is indicated for the prevention and reversal of bronchospasm in patients 12 years of age and older with asthma and reversible bronchospasm associated with bronchitis and emphysema, and is the generic equivalent of Brethine(R) tablets marketed by Novartis Pharmaceuticals and aaiPharma Inc.

Additional products are currently under development. These products are all orally administered, solid-dosage (i.e. tablet/capsule) products designed to be generic equivalents to brand named innovator drugs. The Company's developmental drug products are intended to treat a diverse range of indications. The products under development are at various stages in the development cycle—formulation, scale-up, clinical testing and FDA review.

The cost associated with each product currently under development is dependent on numerous factors not limited to the following: the complexity of the active ingredient's chemical characteristics, the price of the raw materials, the FDA-mandated requirement of bioequivalence studies—depending on the FDA's Orange Book classification and other developmental factors. The overall cost to develop a new generic product varies in range from \$100,000 to \$1 million.

In addition, as one of the oldest generic drug manufacturers in the country, formed in 1942, Lannett currently owns several ANDAs for products which it does not manufacture and market. These ANDAs are simply dormant on the Company's records. Occasionally, the Company reviews such ANDAs to determine if the market potential for any of these older drugs has recently changed, to make it attractive for Lannett to reconsider manufacturing and selling them. If the Company makes the determination to introduce one of these products into the consumer marketplace, it must review the ANDA and related documentation to ensure that the approved product specifications, formulation and other factors meet current FDA requirements for the marketing of that drug. Generally, in these situations, the Company must file a supplement to the FDA for the applicable ANDA, informing the FDA of any significant changes in the manufacturing process, the formulation, the raw material supplier or another major feature of the previously approved ANDA. The Company would then redevelop the product and submit it to the FDA for supplemental approval. The FDA's approval process for ANDA supplements is similar to that of a new ANDA. In addition to the efforts of its internal product development group, Lannett has contracted with several outside firms for the formulation and development of several new generic drug products.

These outsourced R&D products are at various stages in the development cycle—formulation, analytical method development and testing and manufacturing scale-up. These products are orally administered solid dosage products intended to treat a diverse range of medical indications. It is the Company's intention to ultimately transfer the formulation technology and manufacturing process for all of these R&D products to the Company's own commercial manufacturing sites. The Company initiated these outsourced R&D efforts to complement the progress of its own internal R&D efforts.

The Company has contracted with Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc., based in California, to market generic products developed and manufactured by Spectrum and/or its partners. The first applicable product under this agreement is ciprofloxacin tablets, the generic version of Cipro®, an anti-bacterial drug, marketed by Bayer Corporation, prescribed to treat infections. The Company has also initiated discussions with other firms for similar new product initiatives, in which Lannett will market and distribute products manufactured by third parties. Lannett intends to use its strong customer relationships to build its market share for these third party products, and increase future revenues and income.

The majority of the Company's R&D projects are being developed in-house under Lannett's direct supervision and with Company personnel. Hence, the Company does not believe that its' outside contracts for product development or manufacturing supply, including Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc., are material in nature, nor is the Company substantially dependent on the services rendered by such outside firms. Since the Company has no control over the FDA review process, management is unable to anticipate whether or when it will be able to begin producing and shipping such additional products.

The following table summarizes key information related to the Company's R&D products. The column headings are defined as follows:

- 1.) Stage of R&D Defines the current stage of the R&D product in the development process, as of the date of this filing.
- 2.) Regulatory Requirement Defines whether the R&D product is or is expected to be a new ANDA submission, an ANDA supplement, or a grand-fathered product not requiring specific FDA approval.
- 3.) Number of Products Defines the number of products in R&D at the stage noted. In this context, a product means any finished dosage form, including all potencies, containing the same API or combination of APIs and which represents a generic version of the same Reference Listed Drug (RLD) or innovator drug, identified in the FDA's Orange Book.

Stage of R&D	Regulatory Requirement	Number of Products		
FDA Review	ANDA	11		
FDA Review	ANDA supplement	3		
Clinical Testing	ANDA	7		
Scale-Up	Grand-fathered	2		
Scale-Up	ANDA supplement	0		
Scale-Up	ANDA	0		
Formulation/Method Development	ANDA	25		

Raw Material(s) and Finished Good(s) Inventory Suppliers

The raw materials used by the Company in the production process consist of pharmaceutical chemicals in various forms and are generally available from several sources. FDA approval is required in connection with the process of using active ingredient suppliers. In addition to the raw materials purchased for the production process, the Company purchases certain finished dosage inventories, including capsule, tablet, and oral liquid products. The Company then sells these finished dosage products directly to its customers along with the finished dosage products internally manufactured. If suppliers of a certain material or finished product are limited, the Company will generally take certain precautionary steps to avoid a disruption in supply.

The Company's primary finished product inventory supplier is Jerome Stevens Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (JSP), in Bohemia, New York. Purchases of finished goods inventory from JSP accounted for approximately 42% of the Company's inventory purchases in Fiscal 2005, 81% in Fiscal 2004 and 62% in Fiscal 2003. On March 23, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with JSP for the exclusive distribution rights in the United States to the current line of JSP products in exchange for four million (4,000,000) shares of the Company's common stock. The JSP products covered under the agreement included Butalbital, Aspirin, Caffeine with Codeine Phosphate capsules, Digoxin tablets and Levothyroxine Sodium tablets, sold generically and under the brand name Unithroid. The term of the agreement is ten years, beginning on March 23, 2004 and continuing through March 22, 2014. Refer to the Materials Contract footnote for more information on the terms, conditions, and financial impact of this agreement.

During the term of the agreement, the Company is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to purchase minimum dollar quantities of JSP's products being distributed by the Company. The minimum quantity to be purchased in the first year of the agreement is \$15 million. Thereafter, the minimum quantity to be purchased increases by \$1 million per year up to \$24 million for the last year of the ten-year contract. The Company has met the minimum purchase requirement for the first year of the contract, but there is no guarantee that the Company will be able to continue to do so in the future. If the Company does not meet the minimum purchase requirements, JSP's sole remedy is to terminate the agreement.

The Company has also contracted with Spectrum Pharmaceuticals (Spectrum), based in California, to purchase and distribute Ciprofloxacin tablets which are manufactured by Spectrum and/or its partners. Ciprofloxacin tablets are the generic version of the brand Cipro[®], an anti-bacterial drug marketed by Bayer Corporation and prescribed to treat infections. The Company began selling Ciprofloxacin tablets in February 2005.

In October 2004, the Company signed an agreement with Orion Pharma (Orion), based in Finland, to purchase and distribute three drug products. Under the terms of the agreement, Orion will supply Lannett with the finished products and all laboratory documentation, and Lannett will coordinate the completion of the clinical biostudies necessary to submit Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDAs) to the FDA.

Another supplier, Siegfried (USA), Inc. (Siegfried), supplies primidone and butalbital, the raw materials in the Company's commercial products of the same name, and accounted for 4% of the Company's inventory purchases in Fiscal 2005, 6% in Fiscal 2004 and 12% in Fiscal 2003. This includes building a satisfactory inventory level, and obtaining contractual supply commitments. The agreement is a standard supply agreement evidencing the terms of the supply of material. There are no guaranteed purchase volume commitments; however the agreement does require Lannett to purchase 100% of its primidone raw material requirements from Siegfried. The price of the material may vary depending on the quantity of material purchased during the term of the agreement. The term of the agreement was October 1, 2002 through December 31, 2003. As of June 30, 2005, a new agreement with Siegfried had not yet been executed. The Company continues to purchase raw materials from Siegfried under the terms of the expired purchase agreement which is included in Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2004. The Company is in the process of finalizing a new agreement with Siegfried.

The Company has also contracted with API Provider for the supply of raw materials and oral dosage forms relating to future products. The agreements are standard supply agreements evidencing the terms of the supply of material. There are no guaranteed purchase volume commitments. The price of the material may vary depending on the quantity of material purchased during the term of the agreement.

Customers and Marketing

The Company sells its products primarily to wholesale distributors, generic drug distributors, mail-order pharmacies, group purchasing organizations, drug chains, and other pharmaceutical companies. The wholesale distributors McKesson, Cardinal Health, and Amerisource Bergen accounted for 17%, 14%, and 9%, respectively, of net sales in Fiscal 2005. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition, and has experienced no significant collection problems to date. Generally, the Company requires no collateral from its customers.

Sales to these wholesale customers include "indirect sales," which represent sales to third-party entities, such as independent pharmacies, managed care organizations, hospitals, nursing homes, and group purchasing organizations, collectively referred to as "indirect customers." Lannett enters into agreements with its indirect customers to establish pricing for certain products. The indirect customers then independently select a wholesaler from which to actually purchase the products at these agreed-upon prices. Lannett will provide credit to the wholesaler for the difference between the agreed-upon price with the indirect customer and the wholesaler's invoice price. This credit is called a chargeback. For more information on chargebacks, refer to the section entitled "Chargebacks" in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this Form 10-K. These indirect sale transactions are recorded on Lannett's books as sales to the wholesale customers. This has the effect of over-emphasizing the sales volume attributable to such wholesaler customers.

The Company believes that retail-level consumer demand dictates the total volume of sales for various products. In the event that wholesale and retail customers adjust their purchasing volumes, the Company believes that consumer demand will be fulfilled by other wholesale or retail sources of supply. As such, Lannett attempts to obtain strong relationships with most of the major retail chains, wholesale distributors, and mail-order pharmacies in order to facilitate the supply of the Company's products through whatever channel the consumer prefers. Although the Company has agreements with customers governing the transaction terms of its sales, there are no minimum purchase quantities with these agreements.

The Company promotes its products through direct sales, trade shows, trade publications, and bids. The Company also markets its products through private label arrangements, whereby Lannett produces its products with a label containing the name and logo of a customer. This practice is commonly referred to as private label business. It allows the Company to expand on its own internal sales efforts by using the marketing services from other well-respected pharmaceutical dosage suppliers. The focus of the Company's sales efforts is the relationships it creates with its customer accounts. Strong customer relationships have created a positive platform for Lannett to increase its sales volumes. Advertising in the generic pharmaceutical industry is generally limited to trade publications, read by retail pharmacists, wholesale purchasing agents and other pharmaceutical decision-makers. Historically and in Fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, the Company's advertising expenses were immaterial. When the customer and the Company's sales representatives make contact, the Company will generally offer to supply the customer its products at fixed prices. If accepted, the customer's purchasing department will coordinate the purchase, receipt and distribution of the products throughout its distribution centers and retail outlets. Once a customer accepts the Company's supply of product, the customer generally expects a high standard of service. This service standard includes shipping product in a timely manner on receipt of customer purchase orders, maintaining convenient and effective customer service functions, and retaining a mutually beneficial dialogue of communication. The Company believes that although the generic pharmaceutical industry is a commodity industry, where price is the primary factor for sales success, these additional service standards are equally important to the customers that rely on a consistent source of supply.

Competition

The manufacture and distribution of generic pharmaceutical products is a highly competitive industry. Competition is based primarily on price, service and quality. The Company competes primarily on this basis, as well as by flexibility (reacting to customer needs quickly and decisively—for example shipping product via overnight delivery when the customer is in critical need of inventory), availability of inventory, and by the fact that the Company's products are available only from a limited number of suppliers. The modernization of its facilities, hiring of experienced staff, and implementation of inventory and quality control programs have improved the Company's competitive position over the past five years.

The Company competes with other manufacturers and marketers of generic and brand drugs. Each product manufactured and/or sold by Lannett has a different set of competitors. The list below identifies the companies with which Lannett primarily competes for each of its major products.

Product

Primary Competitors

Butalbital with Aspirin and Caffeine, with and without Codeine

Phosphate Capsules

Watson Pharmaceuticals, Breckenridge

Pharmaceutical mfd. by Anabolic Laboratories

GlaxoSmithKline, Amide (marketed by Bertek Digoxin Tablets Pharmaceuticals). Caraco Pharmaceutical

Laboratories

Levothyroxine Sodium Tablets

Abbott Laboratories, Monarch Pharmaceuticals,

Mulan Laboratories, Sandar

Mylan Laboratories, Sandoz

Methyltestoterone/Esterified

Estrogens Tablets

Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Syntho Pharmaceuticals

(marketed by Breckenridge Pharmaceutical)

Phentermine HCL Tablets Eon Laboratories, Amide Pharmaceutical,

Purepac Pharmaceutical Co.

Primidone Tablets Watson Pharmaceuticals, Qualitest

Pharmaceuticals

Unithroid Tablets Abbott Laboratories, Monarch Pharmaceuticals,

Mylan Laboratories, Sandoz

Government Regulation

Pharmaceutical manufacturers are subject to extensive regulation by the federal government, principally by the FDA and the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) and to a lesser extent, by other federal regulatory bodies and state governments. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, the Controlled Substance Act, and other federal statutes and regulations govern or influence the testing, manufacture, safety, labeling, storage, record keeping, approval, pricing, advertising, and promotion of the Company's generic drug products. Noncompliance with applicable regulations can result in fines, recall and seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, personal and/or corporate prosecution and debarment, and refusal of the government to approve new drug applications. The FDA also has the authority to revoke previously approved drug products.

Generally, FDA approval is required before a prescription drug can be marketed. A new drug is one not generally recognized by qualified experts as safe and effective for its intended use. New drugs are typically developed and submitted to the FDA by companies expecting to brand the product and sell it as a new medical treatment. The FDA review process for new drugs is very extensive and requires a substantial investment to research and test the drug candidate. However, less burdensome approval procedures may be used for generic equivalents. Typically, the investment required to develop a generic drug is less costly than the brand innovator drug.

There are currently three ways to obtain FDA approval of a drug:

- *New Drug Applications (NDA):* Unless one of the two procedures discussed in the following paragraphs is available, a manufacturer must conduct and submit to the FDA complete clinical studies to establish a drug's safety and efficacy.
- Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDA): An ANDA is similar to an NDA except that the FDA generally waives the requirement of complete clinical studies of safety and efficacy. However, it may require bioavailability and bioequivalence studies. Bioavailability indicates the rate of absorption and levels of concentration of a drug in the bloodstream needed to produce a therapeutic effect. Bioequivalence compares one drug product with another and indicates if the rate of absorption and the levels of concentration of a generic drug in the body are within prescribed statistical limits to those of a previously approved drug. Under the Drug Price Act, an ANDA may be submitted for a drug on the basis that it is the equivalent of an approved drug regardless of when such other drug was approved. In addition to establishing a new ANDA procedure, this act created statutory protections for approved brand name drugs. Under the act, an ANDA for a generic drug may not be made effective until all relevant product and use patents for the brand name drug have expired or have been determined to be invalid. Prior to this act, the FDA gave no consideration to the patent status of a previously approved drug. Additionally, the Drug Price Act extends for up to five years the term of a product or use patent covering a drug to compensate the patent holder for the reduction of the effective market life of a patent due to federal regulatory review. With respect to certain drugs not covered by patents, the act sets specified time periods of two to ten years during which ANDAs for generic drugs cannot become effective or, under certain circumstances, cannot be filed if the branded drug was approved after December 31, 1981. Lannett, like most other generic drug companies, uses the ANDA process for the submission of its developmental generic drug candidates.
- Paper New Drug Applications (Paper NDA): For a drug that is identical to a drug first approved after 1962, a prospective manufacturer need not go through the full NDA procedure. Instead, it may demonstrate safety and efficacy by relying on published literature and reports. The manufacturer must also submit, if the FDA so requires, bioavailability or bioequivalence data illustrating that the generic drug formulation produces the same effects, within an acceptable range, as the previously approved innovator drug. Because published literature to support the safety and efficacy of post-1962 drugs may not be available, this procedure is of limited utility to generic drug manufacturers. Moreover, the utility of Paper NDAs has been further diminished by the recently broadened availability of the ANDA process, as described above.

Among the requirements for new drug approval is the requirement that the prospective manufacturer's methods conform to the FDA's current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP Regulations). The cGMP Regulations must be followed at all times during which the approved drug is manufactured. In complying with the standards set forth in the cGMP Regulations, the Company must continue to expend time, money, and effort in the areas of production and quality control to ensure full technical compliance. Failure to comply with the cGMP Regulations risks possible FDA action, including but not limited to, the seizure of noncomplying drug products or, through the Department of Justice, enjoining the manufacture of such products.

The Company is also subject to federal, state, and local laws of general applicability, such as laws regulating working conditions and the storage, transportation, or discharge of items that may be considered hazardous substances, hazardous waste, or environmental contaminants. The Company monitors its compliance with all environmental laws.

Research and Development

The Company incurred research and development expenses of approximately \$6,266,000 in 2005, \$5,896,000 in 2004 and \$2,575,000 in 2003.

Employees

The Company currently has 172 employees, of which 167 are full-time.

Securities Exchange Act Reports

The Company maintains an Internet website at the following address: www.lannett.com. The Company makes available on or through its Internet website certain reports and amendments to those reports that are filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These include annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K. This information is available on the Company's website free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files the information with, or furnishes it to, the SEC. The contents of the Company's website are not incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K and shall not be deemed "filed" under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The Company's headquarters, administrative offices, quality control laboratory, and manufacturing and production facilities, consisting of approximately 31,000 square feet, are located at 9000 State Road, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On July 1, 2003, the Company entered into a lease/purchase option agreement for a 63,000 square foot facility at 9001 Torresdale Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, approximately 1 mile from the Company's headquarters. On November 26, 2003, the Company exercised its option to purchase the facility. The Company's research laboratory, warehousing and distribution operations, and sales and accounting departments are now housed there.

In December 1997, the Company entered into a three-year and three-month lease for a 23,500 square foot facility located at 500A State Road, Bensalem, Pennsylvania. This facility housed laboratory research, warehousing and distribution operations. The leased facility is located approximately 2 miles from the Company headquarters. In January 2001, the Company extended this lease through April 30, 2004. After that time, the Company renewed the lease again through April 30, 2005. The Company no longer utilizes nor has any lease obligations related to the 500A State Road, Bensalem, Pennsylvania facility.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Regulatory Proceedings

The Company is engaged in an industry which is subject to considerable government regulation relating to the development, manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical products. Accordingly, incidental to its business, the Company periodically responds to inquiries or engages in administrative and judicial proceedings involving regulatory authorities, particularly the FDA and the DEA.

In 2004 and 2005, the Company entered into three, separate confidential agreements with ThePharmaNetwork, LLC (TPN) pursuant to which the company agreed to collaborate to develop, manufacture, supply, and commercialize a certain generic pharmaceutical drug product. In August 2005, TPN filed a lawsuit against various defendants, including the Company, seeking, among other things, to terminate the three agreements between the Company and TPN. The matter is currently pending before the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The Company has filed an answer denying the allegations. The Company has also filed counterclaims against TPN and its principal, Jonathan B. Rome, for, among other things, breach of contract. Because of the confidential nature of the agreements and the generic pharmaceutical drug product at issue, the Company has requested that the Court place all documents under seal to prevent the wrongful disclosure of the Company's sensitive, confidential, and proprietary information. The Company's request for a temporary restraining order was granted. As a result, TPN is temporarily restrained from competing against Lannett or collaborating with Lannett's competitors with respect to the drug product at issue. TPN is also temporarily restrained from using, disclosing or disseminating any confidential information about this drug product until after the hearing on the preliminary injunction, which is scheduled for Sept. 14, 2005. TPN received a temporary restraining order prohibiting Lannett from disclosing TPN's "confidential information" until after the preliminary injunction hearing on Sept. 14, 2005. At this time, Management is unable to estimate a range of loss, if any, related to this action. Management believes that the outcome of this litigation will not have a material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operation of the Company.

DES Cases

The Company is currently engaged in several civil actions as a co-defendant with many other manufacturers of Diethylstilbestrol ("DES"), a synthetic hormone. Prior litigation established that the Company's pro rata share of any liability is less than one-tenth of one percent. The Company was represented in many of these actions by the insurance company with which the Company maintained coverage during the time period that damages were alleged to have occurred. The insurance company denies coverage for actions alleging involvement of the Company filed after January 1, 1992. With respect to these actions, the Company paid nominal damages or stipulated to its pro rata share of any liability. The Company has either settled or is currently defending over 500 such claims. At this time, management is unable to estimate a range of loss, if any, related to these actions. Management believes that the outcome of these cases will not have a material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters have been submitted to a vote of the Company's security holders during the quarter ended June 30, 2005.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Market Information

On April 15, 2002, the Company's common stock began trading on the American Stock Exchange. Prior to this, the Company's common stock traded in the over-the-counter market through the use of the inter-dealer "pink-sheets" published by Pink Sheets LLC. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the high and low daily closing prices of the Company's common stock during Fiscal 2005 and 2004, as quoted by the American Stock Exchange. Such quotations reflect inter-dealer prices without retail mark-up, markdown, or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005							
	<u>High</u>	Low					
First quarter	\$15.19	\$9.50					
Second quarter	\$12.80	\$8.25					
Third quarter	\$10.05	\$5.95					
Fourth quarter	\$6.45	\$3.88					
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2004							
	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>					
First quarter	\$25.09	\$15.65					
Second quarter	\$18.88	\$16.40					
Third quarter	\$19.00	\$15.10					
Fourth quarter	\$17.00	\$13.18					

Holders

As of August 25, 2005, there were approximately 249 holders of record of the Company's common stock.

