

New Directions



**Sanderson
Farms®**

2005 ANNUAL REPORT

company profile

Sanderson Farms, Inc. is engaged in the production, processing, marketing and distribution of fresh and frozen chicken and other prepared food items. The Company sells its chicken products primarily under the Sanderson Farms® brand name to retailers, distributors and casual dining operators located principally in the southeastern, southwestern and western United States. Through its foods division, the Company also sells, under the Sanderson Farms® name, processed and prepared frozen entrees and other specialty food products to distributors, food service establishments and retailers.

The common shares of Sanderson Farms, Inc. are traded on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol SAFM.

financial highlights

	October 31	
	2005	2004
<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>		
THE FISCAL YEAR		
Net sales	\$1,006,185	\$1,052,297
Net income	\$ 70,683	\$ 91,428
Basic earnings per share	\$ 3.53	\$ 4.62
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 3.51	\$ 4.57
Dividends per share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.84
Weighted average shares outstanding		
Basic	20,014	19,789
Diluted	20,137	19,995
AT FISCAL YEAR-END		
Working capital	\$ 107,631	\$ 150,624
Total assets	\$ 445,791	\$ 375,007
Long-term debt, less current maturities	\$ 6,511	\$ 10,918
Stockholders' equity	\$ 345,653	\$ 279,341

To our shareholders:

We are pleased to report another year of achievement for Sanderson Farms. Fiscal 2005 marked a period of significant growth as we expanded the scope of our operations in new directions, and we are proud of the people at Sanderson Farms who worked hard to make this year a success. Our focus on operational excellence and commitment to the integrity of our brand reflect the underlying values that have shaped our continued success and allowed us to again reward our shareholders with solid earnings.

During fiscal 2005, Sanderson Farms achieved a number of accomplishments. Our financial performance for the year was strong, reflecting solid execution of our operating strategy and a favorable product mix, and represented the second most profitable year of our Company's history. For the second year in a row our sales topped \$1.0 billion as we reported net sales of \$1.006 billion compared with \$1.052 billion for fiscal 2004. Net income for the year totaled \$70.6 million, or \$3.51 per diluted share, compared with net income of \$91.4 million, or \$4.57 per diluted share, for last year.

We continued to benefit from favorable market conditions, although chicken prices were mixed throughout the year and did not attain the record levels experienced in fiscal 2004. Overall, our average sales price for poultry products during fiscal 2005 was a little more than four cents per pound below the average sales price for fiscal 2004, representing a decrease of 6.5 percent for the year. At the same time, however, our costs for corn and soybean meal, the Company's primary feed ingredients, were lower by 15 and 31 percent, respectively.

Sanderson Farms' facilities and operations continued to perform at the top of our industry during fiscal 2005 as we processed over 277.4 million chickens, or 1.6 billion dressed pounds. Our strategic market shift over the past several years – to balance our production and processing between chill-pack products for the retail market and big bird deboning products for the casual dining and food service markets – has served us well. We took further steps during fiscal 2005 to maintain this balance and extend our market reach. In May 2005, the Board of Directors approved an expansion project for the Company's poultry processing facility and hatchery in Collins, Mississippi. The Collins facility previously was dedicated to servicing both retail and big bird deboning customers and will be converted to process exclusively for the big bird deboning market. This conversion project, which is in progress and will be completed by the summer of 2006, includes an expansion of the Collins hatchery, retrofitting certain equipment in the processing plant, and the construction of a new feed mill.

Fiscal 2005 also marked another milestone for the Company with our expansion into the state of Georgia. As announced in May 2004, we commenced construction of a state-of-the-art poultry processing complex located in Cook and Colquitt counties. In late August 2005, we began processing chickens at our new facility located in Moultrie. We are pleased with the efforts of our employees and contract growers who worked tirelessly to bring this facility on line – on time and

JOE F. SANDERSON, JR.

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer



on budget. Our initial operations have gone well and we began a second shift on our first line in late November, as scheduled. The Georgia facility is dedicated exclusively to serving retail customers, and we intend to take advantage of its location in close proximity to our growing list of customers in the Southeast. With the additional retail capacity from the Georgia facility and the conversion of the Collins plant to a larger weight bird, we will increase our overall production by just over 26 percent when both projects are running at full capacity, and will continue to maintain a favorable product mix between the retail market and the big bird deboning market. In addition, the new capacity will open new marketing opportunities for the Company and improve the operating efficiencies in our plants.