Dividends

The Company did not pay cash dividends in Fiscal 2005, Fiscal 2004 or Fiscal 2003. The Company intends to use available funds for working capital, plant and equipment additions, and various product extension ventures. The Company does not expect to pay, nor should shareholders expect to receive, cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes the equity compensation plans as of June 30, 2005.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)
	<u>(a)</u>	<u>(b)</u>	<u>(c)</u>
Equity Compensation plans approved by security holders	857,108	\$13.72	1,395,267
Equity Compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	<u>857,108</u>	\$13.72	<u>1,395,267</u>

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Lannett Company, Inc. and Subsidiaries Financial Highlights

As of, or for the Year Ended June 30,		<u>2005</u>		<u>2004</u>		<u>2003</u>		<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Operating Highlights									
Net Sales	\$	44,901,645	\$	63,781,219	\$	42,486,758	\$	25,126,214	\$ 12,090,993
Gross Profit	\$	13,484,737	\$	36,924,344	\$	26,228,964	\$	16,673,537	\$ 5,556,229
Operating (Loss)/Income	\$		\$	20,830,969	\$	19,060,106	\$	11,425,483	\$ 2,042,585
Net (Loss)/Income	\$	(32,779,597)	\$	13,215,454	\$	11,666,887	\$	7,195,990	\$ 1,829,915
Basic (Loss)/Earnings Per Share	\$	(1.36)	\$	0.63	\$	0.58	\$	0.36	\$ 0.14
Diluted (Loss)/Earnings Per Share	\$	(1.36)	\$	0.63	\$	0.58	\$	0.36	\$ 0.14
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding, Basic		24,097,472		20,831,750		19,968,633		19,895,757	13,206,128
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding, Diluted		24,097,472		21,053,944		20,121,314		20,018,548	13,206,128
Balance Sheet Highlights									
Current Assets	\$	33,938,115	\$	48,862,443	\$	23,930,048	\$	10,439,630	\$ 8,884,835
Working Capital*	\$	17,542,553	\$	28,923,814	\$	17,185,052	\$	6,891,998	\$ (69,920)
Total Assets	\$	94,917,060	\$	131,904,084	\$	31,834,544	\$	17,338,503	\$ 15,931,617
Total Debt	\$	9,532,448	\$	10,092,857	\$	3,097,802	\$	4,142,538	\$ 10,773,222
Deferred Tax Liabilities	\$	2,009,582	\$	1,614,323	\$	1,112,369	\$	681,489	\$ 641,285
Total Stockholders' Equity	\$	69,249,244	\$	102,246,991	\$	21,597,710	\$	9,766,049	\$ 2,515,685
*Working capital equals current assets less current liabilities									

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Any statements made in this report that are not statements of historical fact or that refer to estimated or anticipated future events are forward-looking statements. We have based our forward-looking statements on our management's beliefs and assumptions based on information available to them at this time. Such forward-looking statements reflect our current perspective of our business, future performance, existing trends and information as of the date of this filing. These include, but are not limited to, our beliefs about future revenue and expense levels and growth rates, prospects related to our strategic initiatives and business strategies, express or implied assumptions about government regulatory action or inaction, anticipated product approvals and launches, business initiatives and product development activities, assessments related to clinical trial results, product performance and competitive environment, and anticipated financial performance. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, words such as "may," "will," "expect," "believe," "anticipate," "intend," "could," "would," "estimate," "continue," or "pursue," or the negative other variations thereof or comparable terminology, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. We caution the reader that certain important factors may affect our actual operating results and could cause such results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. We believe the risks and uncertainties discussed under the Section entitled "Risks

Related to Our Business," and other risks and uncertainties detailed herein and from time to time in our Securities and Exchange Commission filings, may affect its actual results.

We disclaim any obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. We also may make additional disclosures in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K that we may file from time to time with the SEC. Other factors besides those listed here could also adversely affect us. This discussion is provided as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Risks Related to Our Business

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of risks, some of which are beyond our control. The following discussion highlights some of these risks and others are discussed elsewhere in this report. These and other risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results or cash flows

RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH INVESTING IN THE BUSINESS OF LANNETT

If we are unable to successfully develop or commercialize new products, our operating results will suffer.

Our future results of operations will depend to a significant extent upon our ability to successfully commercialize new generic products in a timely manner. There are numerous difficulties in developing and commercializing new products, including:

- developing, testing and manufacturing products in compliance with regulatory standards in a timely manner;
- receiving requisite regulatory approvals for such products in a timely manner;
- the availability, on commercially reasonable terms, of raw materials, including active pharmaceutical ingredients and other key ingredients;
- developing and commercializing a new product is time consuming, costly and subject to numerous factors that may delay or prevent the successful commercialization of new products;
- · experiencing delays or unanticipated costs; and
- commercializing generic products may be substantially delayed by the listing with the FDA of patents that have the effect of potentially delaying approval of the off-patent product by up to 30 months, and in some cases, such patents have issued and been listed with the FDA after the key chemical patent on the branded drug product has expired or been litigated, causing additional delays in obtaining approval.

As a result of these and other difficulties, products currently in development by Lannett may or may not receive the regulatory approvals necessary for marketing. If any of our products, when developed and approved, cannot be successfully or timely commercialized, our operating results could be adversely affected. We cannot guarantee that any investment we make in developing products will be recouped, even if we are successful in commercializing those products.

Our gross profit may fluctuate from period to period depending upon our product sales mix, our product pricing, and our costs to manufacture or purchase products.

Our future results of operations, financial condition and cash flows depend to a significant extent upon our product sales mix. Our sales of products that we manufacture tend to create higher gross margins than do the products we purchase and resell. As a result, our sales mix will significantly impact our gross profit from period to period. Factors that may cause our sales mix to vary include:

- the amount of new product introductions;
- marketing exclusivity, if any, which may be obtained on certain new products;
- the level of competition in the marketplace for certain products;
- the availability of raw materials and finished products from our suppliers; and
- the scope and outcome of governmental regulatory action that may involve us.

The profitability of our product sales is also dependent upon the prices we are able to charge for our products, the costs to purchase products from third parties, and our ability to manufacture our products in a cost effective manner.

If branded pharmaceutical companies are successful in limiting the use of generics through their legislative and regulatory efforts, our sales of generic products may suffer.

Many branded pharmaceutical companies increasingly have used state and federal legislative and regulatory means to delay generic competition. These efforts have included:

- pursuing new patents for existing products which may be granted just before the expiration of one patent which could extend patent protection for additional years or otherwise delay the launch of generics;
- using the Citizen Petition process to request amendments to FDA standards;
- seeking changes to U.S. Pharmacopoeia, an organization which publishes industry recognized compendia of drug standards;
- attaching patent extension amendments to non-related federal legislation; and
- engaging in state-by-state initiatives to enact legislation that restricts the substitution of some generic drugs, which could have an impact on products that we are developing.

If branded pharmaceutical companies are successful in limiting the use of generic products through these or other means, our sales may decline. If we experience a material decline in product sales, our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows will suffer.

Third parties may claim that we infringe their proprietary rights and may prevent us from manufacturing and selling some of our products.

The manufacture, use and sale of new products that are the subject of conflicting patent rights have been the subject of substantial litigation in the pharmaceutical industry. These lawsuits relate to the validity and infringement of patents or proprietary rights of third parties. We may have to defend against charges that we violated patents or proprietary rights of third parties. This is especially true in the case of generic products on which the patent covering the branded product is expiring, an area where infringement litigation is prevalent, and in the case of new branded products where a competitor has obtained patents for similar products. Litigation may

be costly and time-consuming, and could divert the attention of our management and technical personnel. In addition, if we infringe on the rights of others, we could lose our right to develop or manufacture products or could be required to pay monetary damages or royalties to license proprietary rights from third parties. Although the parties to patent and intellectual property disputes in the pharmaceutical industry have often settled their disputes through licensing or similar arrangements, the costs associated with these arrangements may be substantial and could include ongoing royalties. Furthermore, we cannot be certain that the necessary licenses would be available to us on terms we believe to be acceptable. As a result, an adverse determination in a judicial or administrative proceeding or failure to obtain necessary licenses could prevent us from manufacturing and selling a number of our products, which could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If we are unable to obtain sufficient supplies from key suppliers that in some cases may be the only source of finished products or raw materials, our ability to deliver our products to the market may be impeded.

We are required to identify the supplier(s) of all the raw materials for our products in our applications with the FDA. To the extent practicable, we attempt to identify more than one supplier in each drug application. However, some products and raw materials are available only from a single source and, in some of our drug applications, only one supplier of products and raw materials has been identified, even in instances where multiple sources exist. To the extent any difficulties experienced by our suppliers cannot be resolved within a reasonable time, and at reasonable cost, or if raw materials for a particular product become unavailable from an approved supplier and we are required to qualify a new supplier with the FDA, our profit margins and market share for the affected product could decrease, as well as delay our development and sales and marketing efforts.

Our policies regarding returns, allowances and chargebacks, and marketing programs adopted by wholesalers, may reduce our revenues in future fiscal periods.

Based on industry practice, generic product manufacturers, including us, have liberal return policies and have been willing to give customers post-sale inventory allowances. Under these arrangements, from time to time, we give our customers credits on our generic products that our customers hold in inventory after we have decreased the market prices of the same generic products. Therefore, if new competitors enter the marketplace and significantly lower the prices of any of their competing products, we would likely reduce the price of our product. As a result, we would be obligated to provide credits to our customers who are then holding inventories of such products, which could reduce sales revenue and gross margin for the period the credit is provided. Like our competitors, we also give credits for chargebacks to wholesale customers that have contracts with us for their sales to hospitals, group purchasing organizations, pharmacies or other retail customers. A chargeback is the difference between the price the wholesale customer pays and the price that the wholesale customer's end-customer pays for a product. Although we establish reserves based on our prior experience and our best estimates of the impact that these policies may have in subsequent periods, we cannot ensure that our reserves are adequate or that actual product returns, allowances and chargebacks will not exceed our estimates.

The design, development, manufacture and sale of our products involves the risk of product liability claims by consumers and other third parties, and insurance against such potential claims is expensive and may be difficult to obtain.

The design, development, manufacture and sale of our products involve an inherent risk of product liability claims and the associated adverse publicity. Insurance coverage is expensive and may be difficult to obtain, and may not be available in the future on acceptable terms, or at all. Although we currently maintain product liability insurance for our products in amounts we believe to be commercially reasonable, if the coverage limits of these insurance policies are not adequate, a claim brought against Lannett, whether covered by insurance or not, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Rising insurance costs could negatively impact profitability.

The cost of insurance, including workers compensation, product liability and general liability insurance, have risen in prior years and may increase in the future. In response, we may increase deductibles and/or decrease certain coverages to mitigate these costs. These increases, and our increased risk due to increased deductibles and reduced coverages, could have a negative impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

The loss of our key personnel could cause our business to suffer.

The success of our present and future operations will depend, to a significant extent, upon the experience, abilities and continued services of key personnel. If the employment of any of our current key personnel is terminated, we cannot assure you that we will be able to attract and replace the employee with the same caliber of key personnel. As such, we have entered into employment agreements with most of our senior executive officers.

Significant balances of intangible assets, including product rights acquired, are subject to impairment testing and may result in impairment charges, which will adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our acquired contractual rights to market and distribute JSP's products are stated at cost, less accumulated amortization and related impairment charges identified to date. We determined the initial cost by referring to the original fair value of the assets exchanged. Future amortization periods for product rights are based on our assessment of various factors impacting estimated useful lives and cash flows of the acquired products. Such factors include the product's position in its life cycle, the existence or absence of like products in the market, various other competitive and regulatory issues and contractual terms. Significant changes to any of these factors would require us to perform an additional impairment test on the affected asset and, if evidence of impairment exists, we would be required to take an impairment charge with respect to the asset. Such a charge would adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

RISKS RELATING TO INVESTING IN THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY

Extensive industry regulation has had, and will continue to have, a significant impact on our business, especially our product development, manufacturing and distribution capabilities.

All pharmaceutical companies, including Lannett, are subject to extensive, complex, costly and evolving regulation by the federal government, principally the FDA and to a lesser extent by the DEA and state government agencies. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, the Controlled Substances Act and other federal statutes and regulations govern or influence the testing, manufacturing, packing, labeling, storing, record keeping, safety, approval, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution of our products.

Under these regulations, we are subject to periodic inspection of our facilities, procedures and operations and/or the testing of our products by the FDA, the DEA and other authorities, which conduct periodic inspections to confirm that we are in compliance with all applicable regulations. In addition, the FDA conducts pre-approval and post-approval reviews and plant inspections to determine whether our systems and processes are in compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practice, or cGMP, and other FDA regulations. Following such inspections, the FDA may issue notices on Form 483 and warning letters that could cause us to modify certain activities identified during the inspection. A Form 483 notice is generally issued at the conclusion of a FDA inspection and lists conditions the FDA inspectors believe may violate cGMP or other FDA regulations. FDA guidelines specify that a warning letter is issued only for violations of "regulatory significance" for which the failure to adequately and promptly achieve correction may be expected to result in an enforcement action. Any such sanctions, if imposed, could materially harm our operating results and financial condition. Under certain circumstances, the FDA also has the authority to revoke previously granted drug approvals. Similar sanctions as detailed above may be available to the FDA under a consent decree, depending upon the actual terms of such decree. Although we have instituted internal compliance programs, if these programs do not meet regulatory agency standards or if compliance is deemed deficient in any significant way, it could materially harm our business. Certain of our vendors are subject to similar regulation and periodic inspections.

The process for obtaining governmental approval to manufacture and market pharmaceutical products is rigorous, time-consuming and costly, and we cannot predict the extent to which we may be affected by legislative and regulatory developments. We are dependent on receiving FDA and other governmental or third-party approvals prior to manufacturing, marketing and shipping our products. Consequently, there is always the chance that we will not obtain FDA or other necessary approvals, or that the rate, timing and cost of such approvals, will adversely affect our product introduction plans or results of operations. We carry inventories of certain product(s) in anticipation of launch, and if such product(s) are not subsequently launched, we may be required to write-off the related inventory.

Federal regulation of arrangements between manufacturers of branded and generic products could adversely affect our business.

As part of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, companies are now required to file with the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice certain types of agreements entered into between brand and generic pharmaceutical

companies related to the manufacture, marketing and sale of generic versions of branded drugs. This new requirement could affect the manner in which generic drug manufacturers resolve intellectual property litigation and other disputes with branded pharmaceutical companies and could result generally in an increase in private-party litigation against pharmaceutical companies or additional investigations or proceedings by the FTC or other governmental authorities. The impact of this new requirement and the potential private-party lawsuits associated with arrangements between brand name and generic drug manufacturers is uncertain, and could adversely affect our business.

The pharmaceutical industry is highly competitive.

We face strong competition in our generic product business. Revenues and gross profit derived from the sales of generic pharmaceutical products tend to follow a pattern based on certain regulatory and competitive factors. As patents for brand name products and related exclusivity periods expire, the first generic manufacturer to receive regulatory approval for generic equivalents of such products is generally able to achieve significant market penetration. As competing off-patent manufacturers receive regulatory approvals on similar products or as brand manufacturers launch generic versions of such products (for which no separate regulatory approval is required), market share, revenues and gross profit typically decline, in some cases dramatically. Accordingly, the level of market share, revenue and gross profit attributable to a particular generic product is normally related to the number of competitors in that product's market and the timing of that product's regulatory approval and launch, in relation to competing approvals and launches. Consequently, we must continue to develop and introduce new products in a timely and cost-effective manner to maintain our revenues and gross margins.

Sales of our products may continue to be adversely affected by the continuing consolidation of our distribution network and the concentration of our customer base.

Our principal customers are wholesale drug distributors and major retail drug store chains. These customers comprise a significant part of the distribution network for pharmaceutical products in the U.S. This distribution network is continuing to undergo significant consolidation marked by mergers and acquisitions among wholesale distributors and the growth of large retail drug store chains. As a result, a small number of large wholesale distributors control a significant share of the market, and the number of independent drug stores and small drug store chains has decreased. We expect that consolidation of drug wholesalers and retailers will increase pricing and other competitive pressures on drug manufacturers, including Lannett.

For the year ended June 30, 2005, our three largest customers accounted for 17%, 14% and 9% respectively, of our net revenues. The loss of any of these customers could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition and our cash flows. In addition, the Company has no long-term supply agreements with its customers which would require them to purchase our products.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In addition to historical information, this Form 10-K contains forward-looking information. The forward-looking information is subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Important factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the following section, entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date of this Form 10-K. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that may occur. Readers should carefully review the risk factors described in other documents the Company files from time to time with the SEC, including the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q to be filed by the Company in Fiscal 2006, and any current reports on Form 8-K filed by the Company. All share and per share amounts on this Form 10-K have been adjusted to reflect a three-for-two stock split effective on February 14, 2003.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that our critical accounting policies include those described below. For a detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, refer to Note 1 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Revenue Recognition - The Company recognizes revenue when its products are shipped. At this point, title and risk of loss have transferred to the customer and provisions for estimates, including rebates, promotional adjustments, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, and other potential adjustments are reasonably determinable. Accruals for these provisions are presented in the consolidated financial statements as rebates and chargebacks payable and reductions to net sales. The change in the reserves for various sales adjustments may not be proportionally equal to the change in sales because of changes in both the product and the customer mix. Increased sales to wholesalers will generally require additional rebates. Incentives offered to secure sales vary from product to product. Provisions for estimated rebates and promotional and other credits are estimated based on historical payment experience, customer inventory levels, and contract terms. Provisions for other customer credits, such as price adjustments, returns, and chargebacks, require management to make subjective judgments. Unlike branded innovator drug companies, Lannett does not use information about product levels in distribution channels from third-party sources, such as IMS and NDC Health, in estimating future returns and other credits.

Chargebacks – The provision for chargebacks is the most significant and complex estimate used in the recognition of revenue. The Company sells its products directly to wholesale distributors, generic distributors, retail pharmacy chains, and mail-order pharmacies. The Company also sells its products indirectly to independent pharmacies, managed care organizations, hospitals, nursing homes, and group purchasing organizations, collectively referred to as "indirect customers." Lannett enters into agreements with its indirect customers to establish pricing for certain products. The indirect customers then independently select a wholesaler from which to actually purchase the products at these agreed-upon prices. Lannett will provide credit to the wholesaler for the difference between the agreed-upon price with the indirect customer and the wholesaler's invoice price if the price sold to the indirect customer is lower than the direct price to the wholesaler. This credit is called a chargeback. The provision for chargebacks is based on expected sell-through levels by the Company's wholesale customers to the indirect customers and estimated wholesaler inventory levels. As sales to the large wholesale customers, such as Cardinal Health, AmerisourceBergen, and McKesson, increase, the reserve for chargebacks will also generally increase. However, the size of the increase depends on the product mix. The Company continually monitors the reserve for chargebacks and makes adjustments when management believes that actual chargebacks may differ from estimated reserves.

Rebates – Rebates are offered to the Company's key customers to promote customer loyalty and encourage greater product sales. These rebate programs provide customers with rebate credits upon attainment of pre-established volumes or attainment of net sales milestones for a specified period. Other promotional programs are incentive programs offered to the customers. At the time of shipment, the Company estimates reserves for rebates and other promotional credit programs based on the specific terms in each agreement. The reserve for rebates increases as sales to certain wholesale and retail customers increase. However, these rebate programs are tailored to the customers' individual programs. Hence, the reserve will depend on the mix of customers that comprise such rebate programs.

Returns – Consistent with industry practice, the Company has a product returns policy that allows select customers to return product within a specified period prior to and subsequent to the product's lot expiration date in exchange for a credit to be applied to future purchases. The Company's policy requires that the customer obtain pre-approval from the Company for any qualifying return. The Company estimates its provision for returns based on historical experience, changes to business practices, and credit terms. While such experience has allowed for reasonable estimations in the past, history may not always be an accurate indicator of future returns. The Company continually monitors the provisions for returns and makes adjustments when management believes that actual product returns may differ from established reserves. Generally, the reserve for returns increases as net sales increase. The reserve for returns is included in the rebates and chargebacks payable account on the balance sheet.

Other Adjustments – Other adjustments consist primarily of price adjustments, also known as "shelf stock adjustments," which are credits issued to reflect decreases in the selling prices of the Company's products that customers have remaining in their inventories at the time of the price reduction. Decreases in selling prices are discretionary decisions made by management to reflect competitive market conditions. Amounts recorded for estimated shelf stock adjustments are based upon specified terms with direct customers, estimated declines in market prices, and estimates of inventory held by customers. The Company regularly monitors these and other factors and evaluates the reserve as additional information becomes available. Other adjustments are included in the rebates and chargebacks payable account on the balance sheet.

The following tables identify the reserves for each major category of revenue allowance and a summary of the activity for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004:

For the Year Ended June 30, 2005

Reserve Category	Chargebacks	Rebates	Returns	Other	<u>Total</u>
Reserve Balance as of June 30, 2004	\$ 6,484,500	\$ 1,864,200	\$ 448,000	\$ 88,300	\$ 8,885,000
Actual Credits Issued-Related To Sales Recorded in Fiscal 2004	(4,978,300)	(1,970,000)	(523,100)	(95,800)	(7,567,200)
Actual Credits Issued-Related To Sales Recorded in Fiscal 2005	(14,534,600)	(5,965,500)	(1,166,800)	(586,400)	(22,253,300)
Additional Reserves Charged to Net Sales During Fiscal 2005	21,028,100	7,100,100	2,933,900	623,400	31,685,500
Reserve Balance as of June 30, 2005	\$ <u>7,999,700</u>	\$ <u>1,028,800</u>	\$ <u>1,692.000</u>	\$ <u>29,500</u>	\$ <u>10,750,000</u>
For the Year Ended June 30, 2004					
Reserve Category	Chargebacks	Rebates	Returns	Other	Total
Reserve Balance as of June 30, 2003	\$ 1,638,000	\$ 889,900	\$ 210,200	\$ 33,900	\$ 2,772,000
Actual Credits Issued-Related To Sales Recorded in Fiscal 2003	(1,604,000)	(1,166,400)	(182,700)	-	(2,953,100)
Actual Credits Issued-Related To Sales Recorded in Fiscal 2004	(12,447,000)	(2,723,200)	(60,100)	(410,000)	(15,640,300)
Additional Reserves Charged to Net Sales During Fiscal 2004	18,897,500	4,863,900	480,600	464,400	24,706,400
Reserve Balance as of					

The Company ships its products to the warehouses of its wholesale and retail chain customers. When the Company and a customer come to an agreement for the supply of a product, the customer will generally continue to purchase the product, stock its warehouse(s), and resell the product to its own customers. The Company's customer will continually reorder the product as its warehouse is depleted. The Company generally has no minimum size orders for its customers. Additionally, most warehousing customers prefer not to stock excess inventory levels due to the additional carrying costs and inefficiencies created by holding excess inventory. As such, the Company's customers continually reorder the Company's products. It is common for the Company's customers to order the same products on a monthly basis. For generic pharmaceutical manufacturers, it is critical to ensure that customers' warehouses are adequately stocked with its products. This is important due to the fact that several generic competitors

compete for the consumer demand for a given product. Availability of inventory ensures that a manufacturer's product is considered. Otherwise, retail prescriptions would be filled with competitors' products. For this reason, the Company periodically offers incentives to its customers to purchase its products. These incentives are generally up-front discounts off its standard prices at the beginning of a generic campaign launch for a newly-approved or newly-introduced product, or when a customer purchases a Lannett product for the first time. Customers generally inform the Company that such purchases represent an estimate of expected resale for a period of time. This period of time is generally up to three months. The Company records this revenue, net of any discounts offered and accepted by its customers at the time of shipment. The Company's products have either 24 months or 36 months of shelf-life at the time of manufacture. The Company monitors its customers' purchasing trends to attempt to identify any significant lapses in purchasing activity. If the Company observes a lack of recent activity, inquiries will be made to such customer regarding the success of the customer's resale efforts. The Company attempts to minimize any potential return (or shelf life issues) by maintaining an active dialogue with the customers.

The products that the Company sells are generic versions of brand named drugs. The consumer markets for such drugs are well-established markets with many years of historically-confirmed consumer demand. Such consumer demand may be affected by several factors, including alternative treatments, cost, etc. However, the effects of changes in such consumer demand for the Company's products, like generic products manufactured by other generic companies, are gradual in nature. Any overall decrease in consumer demand for generic products generally occurs over an extended period of time. This is because there are thousands of doctors, prescribers, third-party payers, institutional formularies and other buyers of drugs that must change prescribing habits and medicinal practices before such a decrease would affect a generic drug market. If the historical data the Company uses and the assumptions management makes to calculate its estimates of future returns, chargebacks, and other credits do not accurately approximate future activity, its net sales, gross profit, net income and earnings per share could change. However, management believes that these estimates are reasonable based upon historical experience and current conditions.

Accounts Receivable - The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and adjusts credit limits based upon payment history and the customer's current credit worthiness, as determined by a review of current credit information. The Company continuously monitors collections and payments from its customers and maintains a provision for estimated credit losses based upon historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that have been identified. While such credit losses have historically been within the both Company's expectations and the provisions established, the Company cannot guarantee that it will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that it has in the past.

Inventories - The Company values its inventory at the lower of cost (determined by the first-in, first-out method) or market, regularly reviews inventory quantities on hand, and records a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on estimated forecasts of product demand and production requirements. The Company's estimates of future product demand may prove to be inaccurate, in which case it may have understated or overstated the provision required for excess and obsolete inventory. In the future, if the Company's inventory is determined to be overvalued, the Company would be required to recognize such costs in cost of goods sold at the time of such determination. Likewise, if inventory is determined to be

undervalued, the Company may have recognized excess cost of goods sold in previous periods and would be required to recognize such additional operating income at the time of sale.

Intangible Asset – On March 23, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with Jerome Stevens Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (JSP) for the exclusive marketing and distribution rights in the United States to the current line of JSP products in exchange for four million (4,000,000) shares of the Company's common stock. As a result of the JSP agreement, the Company recorded an intangible asset of \$67,040,000 for the exclusive marketing and distribution rights obtained from JSP. The intangible asset was recorded based upon the fair value of the four million (4,000,000) shares at the time of issuance to JSP. An impairment charge was recorded against this intangible asset in the current fiscal year. The agreement was included as an Exhibit in the Form 8-K filed by the Company on May 5, 2004, as subsequently amended.

In June 2004, JSP's Levothyroxine Sodium tablet product received from the FDA an AB rating to the brand drug Levoxyl[®]. In December 2004, the product received from the FDA a second AB rating to the brand drug Synthroid[®]. As a result of the dual AB ratings, the Company was required to pay JSP an additional \$1.5 million in cash to reimburse JSP for expenses related to obtaining the AB ratings. As of March 31, 2005, the Company recorded an addition to the intangible asset of \$1.5 million.

Management believes that events occurred during Fiscal 2005 which indicated that the carrying value of the intangible asset was not recoverable. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 (FAS 144), *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, the Company engaged a third party valuation specialist to assist in the performance of an impairment test for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The impairment test was performed by discounting forecasted future net cash flows for the JSP products covered under the agreement and then comparing the discounted present value of those cash flows to the carrying value of the asset (inclusive of the \$1.5 million paid to JSP for the duel AB ratings). As a result of the testing, the Company determined that the intangible asset was impaired as of March 31, 2005. In accordance with FAS 144, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment loss of approximately \$46,093,000 to write the asset down to its fair value of approximately \$16,062,000 as of the date of the impairment. This impairment loss is shown on the statement of operations as a component of operating loss. Management concluded that, as of June 30, 2005, the intangible asset is correctly stated at fair value and, therefore, no adjustment was required.

New Accounting Pronouncements – In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 151 (SFAS No. 151), Inventory Costs – an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4. Paragraph 5 of ARB 43, Chapter 4 previously stated that "...under some circumstances, items such as idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight, and rehandling costs may be so abnormal as to require treatment as current period charges..." SFAS No. 151 requires that those items be recognized as current period charges regardless of whether they meet the criterion of "so abnormal." The adoption of SFAS No. 151 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets – an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29 (SFAS No. 153). APB Opinion No. 29 requires a nonmonetary exchange of assets be accounted for at fair value, recognizing any gain or loss, if the exchange meets a commercial substance criterion and fair value is determinable. The

commercial substance criterion is assessed by comparing the entity's expected cash flows immediately before and after the exchange. SFAS No. 153 eliminates the "similar productive assets exception," which accounts for the exchange of assets at book value with no recognition of gain or loss. SFAS No. 153 will be effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We do not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 153 will have a material impact on our financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS No. 123R), which requires companies to expense the fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation to employees. It also provides guidance for determining whether an award is a liability-classified award or an equity-classified award, and determining fair value. SFAS No. 123R applies to all unvested stock-based payment awards outstanding as of the adoption date. Pursuant to a rule announced by the Securities and Exchange Commission in April 2005, SFAS No. 123R must be adopted no later than the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after June 15, 2005. We have not completed an assessment of the impact on our financial statements resulting from potential modifications to our equity-based compensation structure or the use of an alternative fair value model in anticipation of adopting SFAS No. 123R. The Company plans to adopt SFAS No. 123R for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections – a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3 (SFAS No. 154), which replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements, and changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle, and also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. SFAS No. 154 will be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. SFAS No. 154 does not change the transition provisions of any existing accounting pronouncements, including those that are in a transition phase as of the effective date of SFAS No. 154. We do not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 154 will have a material impact on our financial statements.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FIN 47 "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143." This Interpretation clarifies that a conditional retirement obligation refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation to perform the asset retirement activity is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and (or) method of settlement. Accordingly, an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation if the fair value of the liability can be reasonably estimated. The liability should be recognized when incurred, generally upon acquisition, construction or development of the asset. FIN 47 is effective no later than the end of the fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. We have not completed an assessment of the impact that adoption of FIN 47 will have on our financial statements.

Results of Operations – Fiscal 2005 compared to Fiscal 2004

Net sales decreased by 30%, from \$63,781,219 in Fiscal 2004 to \$44,901,645 in Fiscal 2005. The decrease was generally due to increased competition in the generic drug market that affected most of the Company's products. The increased competition, both from existing competitors and new entrants, has resulted in significant price pressures. Sales of the Levothyroxine Sodium line of products declined by \$4,948,000 due in part to a delay in the AB rating, which gave the competition a market advantage. The sales of Unithroid tablets declined \$2,036,000. Sales of Butalbital with Aspirin and Caffeine capsules declined \$3,240,000. Sales of Primidone tablets, seeing competition for the first time, declined \$4,390,000. Sales of Digoxin tablets declined \$3,480,000.

The Company sells its products to customers in various categories. The table below identifies the Company's net sales to each category.

<u>Customer Category</u>	Fiscal 2005 Net Sales	Fiscal 2004 Net Sales	Fiscal 2003 Net Sales
Wholesaler/Distributor	\$24.8 million	\$43.0 million	\$20.6 million
Retail Chain	\$10.5 million	\$12.1 million	\$9.9 million
Mail-Order Pharmacy	\$5.9 million	\$4.3 million	\$2.6 million
Private Label	\$3.7 million	\$4.4 million	\$9.4 million
Total	\$44.9 million	\$63.8 million	\$42.5 million

Sales in every category, with the exception of 'Mail Order Pharmacy,' decreased the past year. This is a result of the factors described in the previous paragraph. Sales to mail order pharmacy increased due to an increase in product line, and a general increase across the business sector. Sales to wholesalers/distributors declined mainly due to the loss of primary position on the Amerisource Bergen pro-generic contract and a decrease in pricing with all wholesalers and distributors due to the competitive market.

Cost of sales increased by 17%, from \$26,856,875 in Fiscal 2004 to \$31,416,908 in Fiscal 2005. These costs include raw materials/cost of finished goods purchased and resold, which increased approximately \$4,071,000, shipping expense, which increased by approximately \$199,000 and other miscellaneous production-related expenses which increased in total by approximately \$290,000. Gross margin decreased from 58% in Fiscal 2004 to 30% in Fiscal 2005. The decrease in gross profit margin is a result of a decrease in net weighted average prices from some of the Company's products due to increased market competition, increases in direct and indirect costs as well as a change in the product sales mix. Depending on future market conditions for each of the Company's products, changes in the future sales product mix may occur. These changes may affect the gross profit percentage in future periods.

Research and development ("R&D") expenses increased by 6%, from \$5,895,096 in Fiscal 2004 to \$6,265,522 in Fiscal 2005. The increase in R&D is a result of contracting formulation development out to a third party laboratory for product development for \$940,000 in Fiscal 2005, and an increase of raw material consumption of approximately \$1,200,000 used in the development and formulation

of new products not yet approved by the FDA. These costs were offset by a decrease in Bio studies of \$1,185,000 from Fiscal 2004 to Fiscal 2005.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by 4%, from \$8,863,966 in Fiscal 2004 to \$9,194,377 in Fiscal 2005. This increase is primarily a result of Sarbanes-Oxley related accounting and consulting costs of approximately \$520,000 and an increase in insurance of \$160,000. These increases were partially offset by savings in various other expense accounts.

The Company's interest expense increased from approximately \$45,000 in Fiscal 2004 to approximately \$351,000 in Fiscal 2005 as a result of the borrowing under the "2003 Loan Financing" which included a mortgage loan, equipment loan and construction loan, each of which started in Fiscal 2005. Interest income increased from approximately \$24,000 in Fiscal 2004 to approximately \$165.622 in Fiscal 2005, as a result of investment of excess cash in marketable securities and a higher cash balance.

On March 23, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with Jerome Stevens Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (JSP) for the exclusive marketing and distribution rights in the United States to the current line of JSP products in exchange for four million (4,000,000) shares of the Company's common stock. As a result of the JSP agreement, the Company recorded an intangible asset of \$67,040,000 for the exclusive marketing and distribution rights obtained from JSP. An impairment charge was recorded against this intangible asset in the current fiscal year. The intangible asset was recorded based upon the fair value of the four million (4,000,000) shares at the time of issuance to JSP. The agreement was included as an Exhibit in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on May 5, 2004, as subsequently amended.

In June 2004, JSP's Levothyroxine Sodium tablet product received from the FDA an AB rating to the brand drug Levoxyl[®]. In December 2004, the product received from the FDA a second AB rating to the brand drug Synthroid[®]. As a result of the dual AB ratings, the Company was required to pay JSP an additional \$1.5 million in cash to reimburse JSP for expenses related to obtaining the AB ratings. As of June 30, 2005, the Company had recorded an addition to the intangible asset of \$1.5 million.

Management believed that events (as described in the next paragraph) occurred during Fiscal 2005 which indicated that the carrying value of the intangible asset was not recoverable. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 (FAS 144), *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, the Company engaged a third party valuation specialist to assist in the performance of an impairment test for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The impairment test was performed by discounting forecasted future net cash flows for the JSP products covered under the agreement and then comparing the discounted present value of those cash flows to the carrying value of the asset (inclusive of the \$1.5 million paid to JSP for the dual AB ratings). As a result of the testing, the Company determined that the intangible asset was impaired as of March 31, 2005. In accordance with FAS 144, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment loss of approximately \$46,093,000 to write the asset down to its fair value of approximately \$16,062,000 as of March 31, 2005. This impairment loss is shown on the statement of operations as a component of operating loss.

Several factors contributed to the impairment of this asset. In December 2004, the Levothyroxine Sodium tablet product received the AB rating to Synthroid[®]. The expected sales increase as a result of the AB rating did not occur in the third quarter of 2005. The delay in

receiving the AB rating to Synthroid[®] caused the Company to be competitively disadvantaged with its Levothyroxine Sodium tablet product and to lose market share to competitors whose products had already received AB ratings to both major brand thyroid deficiency drugs. Additionally, the generic market for thyroid deficiency drugs turned out to be smaller than it was anticipated to be as a result of a lower brand-to-generic substitution rate. Increased competition in the generic drug market, both from existing competitors and new entrants, has resulted in significant pricing pressure on other products supplied by JSP. The combination of these factors has resulted in diminished forecasted future net cash flows which, when discounted, yield a lower present value than the carrying value of the asset before impairment.

For the remaining nine years of the contract, the Company will incur annual amortization expense of approximately \$1,785,000. Amortization expense for the Fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 was approximately \$5,517,000 and \$1,315,000, respectively.

As a result of the items discussed above, the Company's financial results changed from an operating income of \$20,830,969 in Fiscal 2004 to an operating loss of (\$53,639,659) in Fiscal 2005.

The Company's income tax classification changed from an income tax expense of \$7,594,316 in Fiscal 2004 to an income tax benefit of (\$21,045,902) in Fiscal 2005 as a result of the Company's pre-tax loss. The effective tax rate increased slightly from 36.5% in 2004 to 39.1% in 2005.

The Company reported net loss of (\$32,779,596) for Fiscal 2005, or (\$1.36) basic and diluted loss per share, compared to net income of \$13,215,454 for Fiscal 2004, or \$0.63 basic and diluted earnings per share.

Results of Operations – Fiscal 2004 compared to Fiscal 2003

Net sales increased by 50%, from \$42,486,758 in Fiscal 2003 to \$63,781,219 in Fiscal 2004. Sales increased as a result of additions to the Company's prescription line of products, including Digoxin tablets, first marketed in September 2002, Levothyroxine Sodium tablets, first marketed in April 2003 and Unithroid tablets, first marketed in August 2003. These product additions had the effect of increasing the total net sales for Fiscal 2004 as compared to Fiscal 2003 due to the fact the Company sold the products for longer periods of time in the twelve months ended June 30, 2004. These product additions accounted for approximately \$20.5 million of the increase in net sales from Fiscal 2003 to Fiscal 2004. Additionally, sales of a portion of the Company's previously marketed products, such as Primidone tablets, Butalbital with Aspirin and Caffeine capsules and Dicyclomine HCL tablets and capsules increased by approximately \$4.8 million from Fiscal 2003 to Fiscal 2004 as a result of new customer accounts, increased unit sales and increased unit sales prices. The Company from time to time will raise its sales prices if there is an increase in the price of the brand named drug. Generally, the Company sells its products at the accepted market prices for such products. If the competitive environment changes, the Company monitors such changes to determine the effect on the market prices for its products. Such changes may include new competitors, fewer competitors, or an increase in the price of the innovator drug. The increase in sales of a portion of the Company's products was partially offset by a decrease in sales of certain other products, including Butalbital with Aspirin and Caffeine capsules (which decreased \$3.9 million) due to increased competition and a discontinuation of Pseudoephedrine Hydrochloride tablets (which resulted in a loss of sales of \$681,000).

The Company sells its products to customers in various categories. The table below identifies the Company's net sales to each category.

Customer Category	Fiscal 2004 Net Sales	Fiscal 2003 Net Sales	Fiscal 2002 Net Sales
Wholesaler/Distributor	\$43.0 million	\$20.6 million	\$10.4 million
Retail Chain	\$12.1 million	\$9.9 million	\$3.3 million
Mail-Order Pharmacy	\$4.3 million	\$2.6 million	\$1.1 million
Private Label	\$4.4 million	\$9.4 million	\$10.3 million
Total	\$63.8 million	\$42.5 million	\$25.1 million

Sales in every category, with the exception of private label, increased each of the past three years. This is a result of the factors described in the previous paragraph. Sales to private label customers decreased in Fiscal 2004 and 2003 as a result of the Company's successful efforts in growing the Lannett label accounts. Increasing sales to customers that purchased the Lannett label products (i.e. the wholesale, retail, and mail-order customer categories) had the effect of reducing sales to private label customers.

Cost of sales increased by 65%, from \$16,257,794 in Fiscal 2003 to \$26,856,875 in Fiscal 2004. The cost of sales increase is due to an increase in direct variable costs and certain indirect costs as a result of the increase in sales volume, and related production activities. These costs include raw materials/cost of finished goods purchased and resold, which increased approximately \$8,613,000, labor and benefits expenses, which increased by approximately \$1,641,000 and other miscellaneous production-related expenses which increased in total by approximately \$345,000. Gross margins decreased from 62% in Fiscal 2003 to 58% in Fiscal 2004. The decrease in gross profit margins is a result of a decrease in net weighted average prices from some of the Company's products due to increased market competition, increases in direct and indirect costs as well as a change in the product sales mix. During Fiscal 2004, a larger percentage of the Company's total net sales were from products supplied by JSP. The Company's average gross profit margin for products from JSP is less than the average gross profit margin for products internally manufactured. Depending on future market conditions for each of the Company's products, changes in the future sales product mix may occur. These changes may affect the gross profit percentage in future periods.

Research and development (R&D) expenses increased by 129%, from \$2,575,178 in Fiscal 2003 to \$5,895,096 in Fiscal 2004. This increase is primarily due to an increase in the costs of generic bioequivalence tests which are commonly required for ANDA submissions. The Company incurred approximately \$2.3 million in Fiscal 2004 for bioequivalence testing fees, compared to approximately \$265,000 in Fiscal 2003. The increase in R&D is also a result of an increase in the number of chemists in the R&D laboratory and the related payroll and benefits expenses, which increased by approximately \$1.1 million in Fiscal 2004 as compared to Fiscal 2003 and an increase of raw material consumption of approximately \$200,000 used in the development and formulation of new products not yet approved by the FDA.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by 104%, from \$4,337,558 in Fiscal 2003 to \$8,863,966 in Fiscal 2004. This increase is a result of an increase in the following expenses: payroll/incentive compensation and benefits, which increased by approximately \$2.4 million, consulting services, which increased by approximately \$343,000 (including Sarbanes-Oxley consulting), legal expenses, which increased by approximately \$282,000, computer support costs, which increased by approximately \$180,000, advertising expenses, which increased by approximately \$109,000, insurance expenses, which increased by approximately \$114,000, investor relations/marketing expenses, which increased by approximately \$14,000, directors fees, which increased by approximately \$174,000 and miscellaneous other expenses, including utilities, training, general and safety supplies, office supplies, accounting fees, telephone and rent expense. Such miscellaneous expenses comprised the remainder of the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses. The increases were due to the hiring of additional administrative employees and a general increase in administrative expenses due to the growth of the Company in terms of employees, production volume and sales.

Currently, the Company's only finished product inventory supplier is Jerome Stevens Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (JSP), in Bohemia, New York. Purchases of finished goods inventory from JSP accounted for approximately 81% of the Company's inventory purchases in Fiscal 2004, 62% in Fiscal 2003 and 26% in Fiscal 2002. On March 23, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with JSP for the exclusive distribution rights in the United States to the current line of JSP products, in exchange for four million (4,000,000) shares of the Company's common stock. The JSP products covered under the agreement included Butalbital, Aspirin, Caffeine with Codeine Phosphate capsules, Digoxin tablets and Levothyroxine Sodium tablets, sold generically and under the brand name Unithroid®. The term of the agreement is ten years, beginning on March 23, 2004 and continuing through March 22, 2014. Both Lannett and JSP have the right to terminate the contract if one of the parties does not cure a material breach of the contract within thirty (30) days of notice from the non-breaching party. During the term of the agreement, the Company is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to purchase minimum dollar quantities of JSP's products being distributed by the Company. The Company projects that it will be able to meet the minimum purchase requirements, but there is no guarantee that the Company will be able to do so. If the Company does not meet the minimum purchase requirements, JSP's sole remedy is to terminate the agreement. Under the agreement, JSP is entitled to nominate one person to serve on the Company's Board of Directors (the Board); provided, however, that the Board shall have the right to reasonably approve any such nominee in order to fulfill its fiduciary duty by ascertaining that such person is suitable for membership on the board of a publicly traded corporation including, but not limited to, complying with the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the American Stock Exchange and applicable law including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Agreement was included as an Exhibit in the Form 8-K filed by the Company on May 5, 2004. The obligation of the Company to issue the four million (4,000,000) shares was subject to the receipt of a fairness opinion issued by a recognized and reputable investment banking firm in opining that the issuance of the four million (4,000,000) shares and the resulting dilution of the ownership interest of the Company's minority shareholders was fair to such shareholders from a financial point of view. On April 20, 2004, the investment banker, Donnelly Penman and Partners, which was selected by the independent Directors of the Company's Board, opined that the issuance of the four million (4,000,000) shares and the resulting dilution of the ownership interest of the Company's minority shareholders was fair to such shareholders, from a financial point of view, in light of JSP's products' contribution or potential contribution to the Company's profitability. As such,

subsequent to April 20, 2004, the Company issued four million (4,000,000) shares to JSP's designees. As a result of the transaction, the Company recorded an intangible asset related to the contract in the amount of \$67,040,000. The intangible asset was recorded based upon the fair value of the (4,000,000) shares at the time of issuance to JSP. An impairment charge was recorded against this intangible asset in the fiscal year 2005. The Company will incur non-cash amortization expense for the intangible asset over the term of the contract. For the period April 2004 to June 2004, the Company incurred \$1,314,510 of non-cash amortization expense associated with the JSP intangible asset.

As a result of the items discussed above, the Company increased its operating income by 9%, from \$19,060,106 in Fiscal 2003 to \$20,830,969 in Fiscal 2004.

The Company's income tax expense increased from \$7,334,740 in Fiscal 2003 to \$7,594,316 in Fiscal 2004 as a result of the increase in taxable income.

The Company reported net income of \$13,215,454 for Fiscal 2004, or \$0.63 basic and diluted income per share, compared to net income of \$11,666,887 for Fiscal 2003, or \$0.58 basic and diluted income per share.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$8,079,212 for the year ended June 30, 2005 was attributable to net loss of (\$32,779,596), as adjusted for the effects of non-cash items of \$53,064,168 and net changes in operating assets and liabilities totaling (\$12,205,363). Significant changes in operating assets and liabilities are comprised of:

- 1. A decrease in trade accounts receivable of \$15,370,358 due to cash payments received by the Company in the first quarter of Fiscal 2005, including the collection of receivables from customers who had extended payment terms in the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2004 offered by the Company as a result of compatibility issues related to the Company's exchange of Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) documents. The decrease in the trade accounts receivable was also due to a lower level of sales in the current fiscal year.
- 2. A decrease in net inventory of \$2,824,481 primarily due to the increase in inventory reserve for obsolescence, specifically related to the anticipated expiration of Levothyroxine held in finished goods.
- 3. An increase in prepaid taxes of \$3,075,380 primarily attributable to estimated tax payments made during Fiscal 2005.
- 4. An increase in deferred tax assets of \$20,229,832 primarily attributable to the impairment loss of approximately \$46,093,000.
- 5. A decrease in accounts payable of \$4,431,906 is due to payments for inventory the Company purchased in the Fourth Quarter Fiscal 2004.

The net cash used in investing activities of \$12,627,198 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005 was attributable to the Company's purchase of: \$7,913,901 of investment securities, which consist primarily of U. S. government and agency marketable debt securities, and \$3,213,297 of capital expenditures related to the Company's renovation of its new facility on Torresdale Avenue and the purchase and installation of new equipment. The company additionally spent \$1,500,000 on an intangible asset related to an agreement with Jerome Stevens Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (JSP) for exclusive marketing and distribution rights in the United States.

In April 1999, the Company entered into a loan agreement (the "Agreement") with a governmental authority, the Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development (the "Authority") to finance future construction and growth projects of the Company. The Authority issued \$3,700,000 in tax-exempt variable rate demand and fixed rate revenue bonds to provide the funds to finance such growth projects pursuant to a trust indenture ("the "Trust indenture"). A portion of the Company's proceeds from the bonds was used to pay for bond issuance costs of approximately \$170,000. The remainder of the proceeds was deposited into a money market account, which was restricted for future plant and equipment needs of the Company, as specified in the Agreement. The Trust Indenture requires that the Company repay the Authority loan through installment payments beginning in May 2003 and continuing through May 2014, the year the bonds mature. The bonds bear interest at the floating variable rate determined by the organization responsible for selling the bonds (the "remarketing agent"). The interest rate fluctuates on a weekly basis. The effective interest rate at June 30, 2005 was 2.44%. At June 30, 2005, the Company has \$1,646,000 outstanding on the Authority loan, of which \$644,000 is classified as currently due. The remainder is classified as a long-term liability. In April 1999, an irrevocable letter of credit of \$3,770,000 was issued by a bank, Wachovia Bank, National Association (Wachovia), to secure payment of the Authority Loan and a portion of the related accrued interest. At June 30, 2005, no portion of the letter of credit has been utilized.

The Company has entered into agreements (the "2003 Loan Financing") with Wachovia to finance the purchase of the building, the renovation and setup of the building, and the Company's other anticipated capital expenditures for Fiscal 2004, including the implementation of its new Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system, and a new fluid bed drying process center at its current manufacturing plant at 9000 State Road. The 2003 Loan Financing includes the following:

- 1) A Mortgage Loan for \$2.7 million, used to finance the purchase of the Torresdale Avenue facility, and certain renovations at the facility.
- 2) An Equipment Loan for up to \$6 million, which will be used to finance equipment, the ERP system implementation and other capital expenditures.
- 3) A Construction Loan for \$1 million, used to finance the construction and fit up of the fluid bed drying process center, which is adjacent to the Company's current manufacturing plant at 9000 State Road.

As part of the 2003 Loan Financing Agreement, the Philadelphia Industrial Development Corporation will lend the Company up to \$1,250,000 as reimbursement for a portion of the Mortgage Loan from Wachovia. Until that Conversion Date occurs, the Company is required to make interest only payments on the Mortgage Loan. Commencing on the first day of the month following the Conversion Date, the Company is required to make monthly payments of principal and interest in amounts sufficient to fully amortize the principal balance of the loan Mortgage Loan 15 years after the Conversion Date. The entire outstanding principal amount of this Mortgage Loan, along with any accrued interest, shall be due no later than 15 years from the Conversion Date. As of June 30, 2005, the Conversion date has not taken place and the Company continues to make interest only payments. As of June 30, 2005, the Company has outstanding \$2.7 million under the Mortgage Loan, of which \$95,000 is classified as currently due.

The Equipment Loan consists of various term loans with maturity dates ranging from three to five years. The Company as part of the 2003 Loan Financing agreement is required to make

equal payments of principal and interest. As of June 30, 2005, the Company has outstanding \$4,487,000 under the Equipment Loan, of which \$1,342,000 is classified as currently due.

Under the Construction Loan, the Company is required to make equal monthly payments of principal and interest beginning on January 1, 2004 and ending on November 30, 2008, the maturity date of the loan. As of March 31, 2005, the Company has outstanding \$700,000 under the Construction Loan, of which \$189,000 is classified as currently due.

The financing facilities under the 2003 Loan Financing bear interest at a variable rate equal to the LIBOR rate plus 150 basis points. The LIBOR rate is the rate per annum, based on a 30-day interest period, quoted two business days prior to the first day of such interest period for the offering by leading banks in the London interbank market of dollar deposits. As of June 30, 2005, the interest rate for the 2003 Loan Financing was 4.93%.

The Company has a \$3,000,000 line of credit from Wachovia Bank, N.A. that bears interest at the prime interest rate less 0.25% (6.00% at June 30, 2005). The line of credit was renewed and extended to October 30, 2005. At June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company had \$0 outstanding and \$3,000,000 available under the line of credit. The line of credit is collateralized by substantially all of the Company's assets. The Company currently has no plans to borrow under this line of credit.

The terms of the line of credit, the loan agreement, the related letter of credit and the 2003 Loan Financing require that the Company meet certain financial covenants and reporting standards, including the attainment of standard financial liquidity and net worth ratios. As of June 30, 2005, the Company obtained a waiver from the lender due to a violation of one of its covenants. The Company expects to meet the financial covenants in the future.

In July 2004, the Company received \$500,000 of grant funding from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting through the Department of Community and Economic Development. The grant funding program requires the Company to use the funds for machinery and equipment located at their Pennsylvania locations, hire an additional 100 full-time employees by June 30, 2006, operate its Pennsylvania locations a minimum of five years and meet certain matching investment requirements. If the Company fails to comply with any of the requirements above, the Company would be liable to the full amount of the grant funding (\$500,000). The Company will record the unearned grant funds as a liability until the Company complies with all of the requirements of the grant funding program. On a quarterly basis, the Company will monitor its progress in fulfilling the requirements of the grant funding program and will determine the status of the liability. As of June 30, 2005, the Company has recognized the grant funding as a current liability under the caption of Unearned Grant Funds.

In August 2005, the Company loaned \$2 million to an active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) supplier. The Company also purchased shares of this API supplier from one of the founding partners for \$500,000 cash. Refer to Note 19 for further discussion.

Except as set forth in this report, the Company is not aware of any trends, events or uncertainties that have or are reasonably likely to have a material adverse impact on the Company's short-term or long-term liquidity or financial condition.

Prospects for the Future

The Company has several generic products under development. These products are all orallyadministered, products designed to be generic equivalents to brand named innovator drugs. The Company's developmental drug products are intended to treat a diverse range of indications. As the oldest generic drug manufacturer in the country, formed in 1942, Lannett currently owns several ANDAs for products which it does not manufacture and market. These ANDAs are simply dormant on the Company's records. Occasionally, the Company reviews such ANDAs to determine if the market potential for any of these older drugs has recently changed, so as to make it attractive for Lannett to reconsider manufacturing and selling it. If the Company makes the determination to introduce one of these products into the consumer marketplace, it must review the ANDA and related documentation to ensure that the approved product specifications, formulation and other factors meet current FDA requirements for the marketing of that drug. Generally, in these situations, the Company must file a supplement to the FDA for the applicable ANDA, informing the FDA of any significant changes in the manufacturing process, the formulation, or the raw material supplier of the previously-approved ANDA. The Company would then redevelop the product and submit it to the FDA for supplemental approval. The FDA's approval process for ANDA supplements is similar to that of a new ANDA.

A majority of the products in development represent either previously approved ANDAs that the Company is planning to reintroduce (ANDA supplements), or new formulations (new ANDAs). The products under development are at various stages in the development cycle—formulation, scale-up, and/or clinical testing. Depending on the complexity of the active ingredient's chemical characteristics, the cost of the raw material, the FDA-mandated requirement of bioequivalence studies, the cost of such studies and other developmental factors, the cost to develop a new generic product varies. It can range from \$100,000 to \$1 million. Some of Lannett's developmental products will require bioequivalence studies, while others will not—depending on the FDA's Orange Book classification. Since the Company has no control over the FDA review process, management is unable to anticipate whether or when it will be able to begin producing and shipping additional products.

In addition to the efforts of its internal product development group, Lannett has contracted with an outside firm for the formulation and development of several new generic drug products. These outsourced R&D products are at various stages in the development cycle — formulation, analytical method development and testing and manufacturing scale-up. These products are orally-administered solid dosage products intended to treat a diverse range of medical indications. It is the Company's intention to ultimately transfer the formulation technology and manufacturing process for all of these R&D products to the Company's own commercial manufacturing sites. The Company initiated these outsourced R&D efforts to compliment the progress of its own internal R&D efforts.

Occasionally the Company will work on developing a drug product that does not require FDA approval. The FDA allows generic manufacturers to manufacture and sell products which are equivalent to innovator drugs which are grand-fathered, under FDA rules, prior to the passage of the Hatch-Waxman Act of 1984. The FDA allows generic manufacturers to produce and sell generic versions of such grand-fathered products by simply performing and internally documenting the product's stability over a period of time. Under this scenario, a generic company can forego the time required for FDA ANDA approval.

The Company has also contracted with Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc., based in California, to market generic products developed and manufactured by Spectrum and/or its partners. The first applicable product under this agreement is ciprofloxacin tablets, the generic version of Cipro®, an anti-bacterial drug, marketed by Bayer Corporation, prescribed to treat infections. The Company has also initiated discussions with UniChem, of India, and Orion Pharma, of Finland, for similar new product initiatives, in which Lannett will market and distribute products manufactured by third parties. Lannett intends to use its strong customer relationships to build its market share for such products, and increase future revenues and income.

The majority of the Company's R&D projects are being developed in-house under Lannett's direct supervision and with Company personnel. Hence, the Company does not believe that its outside contracts for product development and manufacturing supply, including Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc., are material in nature, nor is the Company substantially dependent on the services rendered by such outside firms. Since the Company has no control over the FDA review process, management is unable to anticipate whether or when it will be able to begin producing and shipping such additional products.

The Company plans to enhance relationships with strategic business partners, including providers of product development research, raw materials, active pharmaceutical ingredients as well as finished goods. Management believes that mutually beneficial strategic relationships in such areas, including potential financing arrangements, partnerships, joint ventures or acquisitions, could allow for potential competitive advantages in the generic pharmaceutical market. For example, the Company has entered into prepayment arrangements in exchange for discounted purchase prices on certain active pharmaceutical ingredients (API) and oral dosage forms. The Company has also arranged for a loan to a certain API provider that should facilitate the availability of difficult to source material in the future. The Company plans to continue to explore such areas for potential opportunities to enhance shareholder value.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The Consolidated Financial Statements and Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm filed as a part of this Form 10-K are listed in the Exhibit Index filed herewith.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"),, as amended for financial reporting as of June 30, 2005. Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that these controls

and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported as specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. There were no changes in these controls or procedures identified in connection with the evaluation of such controls or procedures that occurred during our last fiscal quarter, or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect these controls or procedures.

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. These disclosure controls and procedures include, among other things, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer and effected by the board of directors and management to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit
 preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted
 accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being
 made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and board of
 directors:
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2005. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*.

Based on our assessment, our management believes that, as of June 30, 2005, our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Directors and Executive Officers

The directors and executive officers of the Company are set forth below:

	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
<u>Directors</u> :		
William Farber	73	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Ronald A. West	71	Director
Myron Winkelman	67	Director
Albert Wertheimer	62	Director
Officers:		
Arthur P. Bedrosian	59	President
Brian J. Kearns	39	Vice President of Finance, Treasurer, Secretary and Chief Financial Officer
Kevin Smith	45	Vice President of Sales and Marketing
Bernard Sandiford	76	Vice President of Operations
William Schreck	56	Vice President of Logistics

William Farber R. Ph. was elected as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer in August 1991. From April 1993 to the end of 1993, Mr. Farber was the President and a director of Auburn Pharmaceutical Company. From 1990 through March 1993, Mr. Farber served as Director of Purchasing for Major Pharmaceutical Corporation. From 1965 through 1990, Mr. Farber was the Chief Executive Officer of Michigan Pharmacal Corporation. Mr. Farber is a registered pharmacist in the State of Michigan.

Albert I. Wertheimer was elected a Director of the Company in September 2004. Dr. Wertheimer has a long and distinguished career in various aspects of pharmacy, health care, education and pharmaceutical research. Since 2000, Dr. Wertheimer has been a professor at the School of Pharmacy at Temple University, and director of its Center for Pharmaceutical Health Services Research. From 1997 to 2000, Dr. Wertheimer was Director of Outcomes Research and Management at Merck & Co., Inc. In addition to his academic responsibilities, he is the author of 20 books and more than 350 journal articles. Dr. Wertheimer also provides consulting services to institutions in the pharmaceutical industry. Dr. Wertheimer's academic experience

includes professorships and other faculty and administrative positions at several educational institutions, including the Medical College of Virginia, St. Joseph's University, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science and the University of Minnesota. Dr. Wertheimer's previous professional experience includes pharmacy services in commercial and non-profit environments. Professor Wertheimer is a licensed pharmacist in five states, and is a member of several health associations, including the American Pharmacists Association and the American Public Health Association. Dr. Wertheimer is the editor of the "Journal of Pharmaceutical Finance and Economic Policy"; and he has been on the editorial board of the Journal of Managed Pharmaceutical Care, Medical Care, and other healthcare journals. Dr. Wertheimer has a Bachelor of Science Degree in Pharmacy from the University of Buffalo, an Master of Business Administration from the State University of New York at Buffalo, a Physical Science Doctorate from Purdue University and a Post Doctoral Fellowship from the University of London, St. Thomas' Medical School.

Ronald A. West was elected a Director of the Company in January 2002. Mr. West is currently a Director of Beecher Associates, an industrial real estate investment company, R&M Resources, an investment and consulting services company and North East Staffing, Inc., an employee services company. Prior to this, from 1983 to 1987, Mr. West, financial expert for the audit committee at Lannett, served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Dura Corporation, an original equipment manufacturer of automotive products and other engineered equipment components. In 1987, Mr. West sold his ownership position in Dura Corporation, at which time he retired from active management positions. Mr. West was employed at Dura Corporation since 1969. Prior to this, he served in various financial management positions with TRW, Inc., Marlin Rockwell Corporation and National Machine Products Group, a division of Standard Pressed Steel Company. Mr. West studied Business Administration at Michigan State University and the University of Detroit.

Myron Winkelman, R. Ph. was elected a Director of the Company in June 2003. Mr. Winkelman has significant career experience in various aspects of pharmacy and health care. He is currently President of Winkelman Management Consulting (WMC), which provides consulting services to both commercial and governmental clients. He has served in this position since 1994. Mr. Winkelman has recently managed multi-state drug purchasing initiatives for both Medicaid and state entities. Prior to creating WMC, he was a senior executive with ValueRx, a large pharmacy benefits manager, and served for many years as a senior executive for the Revco, Rite Aid and Perry Drug chains. While at ValueRx, Mr. Winkelman served on the Board of Directors of the Pharmaceutical Care Management Association. He belongs to a number of pharmacy organizations, including the Academy of Managed Care Pharmacy and the Michigan Pharmacy Association. Mr. Winkelman is a registered pharmacist and holds a Bachelor of Science Degree in Pharmacy from Wayne State University.

Arthur P. Bedrosian, J.D. was elected President of the Company in May 2002. Prior to this, he served as the Company's Vice President of Business Development from January 2002 to April 2002, and as a Director from February 2000 to January 2002. Mr. Bedrosian has operated generic drug manufacturing, sales, and marketing businesses in the healthcare industry for many years. Prior to joining the Company, from 1999 to 2001, Mr. Bedrosian served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Trinity Laboratories, Inc., a medical device and drug manufacturer. Mr. Bedrosian also operated Pharmaceutical Ventures Ltd, a healthcare consultancy and Interal Corporation, a computer consultancy to Fortune 100 companies. Mr. Bedrosian holds a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Political

Science from Queens College of the City University of New York and a Juris Doctorate from Newport University in California.

Brian J. Kearns was elected Vice President of Finance, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company in March 2005 and Secretary in May 2005. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Kearns served as the Executive Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of MedQuist Inc., a healthcare information management company, from 2000 through 2004. Prior to joining MedQuist, Mr. Kearns was Vice President and Senior Health Care IT analyst at Banc of America Securities from 1999 trough 2000. Mr. Kearns also held various positions with Salomon Smith Barney from 1994 through 1998, including Senior Analyst of Business Services Equity Research. Prior to that, Mr. Kearns held several financial management positions during his seven years at Johnson & Johnson. Mr. Kearns holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from Lehigh University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Rider University, where he matriculated with distinction.

Kevin Smith joined the Company in January 2002 as Vice President of Sales and Marketing. Prior to this, from 2000 to 2001, he served as Director of National Accounts for Bi-Coastal Pharmaceutical, Inc., a pharmaceutical sales representation company. Prior to this, from 1999 to 2000, he served as National Accounts Manager for Mova Laboratories Inc., a pharmaceutical manufacturer. Prior to this, from 1991 to 1999, Mr. Smith served as National Sales Manager at Sidmak Laboratories, a pharmaceutical manufacturer. Mr. Smith has extensive experience in the generic sales market, and brings to the Company a vast network of customers, including retail chain pharmacies, wholesale distributors, mail-order wholesalers and generic distributors. Mr. Smith has a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration from Gettysburg College.

Bernard Sandiford joined the Company in November 2002 as Vice President of Operations. Prior to this, from 1998 to 2002, he was the President of Sandiford Consultants, a firm specializing in providing consulting services to drug manufacturers for Good Manufacturing Practices and process validations. His previous employment included senior operating positions with Halsey Drug Company, Barr Laboratories, Inc., Duramed Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Revlon Health Care Group. In addition to these positions, Mr. Sandiford performed various consulting assignments regarding Good Manufacturing Practices for several companies in the pharmaceutical industry. Mr. Sandiford has a Bachelor of Science Degree in Chemistry from Long Island University.

William Schreck joined the Company in January 2003 as Materials Manager. In May 2004, he was promoted to Vice President of Logistics. Prior to this, from 1999 to 2001, he served as Vice President of Operations at Nature's Products, Inc., an international nutritional and over-the-counter drug product manufacturing and distribution company. Mr. Schreck's prior experience also includes executive management positions at Ivax Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a division of Ivax Corporation, Zenith-Goldline Laboratories and Rugby-Darby Group Companies, Inc. Mr. Schreck has a Bachelor of Arts Degree from Hofstra University.

To the best of the Company's knowledge, there have been no events under any bankruptcy act, no criminal proceedings and no judgments or injunctions that are material to the evaluation of the ability or integrity of any director, executive officer, or significant employee during the past five years.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's directors, officers, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities to file with the SEC reports of ownership and changes in ownership of common stock and other equity securities of the Company. Officers, directors and greater-than-10% stockholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on review of the copies of such reports furnished to the Company or written representations that no other reports were required, the Company believes that during Fiscal 2005, all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors and greater-than-10% beneficial owners were complied with, except for the following:

None

Code of Ethics and Financial Expert

The Company has adopted the Code of Professional Conduct (the "code of ethics"), a code of ethics that applies to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Controller, and other finance organization employees. The code of ethics is publicly available on our website at www.lannett.com. If the Company makes any substantive amendments to the finance code of ethics or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the code to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, or Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Controller, we will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver on our website or in a report on Form 8-K.

The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. West, current director of Lannett as well as director of Beecher Associates, an industrial real estate investment company, R&M Resources, an investment and consulting services company and North East Staffing, Inc., an employee services company and previously the Chief Executive Officer of Dura Corporation, is the audit committee financial expert as defined in section 3(a)(58) of the Exchange Act and the related rules of the Commission.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes all compensation paid to or earned by the named executive officers of the Company for Fiscal 2005, Fiscal 2004 and Fiscal 2003.

					Long T			
Α	annual Co	mpensation	Awa	ards	Payouts			
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary	Bonus	Other Annual Compen- sation	Restricted Stock Award(s)	Securities Underlying Options / SARs	LTIP Payout Amount	All Other Compen- sation Amounts
William Farber	2005	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	\$0	\$ 44,000 ⁴
Chairman of the Board	2004	0	0	0	0	87,500	0	$26,000^4$
of Directors and Chief Executive Officer	2003	0	0	0	0	37,500	0	3,000 ⁴
Arthur P. Bedrosian ²	2005	236,709 ¹	168,750	0	0	0	0	0
President	2004	212,548 ¹	240,000	0	0	177,900	0	0
	2003	179,175	77,500	0	0	114,600	0	0
Kevin Smith	2005	171,578	95,518	0	0	0	0	0
Vice President of Sales	2004	160,488	158,410	0	0	0	0	0
and Marketing	2003	156,504	46,500	0	0	0	0	0
William Schreck	2005	140,862	73,750	0	0	0	0	0
Vice President of	2004	103,927	37,500	0	0	0	0	0
Logistics	2003	41,154 ⁵	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larry Dalesandro ³	2005	134,993	99,645	0	0	0	0	0
Former Chief Financial	2004	135,8421	156,000	0	0	129,595	0	0
Officer, Treasurer	2003	134,9841	59,675	0	0	74,595	0	0

Includes matching contribution payments made to the Company's 401(k) Plan (3% of eligible compensation) for the benefit of the employee noted.

Mr. Bedrosian joined the Company on January 24, 2002 as Vice President of Business Development. On May 5, 2002, he was elected President of the Company.

- Mr. Dalesandro joined the Company on January 11, 1999 as Controller. He was elected Chief Operating Officer on November 1, 1999. On June 18, 2003, he was elected Chief Financial Officer, and voluntarily resigned the position of Chief Operating Officer. Dec. 2, 2004, he resigned from the Company.
- These amounts represent payments to Mr. Farber for participation and attendance at Board of Director Meetings.
- Mr. Schreck was hired mid-fiscal year 2003 as Material Manager and then promoted May 2004 to Vice President of Logistics.

Aggregated Options/SAR Exercises and Fiscal Year-end Options/SAR Values

(a)	(b)	(c)	(e) Value of	
Name	Shares Acquired On Exercise	Value Realized	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options at FY-End Exercisable/ Unexercisable	Unexercised In-the-Money Options at FY-End Exercisable/ Unexercisable
Kevin Smith			0/	\$0/
Vice President of Sales	10,001	100%	0	\$0
William Farber Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer	0	0	54,165/ 33,335	\$0/ \$0
Arthur Bedrosian	0	0	87,599/ 60,301	\$0/ \$0

Compensation of Directors

Directors received compensation of \$1,000 per Board meeting in Fiscal 2005. Additionally, starting in January of 2004, directors received compensation of \$2,500 per month retainer. There were thirteen Board meetings held during Fiscal 2005. Additional committees of the Board of Directors included the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Strategic Planning Committee. Committee members received compensation of \$1,000 per Committee meeting in Fiscal 2005. There were six Audit Committee meetings and two Strategic Planning Committee Meetings held during Fiscal 2005. Directors are reimbursed for expenses incurred in attending Board and Committee meetings. In addition to the Committees noted, in February 2004, the Board of Directors created a Special Committee, consisting of the three independent Board Directors, to look after the best interests of the shareholders of the Company. The Committee was created after William Farber entered into an option agreement with Perrigo Company, Inc. to potentially acquire all of the shares owned by William Farber and his wife. Special Independent Committee members received \$3,000 per

meeting. There were seven Special Independent Committee meetings held during Fiscal 2005. The following table identifies the stock options granted to directors in Fiscal 2005.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options/SARs Granted (#)	% of Total Options/SARs Granted to Recipients in Fiscal Year	Exercise or Base Price (\$/Share)	Expiration Date
Albert Wertheimer	20,000	15.2%	20,000 @ \$9.02	12/8/2014

Employment Agreements

The Company has entered into employment agreements with Arthur Bedrosian, Brian Kearns, Kevin Smith, Bill Schreck, and Bernard Sandiford (the "Named Executives"). Each of the agreements provide for an annual base salary and eligibility to receive a bonus. The salary and bonus amounts of the Named Executives are determined by the Board of Directors. Additionally, the Named Executives are eligible to receive stock options, which are granted at the discretion of the Board of Directors, and in accordance with the Company's policies regarding stock option grants.

Under the agreements, the Named Executive employees may be terminated at any time with or without cause, or by reason of death or disability. In certain termination situations, the Company is liable to pay severance compensation to the Named Executive of between one year and three years.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2005, information regarding the security ownership of the directors and certain executive officers of the Company and persons known to the Company to be beneficial owners of more than five (5%) percent of the Company's common stock:

		Excluding Options <u>and Debentures</u>		Including Opt	tions (*)	
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	<u>Office</u>	Number of Shares	Percent of Class	Number of Shares	Percent of Class	
Directors/Executive Office	<u>rs</u> :					
William Farber 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Chairman of the Board	13,619,129 ¹	56.22%	13,656,629 ²	56.38%	
Albert Wertheimer 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Director	0	0.00%	20,000	0.08%	
Myron Winkelman 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Director	1,000	0.00%	1,000	0.00%	
Ronald A. West 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Director	7,310	0.03%	17,258 ³	0.07%	
Arthur Bedrosian 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	President	448,697 ⁴	1.85%	492,997 ⁵	2.04%	
Brian Kearns 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	CFO	0	0.00%	100,000	0.41%	
Kevin Smith 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Vice President of Sales and Marketing	76	0.00%	71,836	0.30%	
William Schreck 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Vice President of Logistics	0	0.00%	17,745	0.07%	
Bernard Sandiford 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Vice President of Operations	287	0.00%	38,167	0.15%	
All directors and executive officers as a group (7 persons)		14,076,499	58.43%	14,415,632	59.52%	

- Includes 300,000 shares owned jointly by William Farber and his spouse Audrey Farber.
- Includes 37,500 vested options to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$7.97 per share.
- Includes 9,948 vested options to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$7.97 per share.
- Includes 27,450 shares owned by Arthur Bedrosian's wife, Shari Bedrosian and 9,000 shares owned by Arthur Bedrosian's daughter, Talin Bedrosian. Mr. Bedrosian disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares.
- Includes 12,000 vested options to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$4.63 per share and 32,300 vested options to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$7.97 per share.
 - * Assumes that all options exercisable within sixty days have been exercised, which results in 24,222,960 shares outstanding.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The Company had sales of approximately \$590,000, \$590,000 and \$348,000 during the years ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, to a generic distributor, Auburn Pharmaceutical Company (the "related party") in which the owner, Jeffrey Farber, is the son of the Chairman of the Board of Directors and principal shareholder of the Company, William Farber. Accounts receivable includes amounts due from the related party of approximately \$179,000, and \$117,000 at June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In the Company's opinion, the terms of these transactions were not more favorable to the related party than would have been to a non-related party.

Stuart Novick, the son of Marvin Novick, a Director on the Company's Board of Directors through January 13, 2005, was employed by two insurance brokerage companies (the "Insurance Brokers") that provide insurance agency services to the Company. The Company paid approximately \$732,000, \$499,000 and \$28,000 during Fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, to the Insurance brokers for various insurance coverage policies. There was approximately \$71,200 and \$9,400 due to the Insurance brokers as of June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In the Company's opinion, the terms of these transactions were not more favorable to the related party than would have been to a non-related party.

In January 2005, Lannett Holdings, Inc. entered into an agreement pursuant which it purchased for \$100,000 and future royalty payments the proprietary rights to manufacture and distribute a product for which Pharmeral, Inc. owns the ANDA. This agreement is subject to Lannett Holdings, Inc's ability to obtain FDA approval to use the proprietary rights. In the event that such FDA approval cannot be obtained, Pharmeral, Inc. must repay the \$100,000 to Lannett Holdings, Inc. Accordingly, the Company has treated this payment as a prepaid asset. Arthur Bedrosian, President of Lannett, was formerly the President and Chief Executive Officer and currently owns 100% of Pharmeral, Inc. This transaction was approved by the Board of Directors of Lannett and, in its opinion, the terms were not more favorable to the related party than they would have been to a non-related party.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Grant Thornton LLP served as the independent auditors of the Company during Fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003. No relationship exists other than the usual relationship between independent public accountant and client. The following table identifies the fees paid to Grant Thornton LLP in Fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003.

Audit Fees	Audit-Related Fees (1)	Tax Fees (2)	All Other Fees (3)	Total Fees
Fiscal 2005:				
\$110,500	\$2,850	\$52,475	\$203,895	\$369,720
Fiscal 2004: \$92,124	\$5,000	\$29,621	\$38,325	\$165,070
Fiscal 2003: \$72,561	\$7,700	\$17,816	\$45,343	\$143,420

- (1) Audit-related fees include fees paid for preparation and participation in Board of Director meetings, and Audit Committee meetings.
- (2) Tax fees include fees paid for preparation of annual federal, state and local income tax returns, quarterly estimated income tax payments, and various tax planning services. Fiscal 2005 includes fees paid to Grant Thornton for services rendered during an IRS audit.

(3) Other fees include:

Fiscal 2005 – A large portion of the fees paid were for services rendered in connection with Sarbanes –Oxley compliance and internal control assessment. Other fees were for review of various SEC correspondence and fees for services rendered in connection with the Company's application to various local and state entities for benefits related to the Company's facility expansion.

Fiscal 2004 – Fees paid for services rendered in connection with arbitrage calculations on certain tax exempt bond issues, review of stock option documentation, review of S-3 registration statement filing for the four million shares granted to JSP, review of various SEC correspondence and fees for services rendered in connection with the Company's application to various local and state entities for benefits related to the Company's facility expansion.

Fiscal 2003 – Fees paid for services rendered in connection with the Company's application to various local and state entities for benefits related to the Company's facility expansion; and services rendered in connection with an engagement for interest expense arbitrage calculations on certain tax exempt bond issues.

The non-audit services provided to the Company by Grant Thornton LLP were pre-approved by the Company's audit committee. Prior to engaging its auditor to perform non-audit services, the Company's audit committee reviews the particular service to be provided and the fee to be paid by the Company for such service and assesses the impact of the service on the auditor's independence.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) A list of the exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K to be filed as of this Form 10-K is shown on the Exhibit Index filed herewith
- (b) Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The following are included herein:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2005

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2005

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2005

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Supplementary Data (Unaudited)

(c) On March 21, 2005, the Company filed a Form 8-K disclosing Item 7 and Item 12 thereof and including as an exhibit the press release announcing its employment agreement with Brian Kearns.

On Dec. 3, 2004, the Company filed a Form 8-K disclosing Item 2 and Item 7 thereof and including as an exhibit the agreement and press release announcing that on Dec. 1, 2004 the Company came to a separation agreement with the CFO Larry Dalesandro.

On August 20, 2004, , the Company filed a Form 8-K disclosing Item 2 and Item 7 thereof and including as an exhibit and press release, the Company announced its results of operations for the quarter ended and fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LANNETT COMPANY, INC.

Date: September 13, 2005 By: / s / William Farber

William Farber,

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: September 13, 2005 By: / s / Brian Kearns

Brian Kearns,

Vice President of Finance, Treasurer, and

Chief Financial Officer

Date: September 13, 2005 By: / s / Ronald West

Ronald West,

Director, Chairman of Audit Committee

Date: September 13, 2005 By: / s / Myron Winkelman

Myron Winkelman,

Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: September 13, 2005 By: / s / William Farber

William Farber.

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: September 13, 2005 By: /s / Brian Kearns

Brian Kearns,

Vice President of Finance, Treasurer, and

Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 13 Annual Report on Form 10-K

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders of Lannett Company, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Lannett Company, Inc. (a Pennsylvania corporation) as of June 30 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Lannett Company, Inc. as of June 30, 2005 and 2004, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Lannett Company, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control--Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated September 1, 2005 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania September 1, 2005

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders of Lannett Company, Inc.

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Lannett Company, Inc. (a Pennsylvania Corporation) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Lannett Company, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Lannett Company, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2005, is fairly stated, in

all material respects, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also in our opinion, Lannett Company, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Lannett Company, Inc. as of June 30, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2005 and our report dated September 1, 2005 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania September 1, 2005

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30,	2005	2004
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash	\$ 4,165,601	\$ 8,966,954
Trade accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$70,000 and \$260,000, respectively)	10,735,529	24,240,887
Inventories	9,988,769	12,813,250
Prepaid taxes	3,957,993	882,613
Other current assets	1,966,270	1,016,050
Deferred tax assets	3,123,953	942,689
Total current assets	33,938,115	48,862,443
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT	23,746,161	15,259,693
Less accumulated depreciation	<u>(7,121,313)</u>	(5,666,798)
	16,624,848	9,592,895
CONSTRUCTION IN PROGRESS	2,079,650	7,352,821
INVESTMENT SECURITIES – Available for Sale	7,888,708	-
DEFERRED TAX ASSETS	18,610,159	166,332
INTANGIBLE ASSET (Product rights), net of accumulated amortization	15,615,835	65,725,490
OTHER ASSETS	<u>159,745</u>	204,103
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 94,917,060</u>	\$ 131,904,084
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 2,269,776	\$ 1,988,716
Accounts payable	1,208,148	5,640,054
Rebates and chargebacks payable	10,750,000	8,885,000
Accrued expenses	1,667,638	3,424,859
Unearned grant funds	500,000	
Total current liabilities	16,395,562	19,938,629
LONG-TERM DEBT, LESS CURRENT PORTION	7,262,672	8,104,141
DEFERRED TAX LIABILITY	2,009,582	1,614,323
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Common stock - authorized 50,000,000 shares, par value \$0.001;		
issued and outstanding, 24,111,140 and 24,074,710 shares, respectively	24,111	24,075
Additional paid-in capital	70,157,431	69,955,855
Retained (deficit) earnings	(512,535)	32,267,061
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(25,193)	
	69,643,814	102,246,991

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Treasury Stock at Cost – 50,900 and 0 shares, respectively

TOTAL LIABILITES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Total shareholders' equity

394,570

<u>\$ 94,917,060</u> <u>\$ 131,904,084</u>

102,246,991

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,

TEARS ENDED JUNE 50,	2005	2004	2003		
NET SALES	\$ 44,901,645	\$ 63,781,219	\$ 42,486,758		
COST OF SALES	31,416,908	26,856,875	16,257,794		
Gross profit	13,484,737	36,924,344	26,228,964		
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES	6,265,522	5,895,096	2,575,178		
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	9,194,377	8,863,966	4,337,558		
AMORTIZATION EXPENSE	5,516,417	1,314,510	-		
LOSS ON SALE OF ASSETS	1,466	19,803	119,279		
LOSS ON IMPAIRMENT/ABANDONMENT OF ASSETS	46,146,613		136,843		
Operating (loss)income	(53,639,658)	20,830,969	19,060,106		
OTHER INCOME(EXPENSE):					
Interest income	165,622	43,101	2,297		
Interest expense	(351,462)	(64,300)	(60,776)		
					
	(185,840)	(21,199)	(58,479)		
(LOSS)/INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE(BENEFIT)	(53,825,498)	20,809,770	19,001,627		
INCOME TAX (BENEFIT)EXPENSE	(21,045,902)	7,594,316	7,334,740		
NET (LOSS)INCOME	<u>\$ (32,779,596)</u>	<u>\$ 13,215,454</u>	<u>\$ 11,666,887</u>		
Basic (loss)earnings per common share	<u>\$ (1.36)</u>	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.58		
Diluted (loss)earnings per common share	<u>\$ (1.36)</u>	\$ 0.63	<u>\$ 0.58</u>		

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005, 2004 AND 2003

	Commo	nmon Stock		Additional			Retained						
_	Shares Issued	A	Amount		Paid-in Capital		Earnings (Deficit)		reasury Stock		ım. Other mp. Loss	Sh	areholders' Equity
BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2002	19,894,257	\$	19,894	\$	2,360,261	\$	7,385,894	\$	-	\$	-	\$	9,766,049
Exercise of stock options Stock Split-shares repurchased due to odd quantity holders	131,709 (95)		132		165,816 -		- (1,174)		-		-		165,948 (1,174)
Net income				_		_	11,666,887						11,666,887
BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2003	20,025,871	\$	20,026	\$	2,526,077	\$	19,051,607	\$	-	\$	-	\$	21,597,710
Exercise of stock options	36,867		37		232,079		-		-		-		232,116
Shares issued in connection with employee stock purchase plan	11,972		12		161,699		-		-		-		161,711
Shares issued in connection with JSP product rights contract	4,000,000		4,000		67,036,000		-		-		-		67,040,000
Net Income				_	<u>-</u>		13,215,454						13,215,454
BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2004	24,074,710	\$	24,075	\$	69,955,855	\$	32,267,061	\$		\$		\$	102,246,991
Exercise of stock options Shares issued in connection with employee stock	19,136		19		60,892		-		-		-		60,911
purchase plan	17,304		17		140,684		-		-		-		140,701
Other Comp. Loss	-		-		-		-		-		(25,193)		(25,193)
Cost of Treasury Stock	-		-		-		-		(394,570)		-		(394,570)
Net Loss				_			(32,779,596)						(32,779,596)
BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2005	24,111,140	\$	24,111	\$	70,157,431	\$	(512,535)	\$	(394,570)	\$	(25,193)	\$	69,249,244

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

OPERATING ACTIVITIES: S (32,779,596) \$ 13,215,454 \$ 11,666,887 Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net eash provided by operating activities: 8 (50,779,596) \$ 2,506,427 9282,188 Loss on disposal/impairment of assets 46,093,236 19,303 256,122 Defered tax (henefit) expense (20,229,832) (37,09) 161,390 Changes in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash: 15,370,358 (12,953,719) (61,370,180) Inventories 2,824,481 (4,637,452) (3,238,591) Prepaid taxes (30,75,380) (382,613) (3,238,591) Prepaid expenses and other current assets (905,862) (35,057) (26,133) Accounts payable (4,431,906) 9,080,751 4,017,952 Accounts payable (4,311,906) 9,080,751 4,017,952 Accounts payable (3,075,380) 8,799,197 6,652,466 Income taxes payable (1,757,219) 2,898,429 (131,461) Income taxes payable (3,23,297) (10,749,636) (2,618,936) NVESTING ACTIVITIES: (3,23,297)	YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,		2005	2004		2003
Net (loss) income	OPERATING ACTIVITIES:					
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net eash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization 6,970,932 2,506,427 982,188 Loss on disposal/impairment of assets 46,093,236 19,803 256,122 Deferred tax (benefit) expenses (20,229,832) (37,209) 161,390 Changes in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash: Trade accounts receivable 15,370,358 (12,953,719) (6,137,916) Inventories 2,824,481 (4,637,452) (3,238,891) Prepaid taxes (30,975,880) (882,613) (2,261,320) Prepaid expenses and other current assets (90,55,62) (356,057) (261,230) Accounts payable (4,431,906) 9,087,751 (4,017,952) Accounts payable (1,757,219) 2,898,429 (131,461) Income taxes payable (1,757,219) 2,898,429 (131,461) Income taxes payable (66,2935) Net cash provided by operating activities 8,079,212 (8,799,197) (66,2935) Net cash provided by operating activities (1,500,000) (1,749,636) (2,618,936) Deposits paid on muchinery and equipment (3,213,297) (10,749,636) (2,618,936) Deposits paid on muchinery and equipment not yet received Purchases of intrangible asset (1,500,000) Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment (1,500,000) (1,049,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Net cash used in investing activities (1,500,000) (1,0749,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Net repayments under line of credit (2,163,015) (1,085,669) (842,048) Proceeds from grant flunding (2,163,015) (1,085,669) (842,048) Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released (3,045,70) (1,074,066) (3,047,066) Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released (3,045,70) (1,074,066) (3,047,066) Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released (3,045,70) (1,074,066) (3,047,066) Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released (3,045,70) (1,074,066) (3,047,066) Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released (3,045,70) (1,074,066) (3,047,066) Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released (3,045,70) (1,047,066) (3,047,066) (3,047,066) Proceeds from debt, net of restricted ca	Net (loss) income	\$	(32,779,596)	\$ 13,215,454	\$	11,666,887
Depreciation and amortization 6,970,932 2,506,427 982,188 Loss on disposal/impairment of assets 46,093,236 19,803 256,122 Deferred tax (benefit) expense (20,229,832) (37,209) 161,390 Changes in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash: Trade accounts receivable 15,370,358 (12,953,719) (6,137,916) Inventories 2,824,481 (4,674,522 (3,238,791) Inventories 2,824,481 (4,674,522 (3,238,791) Inventories (905,862) (336,057) (261,230) Accounts payable (4,431,906) (908,862) (336,057) (261,230) Accounts payable (4,431,906) (9,089,751 (4,017,952) Accounts payable (4,431,906) (9,089,751 (662,935) Accounts payable (1,757,219) (63,617) (662,935) Account sex payable (1,757,219) (63,617) (662,935) Account sex payable (1,757,219) (1,749,636) (2,618,936) Deposits paid on machinery and equipment (3,213,297) (10,749,636) (2,618,936) Deposits paid on machinery and equipment to yet received (1,500,000) (1,049,636) (2,618,936) Proceads from sale of property, plant and equipment (7,913,001) (1,049,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: (1,500,000) (1,049,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: (2,627,198) (10,749,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: (2,627,198) (10,749,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: (2,627,198) (1,085,669) (4,24,048) Froceads from sale of property, plant and equipment (2,627,198) (1,085,669) (3,627,198) (4,627,		,	(- ,,-,-,,	-, -, -		, ,
Despeciation and amortization	-					
Description Company			6,970,932	2,506,427		982,188
Charges in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash: (37,209) 161,390 Changes in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash: 15,370,358 (12,953,719) (6,137,916) Inventories 2,824,481 (4,637,452) (3,238,591) Prepaid taxes (90,5862) (36,057) (26,1230) Accounts payable (4,431,906) 9,089,751 (4,017,952) Accrued expenses and other current assets (9,757,219) 2,898,429 (131,461) Income taxes payable (4,431,906) 9,089,751 (66,29,35) Accrued expenses (1,757,219) 2,898,429 (131,461) Income taxes payable (1,577,219) 2,898,429 (131,461) Income taxes payable (1,579,120) 2,879,197 6,652,406 Inventage of property, plant and equipment (3,213,297) (10,749,636) (2,618,936) Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received (1,500,000) - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -						
Trade accounts receivable 15,370,358 (12,953,719) (6,137,916) Trade accounts receivable 15,370,358 (12,953,719) (6,137,916) Inventories 2,824,481 (4,637,452) (3,238,591) Prepaid taxes (3,075,380) (882,613)			(20,229,832)	(37,209)		
Trade accounts receivable 15,370,358 (12,953,719) (6,137,916) Inventorices 2,824,481 (4,637,452) (3,238,591) Prepaid expenses and other current assets (905,862) (356,057) (261,230) Accounts payable (4,431,906) 9,089,751 4,017,952 Accrued expenses (1,757,219) 2,888,429 (131,461) Income taxes payable (63,617) (662,935) Net cash provided by operating activities 8,079,212 8,799,197 6,652,406 INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchases of property, plant and equipment (3,213,297) (10,749,636) (2,618,936) Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received Purchase of ritangible asset (1,500,000) - - - Purchase of AFS investment securities (7,913,901) - - - - Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment (12,627,198) (10,749,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: (10,00,000) - - - - - - - - - - -						
Inventories	•		15,370,358	(12,953,719)		(6,137,916)
Prepaid tuxes (3,075,380) (882,613)	Inventories		2,824,481	(4,637,452)		(3,238,591)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets (905,862) (356,057) (261,230) Accounts payable (4431,906) 9,089,751 4,017,952 Accrued expenses (1,757,219) 2,898,429 (131,461) Income taxes payable (63,617) (662,935) Net cash provided by operating activities 8,079,212 8,799,197 6,652,406 INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchases of property, plant and equipment (3,213,297) (10,749,636) (2,618,936) Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received Purchase of intangible asset (1,500,000) - - - Purchases of AFS investment securities (7,913,901) - - - - Purchases of AFS investment securities (12,627,198) (10,749,636) (2,243,933) - Net cash used in investing activities (12,627,198) (10,749,636) (2,243,933) - FINANCING ACTIVITIES: - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<	Prepaid taxes					-
Accounts payable (4,431,906) 9,089,751 4,017,952 Accrued expenses (1,757,219) 2,898,429 (131,461) Income taxes payable ————————————————————————————————————	-		(905,862)			(261,230)
Income taxes payable — (63.617) (662.935) Net cash provided by operating activities 8.079.212 8.799.197 6,652.406 INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchases of property, plant and equipment (3,213.297) (10,749.636) (2,618.936) Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received Purchase of intangible asset 1,500.000) — — — Purchases of AFS investment securities (7,913.901) —				9,089,751		4,017,952
Income taxes payable — (63.617) (662.935) Net cash provided by operating activities 8.079.212 8.799.197 6,652.406 INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchases of property, plant and equipment (3,213.297) (10,749.636) (2,618.936) Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received Purchase of intangible asset 1,500.000) — — — Purchases of AFS investment securities (7,913.901) —	Accrued expenses		(1,757,219)	2,898,429		(131,461)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchases of property, plant and equipment (3,213,297) (10,749,636) (2,618,936) Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received						
INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchases of property, plant and equipment (3,213,297) (10,749,636) (2,618,936) Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received						
Purchases of property, plant and equipment (3,213,297) (10,749,636) (2,618,936) Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received Purchase of intangible asset (1,500,000) - - Purchases of AFS investment securities (7,913,901) - - - Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment - - 375,003 Net cash used in investing activities (12,627,198) (10,749,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: - - - (202,688) Repayments under line of credit - - - (202,688) Repayments of debt (2,163,015) (1,085,669) (842,048) Proceeds from grant funding 500,000 - - Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH <td>Net cash provided by operating activities</td> <td></td> <td>8,079,212</td> <td>8,799,197</td> <td></td> <td>6,652,406</td>	Net cash provided by operating activities		8,079,212	8,799,197		6,652,406
Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received Purchase of intangible asset (1,500,000)	INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Purchase of intangible asset (1,500,000) - - Purchases of AFS investment securities (7,913,901) - - Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment - - 375,003 Net cash used in investing activities (12,627,198) (10,749,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Net repayments under line of credit - - (202,688) Repayments of debt (2,163,015) (1,085,669) (842,048) Proceeds from grant funding 500,000 - - Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released 1,602,606 8,080,724 - Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, END OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 </td <td>Purchases of property, plant and equipment</td> <td></td> <td>(3,213,297)</td> <td>(10,749,636)</td> <td></td> <td>(2,618,936)</td>	Purchases of property, plant and equipment		(3,213,297)	(10,749,636)		(2,618,936)
Purchases of AFS investment securities (7,913,901) - - 375,003 Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment - - 375,003 Net cash used in investing activities (12,627,198) (10,749,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: - - - (202,688) Net repayments under line of credit - - - (202,688) Repayments of debt (2,163,015) (1,085,669) (842,048) Proceeds from grant funding 500,000 - - - Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released 1,602,606 8,080,724 - - Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, EDD OF	Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received		-	-		-
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment - - 375,003 Net cash used in investing activities (12,627,198) (10,749,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Secondary of the payments under line of credit - - - (202,688) Repayments of debt (2,163,015) (1,085,669) (842,048) Proceeds from grant funding 500,000 - - - Proceeds from festricted cash released 1,602,606 8,080,724 - - Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688				-		-
Net cash used in investing activities (12,627,198) (10,749,636) (2,243,933) FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Net repayments under line of credit - - (202,688) Repayments of debt (2,163,015) (1,085,669) (842,048) Proceeds from grant funding 500,000 - - Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released 1,602,606 8,080,724 - Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 - CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ 3,528,511 \$ 3,528,512 \$ 57,688			(7,913,901)	-		277.002
FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Net repayments under line of credit	Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment					3/5,003
Net repayments under line of credit - - (202,688) Repayments of debt (2,163,015) (1,085,669) (842,048) Proceeds from grant funding 500,000 - - Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released 1,602,606 8,080,724 - Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 - CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688	Net cash used in investing activities		(12,627,198)	(10,749,636)		(2,243,933)
Net repayments under line of credit - - (202,688) Repayments of debt (2,163,015) (1,085,669) (842,048) Proceeds from grant funding 500,000 - - Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released 1,602,606 8,080,724 - Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 - CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688	FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Repayments of debt (2,163,015) (1,085,669) (842,048) Proceeds from grant funding 500,000 - - Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released 1,602,606 8,080,724 - Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 - CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688	Net repayments under line of credit		-	-		(202,688)
Proceeds from grant funding 500,000 - - Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released 1,602,606 8,080,724 - Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 - CASH, END OF YEAR \$4,165,601 \$8,966,954 \$3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$351,462 \$32,102 \$57,688			(2,163,015)	(1,085,669)		
Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released 1,602,606 8,080,724 - Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 - CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688				_		_
Proceeds from issuance of stock 201,612 393,827 165,948 Treasury stock transactions (394,570) - - Payments made in lieu of stock split - - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 - CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688				8 080 72 <i>1</i>		
Treasury stock transactions (394,570) -						165.049
Payments made in lieu of stock split - - (1,174) Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 - CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688			ŕ	393,821		105,948
Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities (253,367) 7,388,882 (879,962) NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688			(394,570)	-		(1.174)
NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH (4,801,353) 5,438,443 3,528,511 CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688	r ayments made in ned of stock spiri		-			(1,174)
CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR 8,966,954 3,528,511 - CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688	Net cash (used in)provided by financing activities		(253,367)	7,388,882		(879,962)
CASH, END OF YEAR \$ 4,165,601 \$ 8,966,954 \$ 3,528,511 SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688	NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH		(4,801,353)	5,438,443		3,528,511
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688	CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR		8,966,954	3,528,511		
INFORMATION - Interest paid \$ (351,462) \$ 32,102 \$ 57,688	CASH, END OF YEAR		\$ 4,165,601	\$ 8,966,954	:	\$ 3,528,511
Income taxes paid <u>\$ 3,149,620</u> <u>\$ 8,540,546</u> <u>\$ 7,436,964</u>	Interest paid	\$	(351,462)	\$ 32,102		\$ 57,688
	Income taxes paid	\$	3,149,620	\$ 8,540,546		\$ 7,436,964

<u>Non-Cash Transaction:</u> In Fiscal 2004, the Company had a non-cash transaction associated with the JSP Product Rights Contract. For the exclusive rights to all of JSP products, the Company issued 4,000,000 shares to JSP. The Company recorded an intangible asset in the amount of \$67,040,000. No cash was exchanged in the transaction.

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Lannett Company, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company"), a Delaware corporation, develops, manufactures, packages, markets and distributes pharmaceutical products sold under generic chemical names.

The Company is engaged in an industry which is subject to considerable government regulation related to the development, manufacturing and uymarketing of pharmaceutical products. In the normal course of business, the Company periodically responds to inquiries or engages in administrative and judicial proceedings involving regulatory authorities, particularly the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA).

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the operating parent company, Lannett Company, Inc., its wholly owned subsidiary, Lannett Holdings, Inc., and its inactive wholly owned subsidiary, Astrochem Corporation. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Reclassifications – Certain reclassifications have been made to prior years' financial information to conform to the June 30, 2005 presentation.

Revenue Recognition - The Company recognizes revenue when its products are shipped. At this point, title and risk of loss have transferred to the customer and provisions for estimates, including rebates, promotional adjustments, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, and other potential adjustments are reasonably determinable. Accruals for these provisions are presented in the consolidated financial statements as rebates and chargebacks payable and reductions to net sales. The change in the reserves for various sales adjustments may not be proportionally equal to the change in sales because of changes in both the product and the customer mix. Increased sales to wholesalers will generally require additional rebates. Incentives offered to secure sales vary from product to product. Provisions for estimated rebates and promotional and other credits are estimated based on historical payment experience, customer inventory levels, and contract terms. Provisions for other customer credits, such as price adjustments, returns, and chargebacks, require management to make subjective judgments. Unlike branded innovator drug companies, Lannett does not use information about product levels in distribution channels from third-party sources, such as IMS and NDC Health, in estimating future returns and other credits.

Chargebacks – The provision for chargebacks is the most significant and complex estimate used in the recognition of revenue. The Company sells its products directly to wholesale distributors, generic distributors, retail pharmacy chains, and mail-order pharmacies. The Company also sells its products indirectly to independent pharmacies, managed care organizations, hospitals, nursing homes, and group purchasing organizations, collectively referred to as "indirect customers."

Lannett enters into agreements with its indirect customers to establish pricing for certain products. The indirect customers then independently select a wholesaler from which to actually purchase the products at these agreed-upon prices. Lannett will provide credit to the wholesaler for the difference between the agreed-upon price with the indirect customer and the wholesaler's invoice price if the price sold to the indirect customer is lower than the direct price to the wholesaler. This credit is called a chargeback. The provision for chargebacks is based on expected sell-through levels by the Company's wholesale customers to the indirect customers and estimated wholesaler inventory levels. As sales to the large wholesale customers, such as Cardinal Health, AmerisourceBergen, and McKesson, increase, the reserve for chargebacks will also generally increase. However, the size of the increase depends on the product mix. The Company continually monitors the reserve for chargebacks and makes adjustments when management believes that actual chargebacks may differ from estimated reserves.

Rebates – Rebates are offered to the Company's key customers to promote customer loyalty and encourage greater product sales. These rebate programs provide customers with rebate credits upon attainment of pre-established volumes or attainment of net sales milestones for a specified period. Other promotional programs are incentive programs offered to the customers. At the time of shipment, the Company estimates reserves for rebates and other promotional credit programs based on the specific terms in each agreement. The reserve for rebates increases as sales to certain wholesale and retail customers increase. However, these rebate programs are tailored to the customers' individual programs. Hence, the reserve will depend on the mix of customers that comprise such rebate programs.

Returns – Consistent with industry practice, the Company has a product returns policy that allows select customers to return product within a specified period prior to and subsequent to the product's lot expiration date in exchange for a credit to be applied to future purchases. The Company's policy requires that the customer obtain pre-approval from the Company for any qualifying return. The Company estimates its provision for returns based on historical experience, changes to business practices, and credit terms. While such experience has allowed for reasonable estimations in the past, history may not always be an accurate indicator of future returns. The Company continually monitors the provisions for returns and makes adjustments when management believes that actual product returns may differ from established reserves. Generally, the reserve for returns increases as net sales increase. The reserve for returns is included in the rebates and chargebacks payable account on the balance sheet.

Other Adjustments – Other adjustments consist primarily of price adjustments, also known as "shelf stock adjustments," which are credits issued to reflect decreases in the selling prices of the Company's products that customers have remaining in their inventories at the time of the price reduction. Decreases in selling prices are discretionary decisions made by management to reflect competitive market conditions. Amounts recorded for estimated shelf stock adjustments are based upon specified terms with direct customers, estimated declines in market prices, and estimates of inventory held by customers. The Company regularly monitors these and other factors and evaluates the reserve as additional information becomes available. Other adjustments are included in the rebates and chargebacks payable account on the balance sheet.

The following tables identify the reserves for each major category of revenue allowance and a summary of the activity for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004:

For the Year Ended June 30, 2005

Reserve Category	<u>Chargebacks</u>	Rebates	<u>Returns</u>	Other	<u>Total</u>
Reserve Balance as of June 30, 2004	\$ 6,484,500	\$ 1,864,200	\$ 448,000	\$ 88,300	\$ 8,885,000
Actual Credits Issued-Related To Sales Recorded in Fiscal 2004	(4,978,300)	(1,970,000)	(523,100)	(95,800)	(7,567,200)
Actual Credits Issued-Related To Sales Recorded in Fiscal 2005	(14,534,600)	(5,965,500)	(1,166,800)	(586,400)	(22,253,300)
Additional Reserves Charged to Net Sales During Fiscal 2005	21,028,100	7,100,100	2,933,900	623,400	31,685,500
Reserve Balance as of June 30, 2005	\$ <u>7,999,700</u>	\$ <u>1,028,800</u>	\$ <u>1,692.000</u>	\$ <u>29,500</u>	\$ <u>10,750,000</u>
For the Year Ended June 30, 2004					
Reserve Category	Chargebacks	Rebates	Returns	Other	<u>Total</u>
Reserve Balance as of June 30, 2003	\$ 1,638,000	\$ 889,900	\$ 210,200	\$ 33,900	\$ 2,772,000
	\$ 1,638,000 (1,604,000)	\$ 889,900 (1,166,400)	\$ 210,200 (182,700)	\$ 33,900	\$ 2,772,000 (2,953,100)
June 30, 2003 Actual Credits Issued-Related			,	\$ 33,900 - (410,000)	
June 30, 2003 Actual Credits Issued-Related To Sales Recorded in Fiscal 2003 Actual Credits Issued-Related	(1,604,000)	(1,166,400)	(182,700)	-	(2,953,100)

The Company ships its products to the warehouses of its wholesale and retail chain customers. When the Company and a customer come to an agreement for the supply of a product, the customer will generally continue to purchase the product, stock its warehouse(s), and resell the product to its own customers. The Company's customer will continually reorder the product as its warehouse is depleted. The Company generally has no minimum size orders for its customers. Additionally, most warehousing customers prefer not to stock excess inventory levels due to the additional carrying costs and inefficiencies created by holding excess inventory. As such, the Company's customers continually reorder the Company's products. It is common for the Company's customers to order the same products on a monthly basis. For generic pharmaceutical manufacturers, it is critical to ensure that customers' warehouses are adequately stocked with its products. This is important due to the fact that several generic competitors compete for the consumer demand for a given product. Availability of inventory ensures that a

manufacturer's product is considered. Otherwise, retail prescriptions would be filled with competitors' products. For this reason, the Company periodically offers incentives to its customers to purchase its products. These incentives are generally up-front discounts off its standard prices at the beginning of a generic campaign launch for a newly-approved or newly-introduced product, or when a customer purchases a Lannett product for the first time. Customers generally inform the Company that such purchases represent an estimate of expected resale for a period of time. This period of time is generally up to three months. The Company records this revenue, net of any discounts offered and accepted by its customers at the time of shipment. The Company's products have either 24 months or 36 months of shelf-life at the time of manufacture. The Company monitors its customers' purchasing trends to attempt to identify any significant lapses in purchasing activity. If the Company observes a lack of recent activity, inquiries will be made to such customer regarding the success of the customer's resale efforts. The Company attempts to minimize any potential return (or shelf life issues) by maintaining an active dialogue with the customers.

The products that the Company sells are generic versions of brand named drugs. The consumer markets for such drugs are well-established markets with many years of historically-confirmed consumer demand. Such consumer demand may be affected by several factors, including alternative treatments, cost, etc. However, the effects of changes in such consumer demand for the Company's products, like generic products manufactured by other generic companies, are gradual in nature. Any overall decrease in consumer demand for generic products generally occurs over an extended period of time. This is because there are thousands of doctors, prescribers, third-party payers, institutional formularies and other buyers of drugs that must change prescribing habits and medicinal practices before such a decrease would affect a generic drug market. If the historical data the Company uses and the assumptions management makes to calculate its estimates of future returns, chargebacks, and other credits do not accurately approximate future activity, its net sales, gross profit, net income and earnings per share could change. However, management believes that these estimates are reasonable based upon historical experience and current conditions.

Accounts Receivable - The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and adjusts credit limits based upon payment history and the customer's current credit worthiness, as determined by a review of current credit information. The Company continuously monitors collections and payments from its customers and maintains a provision for estimated credit losses based upon historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that have been identified. While such credit losses have historically been within the both Company's expectations and the provisions established, the Company cannot guarantee that it will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that it has in the past.

Inventories - The Company values its inventory at the lower of cost (determined by the first-in, first-out method) or market, regularly reviews inventory quantities on hand, and records a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on estimated forecasts of product demand and production requirements. The Company's estimates of future product demand may prove to be inaccurate, in which case it may have understated or overstated the provision required for excess and obsolete inventory. In the future, if the Company's inventory is determined to be overvalued, the Company would be required to recognize such costs in cost of goods sold at the time of such determination. Likewise, if inventory is determined to be undervalued, the Company may have recognized excess cost of goods sold in previous periods and would be required to recognize such additional operating income at the time of sale.

Property, Plant and Equipment - Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is provided for by the straight-line and accelerated methods over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2005, 2004, and 2003 was approximately \$1,799,000, \$1,192,000, and \$945,000, respectively.

Investment Securities – The Company's investment securities consist of marketable debt securities, primarily in U.S. government and agency obligations. All of the Company's marketable debt securities are classified as available-for-sale and recorded at fair value, based on quoted market prices. Unrealized holding gains and losses are recorded, net of any tax effect, as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. No gains or losses on marketable debt securities are realized until they are sold or a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary. If a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary, an impairment charge is recorded and a new cost basis in the investment is established. There were no securities determined by management to be other-than-temporarily impaired for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2005.

Deferred Debt Acquisition Costs - Costs incurred in connection with obtaining financing are amortized by the straight-line method over the term of the loan agreements. Amortization expense for debt acquisition costs for they years ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was approximately \$23,000, \$35,000 and \$37,000, respectively.

Shipping and Handling Costs – The cost of shipping products to customers is recognized at the time the products are shipped, and is included in **Cost of Sales**.

Research and Development – Research and development expenses are charged to operations as incurred.

Intangible Assets – On March 23, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with Jerome Stevens Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (JSP) for the exclusive marketing and distribution rights in the United States to the current line of JSP products in exchange for four million (4,000,000) shares of the Company's common stock. As a result of the JSP agreement, the Company recorded an intangible asset of \$67,040,000 for the exclusive marketing and distribution rights obtained from JSP. The intangible asset was recorded based upon the fair value of the four million (4,000,000) shares at the time of issuance to JSP. An impairment charge was recorded against this intangible asset in the current fiscal year. The agreement was included as an Exhibit in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on May 5, 2004, as subsequently amended.

In June 2004, JSP's Levothyroxine Sodium tablet product received from the FDA an AB rating to the brand drug Levoxyl[®]. In December 2004, the product received from the FDA a second AB rating to the brand drug Synthroid[®]. As a result of the dual AB ratings, the Company is required to pay JSP an additional \$1.5 million in cash to reimburse JSP for expenses related to obtaining the AB ratings. As of June 30, 2005, the Company had recorded an addition to the intangible asset of \$1.5 million.

Management believes that events occurred (as described in subsequent paragraphs) which indicated that the carrying value of the intangible asset was not recoverable. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 (FAS 144), Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, the Company engaged a third party valuation specialist to assist in the performance of an impairment test for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The impairment test was performed by discounting forecasted future net cash flows for the

JSP products covered under the agreement and then comparing the discounted present value of those cash flows to the carrying value of the asset (inclusive of the \$1.5 million payable to JSP for the second AB rating). As a result of the testing, the Company had determined that the intangible asset was impaired as of March 31, 2005. In accordance with FAS 144, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment loss of approximately \$46,093,000 to write the asset down to its fair value of approximately \$16,062,000 as of the date of the impairment. This impairment loss is shown on the statement of operations as a component of operating loss. Management concluded that, as of June 30, 2005, the intangible asset is correctly stated at fair value and, therefore, no adjustment was required.

Management believes that several factors contributed to the impairment of this asset. In December 2004, the Levothyroxine Sodium tablet product received the AB rating to Synthroid[®]. The expected sales increase as a result of the AB rating did not occur in the third quarter of 2005. The delay in receiving the AB rating to Synthroid[®] caused the Company to be competitively disadvantaged with its Levothyroxine Sodium tablet product and to lose market share to competitors whose products had already received AB ratings to both major brand thyroid deficiency drugs. Additionally, the generic market for thyroid deficiency drugs turned out to be smaller than it was anticipated to be as a result of a lower brand-to-generic substitution rate. Increased competition in the generic drug market, both from existing competitors and new entrants, has resulted in significant pricing pressure on other products supplied by JSP. The combination of these factors resulted in diminished forecasted future net cash flow which, when discounted, yield a lower present value than the carrying value of the asset before impairment.

The Company will incur annual amortization expense of approximately \$1,785,000 for the intangible asset over the remaining term of the contract. For the period ending June 30, 2005, the Company incurred \$5,516,000 of non-cash amortization expense associated with the JSP intangible asset.

Future annual amortization expense of the JSP intangible asset consists of the following:

Year Ending June 30,	Annual Amortization Expense
2006	\$ 1,785,000
2007	1,785,000
2008	1,785,000
2009	1,785,000
2010	1,785,000
Thereafter	6,691,000
	<u>\$ 15,616,000</u>

Advertising Costs - The Company charges advertising costs to operations as incurred. Advertising expense for the years ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was approximately \$157,000, \$291,000, and \$118,000, respectively.

Income Taxes - The Company uses the liability method specified by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 (FAS), *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities as measured by the enacted tax rates which will be in effect when these differences reverse. Deferred tax expense/(benefit) is the result of changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Segment Information – The Company reports segment information in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 131 (FAS 131), Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. The Company operates one business segment-generic pharmaceuticals, accordingly the Company has one reporting segment. In accordance with FAS 131, the Company aggregates its financial information for all products and reports on one operating segment.

Long-Lived Assets - In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 (FAS 144), Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, the Company engaged a third party valuation specialist to assist in the performance of an impairment test on the JSP product rights intangible asset for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The impairment test was performed by discounting forecasted future net cash flows for the JSP products covered under the agreement and then comparing the discounted present value of those cash flows to the carrying value of the asset (inclusive of the \$1.5 million payable to JSP for the second AB rating). As a result of the testing, the Company has determined that the intangible asset was impaired as of March 31, 2005. In accordance with FAS 144, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment loss of approximately \$46,093,000 to write the asset down to its fair value of approximately \$16,062,000 as of March 31, 2005. This impairment loss is shown on the statement of operations as a component of operating loss. Impairment losses recognized during the years ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were \$46,093,000, \$0 and \$137,000, respectively.

Concentration of Market and Credit Risk – Five of the Company's products, defined as generics containing the same active ingredient or combination of ingredients, accounted for approximately 31%, 24%, 16%, 10% and 12%, respectively, of net sales for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005; and 22%, 21%, 17%, 15%, and 10%, respectively, of net sales for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.

Three of the Company's customers accounted for 17%, 14%, and 9%, respectively, of net sales for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005; and 17%, 17%, and 10%, respectively, of net sales for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.

Credit terms are offered to customers based on evaluations of the customers' financial condition. Generally, collateral is not required from customers. Accounts receivable payment terms vary and are stated in the financial statements at amounts due from customers net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. Accounts remaining outstanding longer than the payment terms are considered past due. The Company determines its allowance by considering a number of factors, including the length of time trade accounts receivable are past due, the Company's previous loss history, the customer's current ability to pay its obligation to the Company, and the condition of the general economy and the industry as a whole. The Company writes-off accounts receivable when they become uncollectible, and payments subsequently received on such receivables are credited to the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Stock Options - At June 30, 2005, the Company had two stock-based employee compensation plans (See Note 9). The Company accounts for stock options under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123 (FAS 123), Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148 (FAS 148), Accounting for Stock Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure. Under this statement, companies may use a fair value-based method for valuing stock-based compensation, which measures compensation cost at the grant date based on the fair value of the award. Compensation is then recognized over the

service period, which is usually the vesting period. Alternatively, FAS 123 permits entities to continue accounting for employee stock options and similar equity instruments under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (APB 25), Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. Entities that continue to account for stock options using APB 25 are required to make pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share, as if the fair value based method of accounting defined in FAS 123 had been applied. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share as if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation.

		une 3	÷ 30,		
		2005	2004		2003
Net (loss)/income, as reported	\$	(32,779,597)	\$ 13,215,454	\$	11,666,887
Deduct: Total compensation expense					
determined under fair value-based					
method for all stock awards		(2,616,888)	(950,658)		(539,029)
Add: Tax savings at effective rate		1,023,203	 346,933		208,065
Pro forma net (loss)/income	\$	(34,373,282)	\$ 12,611,729	\$	11,335,923
(Loss)/Earnings per share:					
Basic, as reported	\$	(1.36)	\$ 0.63	\$	0.58
Basic, pro forma	\$	(1.43)	\$ 0.61	\$	0.57
Diluted, as reported	\$	(1.36)	\$ 0.63	\$	0.58
Diluted, pro forma	\$	(1.43)	\$ 0.60	\$	0.56

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes options pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used for grants in 2005, 2004 and 2003: expected volatility of 42.6%, 31.2% and 79.1%, respectively; risk-free interest rates between 4.13% and 4.52% for 2005, 4.36% and 4.79% for 2004 and 3.89% and 4.47% for 2003; and expected lives of ten years.

In December 2004, the FASB revised FAS 123. This Statement supersedes APB 25 and its related implementation guidance and eliminates the alternative to use APB 25's intrinsic value method of accounting that was provided in FAS 123 as originally issued. Under APB 25, issuing stock options to employees generally resulted in recognition of no compensation cost. FAS 123 (revised) requires entities to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of those awards. That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award – the requisite service period (usually the vesting period). The Company plans to adopt FAS 123R (revised) for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 and is currently assessing the impact of this adoption. For further discuss, refer to Note 2.

Unearned Grant Funds – The Company records all grant funds received as a liability until the Company fulfills all the requirements of the grant funding program.

Note 2. New Accounting Standards

In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 151 (SFAS No. 151), *Inventory Costs – an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4*. Paragraph 5 of ARB 43, Chapter 4 previously stated that "...under some circumstances, items such as idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight, and rehandling costs may be so abnormal as to require treatment as current period charges..." SFAS No. 151 requires that those items be recognized as current period charges regardless of whether they meet the criterion of "so abnormal." The adoption of SFAS No. 151 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets – an amendment of APB Opinion No.* 29 (SFAS No. 153). APB Opinion No. 29 requires a nonmonetary exchange of assets be accounted for at fair value, recognizing any gain or loss, if the exchange meets a commercial substance criterion and fair value is determinable. The commercial substance criterion is assessed by comparing the entity's expected cash flows immediately before and after the exchange. SFAS No. 153 eliminates the "similar productive assets exception," which accounts for the exchange of assets at book value with no recognition of gain or loss. SFAS No. 153 will be effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We do not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 153 will have a material impact on our financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS No. 123R), which requires companies to expense the fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation to employees. It also provides guidance for determining whether an award is a liability-classified award or an equity-classified award, and determining fair value. SFAS No. 123R applies to all unvested stock-based payment awards outstanding as of the adoption date. Pursuant to a rule announced by the Securities and Exchange Commission in April 2005, SFAS No. 123R must be adopted no later than the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after June 15, 2005. We have not completed an assessment of the impact on our financial statements resulting from potential modifications to our equity-based compensation structure or the use of an alternative fair value model in anticipation of adopting SFAS No. 123R. The Company plans to adopt SFAS No. 123R for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections – a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3 (SFAS No. 154), which replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements, and changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle, and also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. SFAS No. 154 will be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. SFAS No. 154 does not change the transition provisions of any existing accounting pronouncements, including those that are in a transition phase as of the effective date of SFAS No. 154. We do not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 154 will have a material impact on our financial statements.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FIN 47 "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143." This Interpretation clarifies that a conditional retirement obligation refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation to perform the asset retirement activity is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and (or) method of settlement. Accordingly, an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation if the fair value of the liability can be reasonably estimated. The liability should be recognized when incurred, generally upon acquisition, construction or development of the asset. FIN 47 is effective no later than the end of the fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. We have not completed an assessment of the impact that adoption of FIN 47 will have on our financial statements.

Note 3. Inventories

Inventories at June 30, 2005 and 2004 consist of the following:

		<u>2005</u>		<u>2004</u>
Raw Materials	\$	5,091,883	\$	4,195,255
Work-in-process		1,351,112		626,647
Finished Goods		3,303,478		7,854,975
Packaging Supplies	_	242,296	_	136,373
	\$	9,988,769	<u>\$</u>	12,813,250

The preceding amounts are net of inventory obsolescence reserves of \$5,300,000 and \$515,000 at June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Note 4. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment at June 30, 2005 and 2004 consist of the following:

	Useful Lives	2005	2004
Land	_	\$ 233,414	\$ 33,414
Building and Improvements	10 - 39 years	9,339,706	3,526,003
Machinery and equipment	5-10 years	13,347,416	11,504,877
Furniture and fixtures	5-7 years	825,625	195,399
		\$ 23,746,161	\$ 15,259,693

Note 5. Bank Line of Credit

The Company has a \$3,000,000 line of credit from Wachovia that bears interest at the prime interest rate less 0.25% (6.00% at June 30, 2005). The line of credit was renewed and extended to October 2005, at which time the Company expects to renew and extend the due date. At June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company had \$0 outstanding and \$3,000,000 available under the line of credit. The Company does not currently expect to borrow cash under this line of credit in the future due to the available cash on hand, and the cash expected to be provided by its results of operations in the future. The line of credit is collateralized by substantially all Company assets.

Note 6. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt at June 30, 2005 and 2004 consists of the following

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Tax-exempt Bond Loan	\$ 1,645,720	\$ 2,287,802
Mortgage Loan	2,700,000	2,700,000
Equipment Loan	4,486,729	4,205,055
Construction Loan	699,999	900,000
	\$ 9,532,448	\$ 10,092,857
Less current portion	2,269,776	1,988,716
-	<u>\$ 7,262,672</u>	<u>\$ 8,104,141</u>

In April 1999, the Company entered into a loan agreement (the "Agreement") with a governmental authority, the Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development (the "Authority") to finance future construction and growth projects of the Company. The Authority issued \$3,700,000 in tax-exempt variable rate demand and fixed rate revenue bonds to provide the funds to finance such growth projects pursuant to a trust indenture ("the "Trust indenture"). A portion of the Company's proceeds from the bonds was used to pay for bond issuance costs of approximately \$170,000. The remainder of the proceeds was deposited into a money market account, which was restricted for future plant and equipment needs of the Company, as specified in the Agreement. The Trust Indenture requires that the Company repay the Authority loan through installment payments beginning in May 2003 and continuing through May 2014, the year the bonds mature. The bonds bear interest at the floating variable rate determined by the organization responsible for selling the bonds (the "remarketing agent"). The interest rate fluctuates on a weekly basis. The effective interest rate at June 30, 2005 was 2.44%. At June 30, 2005, the Company has \$1,646,000 outstanding on the Authority loan, of which \$644,000 is classified as currently due. The remainder is classified as a long-term liability. In April 1999, an irrevocable letter of credit of \$3,770,000 was issued by a bank, Wachovia Bank, National Association (Wachovia), to secure payment of the Authority Loan and a portion of the related accrued interest. At June 30, 2005, no portion of the letter of credit has been utilized.

The Company has entered into agreements (the "2003 Loan Financing") with Wachovia to finance the purchase of the building, the renovation and setup of the building, and the Company's other anticipated capital expenditures for Fiscal 2004, including the implementation of its new Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system, and a new fluid bed drying process center at its current manufacturing plant at 9000 State Road. The 2003 Loan Financing includes the following:

- 1) A Mortgage Loan for \$2.7 million, used to finance the purchase of the Torresdale Avenue facility, and certain renovations at the facility.
- 2) An Equipment Loan for up to \$6 million, which will be used to finance equipment, the ERP system implementation and other capital expenditures.
- 3) A Construction Loan for \$1 million, used to finance the construction and fit up of the fluid bed drying process center, which is adjacent to the Company's current manufacturing plant at 9000 State Road.

As part of the 2003 Loan Financing Agreement, the Philadelphia Industrial Development Corporation will lend the Company up to \$1,250,000 as reimbursement for a portion of the Mortgage Loan from Wachovia. Until that Conversion Date occurs, the Company is required to make interest only payments on the Mortgage Loan. Commencing on the first day of the month following the Conversion Date, the Company is required to make monthly payments of principal and interest in amounts sufficient to fully amortize the principal balance of the loan Mortgage Loan 15 years after the Conversion Date. The entire outstanding principal amount of this Mortgage Loan, along with any accrued interest, shall be due no later than 15 years from the Conversion Date. As of June 30, 2005, the Conversion date has not taken place and the Company continues to make interest only payments. As of June 30, 2005, the Company has outstanding \$2.7 million under the Mortgage Loan, of which \$95,000 is classified as currently due.

The Equipment Loan consists of various term loans with maturity dates ranging from three to five years. The Company as part of the 2003 Loan Financing agreement is required to make equal payments of principal and interest. As of June 30, 2005, the Company has outstanding \$4,487,000 under the Equipment Loan, of which \$1,342,000 is classified as currently due.

Under the Construction Loan, the Company is required to make equal monthly payments of principal and interest beginning on January 1, 2004 and ending on November 30, 2008, the maturity date of the loan. As of June 30, 2005, the Company has outstanding \$700,000 under the Construction Loan, of which \$189,000 is classified as currently due.

The financing facilities under the 2003 Loan Financing bear interest at a variable rate equal to the LIBOR rate plus 150 basis points. The LIBOR rate is the rate per annum, based on a 30-day interest period, quoted two business days prior to the first day of such interest period for the offering by leading banks in the London interbank market of dollar deposits. As of June 30, 2005, the interest rate for the 2003 Loan Financing was 4.93%.

The Company has executed a Security Agreement with Wachovia in which the Company has agreed to use substantially all of its assets to collateralize the amounts due to Wachovia under the 2003 Loan Financing.

The terms of the line of credit, the loan agreement, the related letter of credit and the 2003 Loan Financing require that the Company meet certain financial covenants and reporting standards, including the attainment of standard financial liquidity and net worth ratios. As of June 30, 2005, the Company obtained a waiver from the lender due to a violation of one of its covenants. The Company expects to meet the financial covenants in the future. Annual repayments of debt, including sinking fund requirements, as of June 30, 2005 are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	Amounts Payable to Institutions
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Thereafter	\$ 2,269,776 1,666,991 1,388,022 1,318,736 307,951 2,580,972
	\$ 9,532,448

Note 7. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes consists of the following for the years ended June 30.

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Current Income Taxes Federal State and Local Taxes	\$ (815,930)	\$ 6,054,428 1,577,097	\$ 5,928,720 1,244,630
Total	(815,930)	7,631,525	7,173,350
Deferred Income Taxes			
Federal	(16,861,925)	(35,349)	153,320
State and Local Taxes	(3,368,047)	(1,860)	8,070
Total	(20,229,972)	(37,209)	161,390
Total	<u>\$ (21,045,902)</u>	<u>\$ 7,594,316</u>	\$ 7,334,740

A reconciliation of the differences between the effective rates and statutory rates is as follows:

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Federal income tax at statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State and local income tax, net	4.1%	4.9%	6.5%
Disqualifying dispositions	0.0%	-0.8%	-
Other	0.0%	- <u>2.6</u> %	- <u>2.9</u> %
Income taxes expense	<u>39.1</u> %	<u>36.5</u> %	<u>38.6</u> %

The principal types of differences between assets and liabilities for financial statement and tax return purposes are accruals, reserves, impairment of intangibles, accumulated amortization and accumulated depreciation. A deferred tax asset is recorded for the future benefits created by the timing of accruals and reserves and the application of different amortization lives for financial statement and tax return purposes. A deferred tax liability is recorded for the future liability created by different depreciation methods for financial statement and tax return purposes.

As of June 30, 2005 and 2004, temporary differences which give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	2005		2004
Deferred tax assets:			
Accrued expenses	\$	14,069	\$ 7,020
Reserves for Accounts Receivable and Inventory		3,109,884	935,669
Intangible impairment	1	7,976,270	
State net operating loss		158,517	
Accumulated Amortization on Intangible Asset		475,512	166,332
	2	21,734,252	1,109,021
Valuation allowance		-	
Total	2	21,734,252	1,109,021
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Prepaid Expenses		103,479	
Property, Plant and Equipment		1,906,103	1,614,323
Net Deferred Tax Asset/(Liability)	<u>\$ 1</u>	9,724,670	\$ (505,302)

Note 8. Earnings Per Share

Earnings per Common Share – SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share, requires a dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share on the face of the Company's consolidated statement of income and a reconciliation of the computation of basic earnings per share to diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings per share excludes the dilutive impact of common stock equivalents and is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share includes the effect of potential dilution from the exercise of outstanding common stock equivalents into common stock using the treasury stock method. Earnings per share amounts for all periods presented have been calculated in accordance with the requirements of SFAS No. 128. A reconciliation of the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share follows:

	20	05	2	004	2003			
	Net (Loss)/Income	Shares	Net Income	Shares	Net Income	Shares		
	(Numerator)	(Denominator)	(Numerator)	(Denominator)	(Numerator)	(Denominator)		
Basic (loss)/earnings per share factors	\$ (32,779,597)	24,097,472	\$ 13,215,454	20,831,750	\$ 11,666,887	19,968,633		
Effect of potentially dilutive option plans				222,194		152,681		
Diluted (loss)/earnings per share factors	\$ (32,779,597)	24,097,472	\$ 13,215,454	21,053,944	\$ 11,666,887	20,121,314		
Basic (loss)/earnings per share Diluted (loss)/earnings per	\$ (1.36)		\$ 0.63		\$ 0.58			
share	\$ (1.36)		\$ 0.63		\$ 0.58			

Dilutive shares have been excluded in the weighted average shares used for the calculation of earnings per share in periods of net loss because the effect of such securities would be anti-dilutive. The number of anti-dilutive weighted average shares that have been excluded in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were 857,108, 178,500, and 0, respectively.

Note 9. Stock Options

In Fiscal 1993, the Company adopted the 1993 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "1993 Plan"). Pursuant to the 1993 Plan and its amendments, employees and non-employees of the Company may be granted stock options, which qualify as incentive stock options, as well as stock options which are nonqualified. The exercise price of the options granted were at least equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. There were 2,000,000 shares originally reserved for under the 1993 Plan. Of this amount, options for 390,419 shares were granted, and were either exercised by the recipient, or are currently outstanding. Pursuant to the plan provisions, the 1993 Plan terminated on February 13, 2003. No additional shares were granted under this Plan after this date.

In February 2003, the Company adopted the 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "2003 Plan"). Pursuant to the 2003 Plan, employees and non-employees of the Company may be granted stock options which may qualify as incentive stock options, as well as stock options which are nonqualified. The exercise price of the incentive stock options is at least the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. The exercise price of nonqualified options may be above or below the fair market value of the common stock on the date of the grant. The options generally vest over a three-year period and expire no later than 10 years from the date of grant. There are 1,125,000 shares reserved for under the 2003 Plan. Of this amount, options for 131,017 and 428,570 shares were granted in Fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively, and were either exercised by the recipient, or are currently outstanding. Options for 1,395,267 shares remain available for grants under the Plan.

A summary of the status of the combined options for both the 1993 Plan and the 2003 Plan, as of June 30, 2004 and 2003, and the changes during the years then ended is represented below:

_	2005		2004			2003			
			ghted Avg. Exercise			ghted Avg. Exercise			ghted Avg. Exercise
	Shares	_	Price	Shares	_	Price	Shares	_	Price
Outstanding, beginning of year	801,424	\$	12.45	409,721	\$	7.47	151,860	\$	0.94
Granted	131,070		7.42	428,570		16.69	398,820		7.82
Exercised	(19,126)		3.70	(36,867)		6.29	(131,709)		1.26
Terminated	(56,260)		14.02	-			(9,250)		3.74
Outstanding, end of year	857,108	\$	13.72	801,424	\$	12.45	409,721	\$	7.47
Options exercisable at year-end	386,271	\$	12.85	179,184	\$	7.39	98,025	\$	6.82
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year		\$	7.23		\$	8.75		\$	6.48

	Options Out	standing at	June	Options Exercisable at June 30, 2005						
Exercise Price	# of Shares	Average Life		Average rcise Price	# of Shares	9		Average Exercise Price		
\$0.75	2,375	4.4	\$	0.75	2,375	4.4	\$	0.75		
\$2.30	0	6.5	\$	2.30	0	6.5	\$	2.30		
\$4.63	29,125	7.0	\$	4.63	19,417	7.0	\$	4.63		
\$6.75	100,000	10.0	\$	6.75	0	10.0	\$	6.75		
\$6.75	3,260	10.0	\$	6.75	0	10.0	\$	6.75		
\$7.48	3,260	10.0	\$	7.48	0	10.0	\$	7.48		
\$7.97	257,703	7.3	\$	7.97	187,617	7.3	\$	7.97		
\$9.02	20,000	9.5	\$	9.02	6,667	9.5	\$	9.02		
\$10.99	4,550	10.0	\$	10.99	0	10.0	\$	10.99		
\$11.27	33,125	7.7	\$	11.27	33,125	8.7	\$	11.27		
\$18.72	7,500	8.2	\$	18.72	5,000	8.2	\$	18.72		
\$17.36	156,000	8.3	\$	17.36	52,000	8.3	\$	17.36		
\$16.86	27,710	8.8	\$	16.86	9,237	8.8	\$	16.86		
\$16.04	212,500	8.9	\$	16.04	70,833	8.9	\$	16.04		
	857,108	- =			386,271	· !				

The Company accounts for stock options under SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," as amended by SFAS No. 148. Under this statement, companies may use a fair value-based method for valuing stock-based compensation, which measures compensation cost at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award. Compensation is then recognized over the service period, which is usually the vesting period. Alternatively, SFAS No. 123 permits entities to continue accounting for employee stock options and similar equity instruments under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Entities that continue to account for stock options using APB Opinion 25 are required to make pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share, as if the fair value-based method of accounting defined in SFAS No.123 had been applied. Starting in the first quarter of Fiscal year 2006, the Company will account for stock options under SFAS no. 123R, "Share-Based Payment". For further discussion refer to Note 2, "New Accounting Standards".

Note 10. Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In February 2003, the Company's shareholders approved an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP"). Employees eligible to participate in the ESPP may purchase shares of the Company's stock at 85% of the lower of the fair market value of the common stock on the first day of the calendar quarter, or the last day of the calendar quarter. Under the ESPP, employees can authorize the Company to withhold up to 10% of their compensation during any quarterly offering period, subject to certain limitations. The ESPP was implemented on April 1, 2003 and is qualified under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Board of Directors authorized an aggregate total of 1,125,000 shares of the Company's common stock for issuance under the ESPP. As of June 30, 2005, 29,293 shares have been issued under the ESPP.

Note 11. Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a defined contribution 401k plan (the "Plan") covering substantially all employees. Pursuant to the Plan provisions, the Company is required to make matching contributions equal to each employee's contribution, but not to exceed 3% of the employee's compensation for the Plan year. Contributions to the Plan during the years ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were \$246,000, \$187,000 and \$103,000, respectively.

Note 12. Contingencies

The Company monitors its compliance with all environmental laws. Any compliance costs which may be incurred are contingent upon the results of future site monitoring and will be charged to operations when incurred. No monitoring costs were incurred during the years ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003.

The Company is currently engaged in several civil actions as a co-defendant with many other manufacturers of Diethylstilbestrol ("DES"), a synthetic hormone. Prior litigation established that the Company's pro rata share of any liability is less than one-tenth of one percent. Due to the fact that prior litigation established the "market share" method of prorating liability amongst the companies that manufactured DES during the drug's commercial distribution, which ended in 1971, management has accepted this method as the most reasonably expected method of determining liability for future outcomes of claims. The Company was represented in many of these actions by the insurance company with which the Company maintained coverage (subject to limits of liability) during the time period that damages were alleged to have occurred. The

Company has either settled or had dismissed approximately 250 claims. An additional 283 claims are currently being defended. Prior settlements have been in the range of \$500 to \$3,500. Management believes that the outcome will not have a material adverse impact on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In 2004 and 2005, the Company entered into three, separate confidential agreements with ThePharmaNetwork, LLC (TPN) pursuant to which the company agreed to collaborate to develop, manufacture, supply, and commercialize a certain generic pharmaceutical drug product. In August 2005, TPN filed a lawsuit against various defendants, including the Company, seeking, among other things, to terminate the three agreements between the Company and TPN. The matter is currently pending before the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The Company has filed an answer denying the allegations. The Company has also filed counterclaims against TPN and its principal, Jonathan B. Rome, for, among other things, breach

of contract. Because of the confidential nature of the agreements and the generic pharmaceutical drug product at issue, the Company has requested that the Court place all documents under seal to prevent the wrongful disclosure of the Company's sensitive, confidential, and proprietary information. The Company's request for a temporary restraining order was granted. As a result, TPN is temporarily restrained from competing against Lannett or collaborating with Lannett's competitors with respect to the drug product at issue. TPN is also temporarily restrained from using, disclosing or disseminating any confidential information about this drug product until after the hearing on the preliminary injunction, which is scheduled for Sept. 14, 2005. TPN received a temporary restraining order prohibiting Lannett from disclosing TPN's "confidential information" until after the preliminary injunction hearing on Sept. 14, 2005. At this time, Management is unable to estimate a range of loss, if any, related to this action. Management believes that the outcome of this litigation will not have a material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operation of the Company.

In addition to the matters reported herein, the Company is involved in litigation which arises in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Note 13. Commitments

Leases

The Company's headquarters, administrative offices, quality control laboratory, and manufacturing and production facilities, consisting of approximately 31,000 square feet, are located at 9000 State Road, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

In December 1997, the Company entered into a three-year and three-month lease for a 23,500 square foot facility located at 500 State Road, Bensalem Bucks County, Pennsylvania. This facility houses laboratory research, warehousing and distribution operations. The leased facility is located approximately 2 miles from the Company headquarters. In January 2001, the Company extended this lease through April 30, 2004. After that time, the Company renewed the lease again through April 30, 2005. The move to 9001 Torresdale Ave, Philadelphia, PA was completed in January 2005.

On July 1, 2003, the Company entered into a lease/purchase option agreement for a 63,000 square foot facility at 9001 Torresdale Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, approximately 1 mile from the Company's headquarters. On November 26, 2003, the Company exercised its option to purchase the facility. The Company's laboratory research, warehousing and distribution operations, sales and accounting departments are now housed there. The Company no longer utilizes nor has any lease obligations to the 500 State Road facility.

In addition to the above, the Company has operating leases, expiring in 2008, for office equipment. Future minimum lease payments under these agreements are as follows:

Year ended June 30,		<u>Amount</u>
2006	\$	30,132
2007		30,132
2008		30,132
Total	<u>\$</u>	90,396

Rental expense for the years ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was approximately 50,000, \$321,000 and \$138,000, respectively.

Employment Agreements

The Company has entered into employment agreements with Arthur Bedrosian, Brian Kearns, Kevin Smith and Bernard Sandiford (the "Named Executives"). Each of the agreements provide for an annual base salary and eligibility to receive a bonus. The salary and bonus amounts of the Named Executives are determined by the Board of Directors. Additionally, the Named Executives are eligible to receive stock options, which are granted at the discretion of the Board of Directors, and in accordance with the Company's policies regarding stock option grants.

Under the agreements, the Named Executive employees may be terminated at any time with or without cause, or by reason of death or disability. In certain termination situations, the Company is liable to pay severance compensation to the Named Executive of between one year and three years.

Note 14. Related Party Transactions

The Company had sales of approximately \$590,000, \$590,000 and \$348,000 during the years ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, to a generic distributor, Auburn Pharmaceutical Company (the "related party") in which the owner, Jeffrey Farber, is the son of the Chairman of the Board of Directors and principal shareholder of the Company, William Farber. Accounts receivable includes amounts due from the related party of approximately \$179,000, and \$117,000 at June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In the Company's opinion, the terms of these transactions were not more favorable to the related party than would have been to a non-related party.

Stuart Novick, the son of Marvin Novick, a Director on the Company's Board of Directors through January 13, 2005, was employed by two insurance brokerage companies (the "Insurance Brokers") that provide insurance agency services to the Company. The Company paid approximately \$732,200, \$499,000 and \$28,000 during Fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, to the Insurance Companies for various insurance coverage policies. There was approximately \$17,200 and \$9,400 due to the Insurance Companies as of June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In the Company's opinion, the terms of these transactions were not more favorable to the related party than would have been to a non-related party.

In January 2005, Lannett Holdings, Inc. entered into an agreement pursuant which it purchased for \$100,000 and future royalty payments the proprietary rights to manufacture and distribute a product for which Pharmeral, Inc. owns the ANDA. This agreement is subject to Lannett Holdings, Inc's ability to obtain FDA approval to use the proprietary rights. In the event that such FDA approval cannot be obtained, Pharmeral, Inc. must repay the \$100,000 to Lannett Holdings, Inc. Accordingly, the Company has treated this payment as a prepaid asset. Arthur Bedrosian, President of Lannett, was formerly the President and Chief Executive Officer and currently owns 100% of Pharmeral, Inc. This transaction was approved by the Board of Directors of Lannett and, in its opinion, the terms were not more favorable to the related party than they would have been to a non-related party.

Note 15. Material Contract with Supplier

The Company's primary finished product inventory supplier is Jerome Stevens Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (JSP), in Bohemia, New York. Purchases of finished goods inventory from JSP accounted for approximately 62% of the Company's inventory purchases in Fiscal 2005, 81% in Fiscal 2004 and 62% in Fiscal 2003. On March 23, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with JSP for the exclusive distribution rights in the United States to the current line of JSP products, in exchange for four million (4,000,000) shares of the Company's common stock. The JSP products covered under the agreement included Butalbital, Aspirin, Caffeine with Codeine Phosphate capsules, Digoxin tablets and Levothyroxine Sodium tablets, sold generically and under the brand name Unithroid®. The term of the agreement is ten years, beginning on March 23, 2004 and continuing through March 22, 2014. Both Lannett and JSP have the right to terminate the contract if one of the parties does not cure a material breach of the contract within thirty (30) days of notice from the non-breaching party.

During the term of the agreement, the Company is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to purchase minimum dollar quantities of JSP's products being distributed by the Company. The minimum quantity to be purchased in the first year of the agreement is \$15 million. Thereafter, the minimum quantity to be purchased increases by \$1 million per year up to \$24 million for the last year of the ten-year contract. The Company has met the minimum purchase requirement for the first year of the contract, but there is no guarantee that the Company will be able to continue to do so in the future. If the Company does not meet the minimum purchase requirements, JSP's sole remedy is to terminate the agreement.

Under the agreement, JSP is entitled to nominate one person to serve on the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board") provided, however, that the Board shall have the right to reasonably approve any such nominee in order to fulfill its fiduciary duty by ascertaining that such person is suitable for membership on the board of a publicly traded corporation. Suitability is determined by, but not limited to, the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the American Stock Exchange, and other applicable laws, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. As of June 30, 2005, JSP has not exercised the nomination provision of the agreement. The agreement was included as an Exhibit in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on May 5, 2004, as subsequently amended.

Management determined that the intangible product rights asset created by this agreement was impaired as of March 31, 2005. Refer to Note 1 – intangible assets for additional disclosure and discussion of this impairment.

Note 16. Unearned Grant Funds

In July 2004, the Company received \$500,000 of grant funding from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting through the Department of Community and Economic Development. The grant funding program requires the Company to use the funds for machinery and equipment located at their Pennsylvania locations, hire an additional 100 full-time employees by June 30, 2006, operate its Pennsylvania locations a minimum of five years and meet certain matching investment requirements. If the Company fails to comply with any of the requirements above, the Company would be liable to repay the full amount of the grant funding (\$500,000). The Company records the unearned grant funds as a liability until the Company complies with all of the requirements of the grant funding program. On a quarterly basis, the Company will monitor its progress in fulfilling the requirements of the grant funding program and will determine the status of the liability. As of June 30, 2005, the Company has recognized the grant funding as a short term liability under the caption of Unearned Grant Funds.

Note 17. Investment Securities - Available-for-Sale

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value of the Company's available-for-sale securities as of June 30, 2005 are summarized as follows (there were no investment securities as of June 30, 2004):

Available for Sale Securities	June 30, 2005					
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value		
U.S. Government Treasury	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
U.S. Government Agency	6,582,022	8,970	(35,794)	6,555,198		
Mortgage-Backed Securities	363,429	-	(10, 105)	353,324		
Asset-Backed Securities	985,245	5,361	(10,421)	980,185		
	\$ 7,930,696	\$ 14,331	\$ (56,320)	\$ 7,888,708		

The amortized cost and fair value of the Company's current available-for-sale securities by contractual maturity at June 30, 2005 are summarized as follows:

Due in one year or less
Due after one year through five years
Due after five years through ten years
Due after ten years

Available for Sale							
Amortized	Fair						
Cost	Value						
\$ -	\$ -						
5,136,208	5,115,807						
791,760	792,426						
2,002,728	1,980,475						
\$ 7,930,696	\$ 7,888,708						

June 30, 2005

The Company uses the specific identification method to determine the cost of securities sold. For the year ended June 30, 2005, the Company had realized losses of \$1,466. There were no realized losses for the year ended June 30, 2004.

There were no securities held from a single issuer that represented more than 10% of shareholders' equity. The Company adopted Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 03-1, *The Meaning of Other than Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments* as of June 30, 2004. EITF 03-1 includes certain disclosures regarding quantitative and qualitative disclosures for investment securities accounted for under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115 (FAS 115), *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, that are impaired at the balance sheet date, but an other-than temporary impairment has not been recognized. The disclosures under EITF 03-1 are required for financial statements for years ending after December 15, 2003 and are included in these financial statements.

The table below indicates the length of time individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of June 30, 2005:

		Less than 12 months				12 months or longer				Total			
Description of	Number of		Fair	U	nrealized	Fa	air	Ur	realized		Fair	U	nrealized
Securities	Securities	Value Loss		Value Loss		Value		Loss					
U.S. Government Agency	26	\$	4,272,375	\$	(35,794)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,272,375	\$	(35,794)
Mortgage-Backed Securities	3		353,325		(10,105)		-		-		353,325		(10,105)
Asset-Backed Securities	7	_	316,619		(10,421)			_	<u>-</u>		316,619		(10,421)
Total temporarily impaired													
investment securities	36	\$	4,942,319	\$	(56,320)	\$		\$		\$	4,942,319	\$	(56,320)

There were no securities determined by management to be other-than-temporarily impaired for the year ended June 30, 2005.

Note 18. Comprehensive Income

The Company's other comprehensive loss is comprised of unrealized losses on investment securities classified as available-for-sale. The components of comprehensive income and related taxes consisted of the following as of June 30, 2005 and 2004:

COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME	For Year Ended:							
	6/30/2005	6/30/2004						
Other Comprehensive Loss:								
Unrealized Holding Loss on Securities	\$ (41,989)	\$ -						
Add: Tax savings at effective rate	16,796	_						
Total Unrealized Loss on Securities, Net	(25,193)							
Total Other Comprehensive Loss	(25,193)	-						
Net (Loss) Income	(32,779,596)	13,215,454						
Total Comprehensive (Loss) Income	\$ (32,804,789)	\$ 13,215,454						

There were no items of other comprehensive income in Fiscal years 2004 and 2003.

Note 19. Subsequent Event

In August 2005, the Company loaned \$2 million to an active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) supplier. Terms of the loan included an initial annual interest rate of 10%, payable interest only on an annual basis for the first three years of the loan. The loan then converts to an interest rate of Prime rate plus 500 basis points for monthly payments for year four, when the remaining interest and entire principal amount is scheduled to be paid down. The Company received warrants associated with this loan that may be exercised at a future date. The Company also purchased shares of this API supplier from one of the founding partners for \$500,000 cash. This founding partner has an option to buy back these shares at any time over the next 30 months for \$600,000. The combined total of the shares associated with the warrants and the equity purchase represents a minority position in this API supplier.

Note 20. Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited)

Lannett's unaudited quarterly consolidated results of operations and market price information are shown below:

	Fourth Quarter			Third Quarter	 Second Quarter	First Quarter		
Fiscal Year 2005								
Net Sales	\$	9,368,438	\$	7,603,189	\$ 12,918,522	\$	15,011,496	
Cost of Goods Sold		12,443,756		4,266,839	 7,085,479		7,620,834	
Gross Profit		(3,075,318)		3,336,350	5,833,043		7,390,662	
Other Operating Expenses		5,620,448		51,888,438	 4,466,319		5,149,190	
Operating Income		(8,695,766)		(48,552,088)	1,366,724		2,241,472	
Other Expense		40,145		45,194	54,326		46,175	
Income Taxes		(3,010,067)		(19,438,914)	 524,921		878,156	
Net (Loss) Income		(5,725,844)		(29,158,368)	 787,477		1,317,141	
Basic (Loss) Earnings Per Share	\$	(0.24)	\$	(1.21)	\$ 0.03	\$	0.05	
Diluted (Loss) Earnings Per Share	\$	(0.24)	\$	(1.21)	\$ 0.03	\$	0.05	
Fiscal Year 2004								
Net Sales	\$	17,985,581	\$	16,000,251	\$ 16,573,601	\$	13,221,786	
Cost of Goods Sold		8,451,582		6,947,195	 6,660,845		4,797,253	
Gross Profit		9,533,999		9,053,056	9,912,756		8,424,533	
Other Operating Expenses		6,412,636		3,638,461	 3,429,246		2,613,032	
Operating Income		3,121,363		5,414,595	6,483,510		5,811,501	
Other Income/(Expense)		(25,119)		1,632	10,404		(8,116)	
Income Taxes		336,120		2,217,829	 2,661,367		2,379,000	
Net Income		2,760,124		3,198,398	 3,832,547		3,424,385	
Basic Earnings Per Share	\$	0.12	\$	0.16	\$ 0.19	\$	0.17	
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$	0.12	\$	0.16	\$ 0.19	\$	0.17	

		Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter			Second Quarter	First Quarter		
Fiscal Year 2003									
Net Sales	\$	12,157,035	\$	11,019,906	\$	10,183,161	\$	9,126,656	
Cost of Goods Sold		4,479,690		3,976,519		3,965,474		3,836,110	
Gross Profit	<u>-</u>	7,677,345		7,043,387		6,217,687		5,290,546	
Other Operating Expenses		2,156,995		1,869,699		1,791,829		1,350,336	
Operating Income	<u>-</u>	5,520,350		5,173,688		4,425,858		3,940,210	
Other Expense		17,244		3,974		13,321		23,940	
Income Taxes		2,406,418		1,914,081		1,649,624		1,364,617	
Net Income		3,096,688		3,255,633		2,762,913		2,551,653	
Basic Earnings Per Share	\$	0.15	\$	0.16	\$	0.14	\$	0.13	
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$	0.15	\$	0.16	\$	0.14	\$	0.13	

Exhibit 11 Computation of Earnings Per Share

Lannett Company, Inc. and Subsidiaries STATEMENT RE COMPUTATION OF EARNINGS PER SHARE

	2005				20	004	2003				
	Net (Loss)/Income (Numerator)		Shares (Denominator)	Net Income (Numerator)		Shares (Denominator)		Net Income Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)		
Basic (loss)/earnings per share factors	\$	(32,779,597)	24,097,472	\$	13,215,454	20,831,750	\$	11,666,887	19,968,633		
Effect of potentially dilutive option plan	_			_		222,194			152,681		
share factors	\$	(32,779,597)	24,191,578	\$	13,215,454	21,053,944	\$	11,666,887	20,121,314		
Basic (loss)/earnings per share	\$	(1.36)		\$	0.63		\$	0.58			
Diluted (loss)/earnings per share	\$	(1.36)		\$	0.63		\$	0.58			

Dilutive shares have been excluded in the weighted average shares used for the calculation of earnings per share in periods of net loss because the effect of such securities would be anti-dilutive. The number of anti-dilutive weighted average shares that have been excluded in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were 857,108, 178,500, and 0, respectively.

Exhibit 33

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We have issued our reports dated September 1, 2005 accompanying the consolidated financial statements and management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the Annual Report of Lannett Company, Inc. and Subsidiaries on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2005. We hereby consent to the inclusion of said reports in the Registration Statement of Lannett Company, Inc. and Subsidiaries on Form S-3 (File No. 333-115746, effective May 21, 2004) and on Form S-8 (File No. 33-79258, effective May 23, 1994, File No. 001-31298, effective April 9, 2002, File No. 33-103235, effective February 14, 2003, and File No. 33-103236, effective February 14, 2003).

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania September 1, 2005

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



MANAGEMENT TEAM AND DIRECTORS

CORPORATE INFORMATION

Arthur P. Bedrosian

President, Chief Executive Officer, Director

Brian Kearns

Chief Financial Officer, Vice President— Finance, Treasurer, Secretary

Bernard Sandiford

Vice President—Operations

William Schreck

Vice President—Logistics

Kevin Smith

Vice President—Sales & Marketing

William Farber

Chairman of the Board

Ronald West

Director, Vice Chairman

Garnet Peck

Director

Kenneth Sinclair

Director

Albert Wertheimer

Director

Myron Winkelman

Director

Executive Offices

9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136 (215) 333-9000

Mailing Address

9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136

Registrar and Transfer Company

Registrar and Transfer Company 10 Commerce Drive Cranford, NJ 07016

Legal Counsel

Fox, Rothschild, O'Brien & Frankel, LLP Philadelphia, PA

Inquiries

Communications concerning stock transfer requirements, lost certificates or change of address should be addressed to:

Registrar and Transfer Company 10 Commerce Drive Cranford, NJ 07016 (800) 368-5948

Annual Report and Form 10-K

Additional copies of this annual report and the Company's Form 10-K may be obtained without charge and the exhibits to the Form 10-K may be obtained for a nominal fee by writing to:

Lannett Company, Inc. Investor Relations 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136



From Left to Right:

Ron West, *Director*, Dr. Kenneth P. Sinclair, *Director*, Dr. Albert Wertheimer, *Director*, Myron Winkelman, *Director*, Dr. Garnet Peck, *Director* and William Farber, R. PH., *Chairman of the Board*

Lannett Company, Inc. 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136 (215) 333-9000, (800) 325-9994 Fax (215) 333-9004