Our sales programs continued to gain momentum in fiscal 2005 as we extended our market reach and strengthened our position as a leading brand of the finest, 100% natural, fresh chicken available in the market. The Sanderson Farms® brand continues to be well received in the marketplace and our valued customers include a growing number of retail grocery stores, food service accounts and national distributors. Our commitment to superior product quality and exceptional customer service has allowed us to continue to leverage our brand recognition over the past year. We were also pleased with the positive acceptance of our fresh chicken advertising program and look forward to continuing this program with new ads during fiscal 2006.

Sanderson Farms' prepared foods division delivered a solid performance as lower white meat chicken prices during fiscal 2005 allowed this division to enjoy lower costs for the year when compared with fiscal 2004. While we made progress in improving the profitability of this important area of our business, we intend to make further changes in fiscal 2006 to significantly increase

LAMPKIN BUTTS

President and Chief Operating Officer



operating efficiencies and reduce our plant costs. Specifically, we will be installing new equipment and making additional upgrades to increase our further processing and cooking capacity. These improvements will more than double our capacity to cook chicken over the next two years and enhance our product development capabilities.

In late August 2005, everyone associated with Sanderson Farms witnessed firsthand the overwhelming devastation of Hurricane Katrina. While no words can adequately describe the magnitude of this storm or its impact on our neighbors in Mississippi, Louisiana and Alabama, we are extremely grateful that the Company's employees and independent contract growers in Mississippi and Louisiana experienced no loss of life or serious injuries. We are also fortunate that our assets were not significantly damaged by the hurricane. While the storm's impact on our live inventories and our live production process affected the Company's capacity and volume during the fourth fiscal quarter, none of the losses will affect Sanderson Farms over the long term. Working with our management team and our employees during this unprecedented natural disaster served to reinforce our confidence in the enduring strength of our Company and its ability to respond in a crisis. We are also proud of the efforts of the men and women of Sanderson Farms who volunteered countless hours delivering ice, food and other necessary supplies to those around us who were more seriously affected by this catastrophic storm.

Looking ahead, we have reason to be optimistic about our prospects for fiscal 2006. While chicken prices were lower at the start of the year compared with a year ago, the fundamentals of our market lead us to expect supply and demand to be balanced for another year. Additionally, should the USDA's prediction of stronger exports during 2006 materialize, export demand should continue to

provide support for dark meat prices and, to some extent, the market for all chicken. The USDA also is predicting modest increases in chicken production during 2006, which is supported by leading indicators such as egg sets and breeder placements. Just as we do at the beginning of each fiscal year, we met with our managers to identify opportunities in our plants, in the field, and in sales that we will work to capture during 2006, and we expect both our overall financial and operating performance to continue to improve. As always, our goal for fiscal 2006 is to operate at the top of our industry.

At the beginning of fiscal 2006, the Board of Directors approved another major expansion project for the Company. On January 12, 2006, the Company announced that it will construct a new poultry processing complex in Waco, Texas. This new complex will consist of a new poultry processing plant and wastewater facility, as well as a new hatchery. The existing feedmill in Texas will be expanded to provide the feed manufacturing capacity necessary for the new complex, which when fully operational, will have the capability to process 1.2 million big birds per week. The complex will employ approximately 1,300 people at full capacity and will require 150 new contract growers in Texas. We expect to begin construction of our new complex during the first half of 2006, with completion of the project scheduled for May 2007. This project will enable the Company to continue its pattern of steady growth, which we believe all of our constituencies have come to expect.

We are proud of our accomplishments over the past year and look forward to moving Sanderson Farms ahead in new directions. Our ability to continue to grow and prosper depends on the commitment of everyone associated with Sanderson Farms – our Board, managers, employees and our contract producers, all of whom are the foundation of our past success and future potential. We thank all of the people of Sanderson Farms for their dedication, and, with them, we look forward to the opportunities ahead.

Thank you for the support your investment provides.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Joe F. Sanderson, Jr." in a cursive style.

Joe F. Sanderson , Jr.
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Lampkin Butts" in a cursive style.

Lampkin Butts
President and Chief Operating Officer



Sanderson Farms has evolved from its beginnings in 1947 as a family owned general feed and seed store in Mississippi into the nation's fifth largest poultry producer. Today, our operations span the southeastern United States with locations in Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas and, most recently, Georgia. With over \$1 billion in sales during fiscal 2005, we have executed a strategy of steady growth in our business and have enjoyed accelerating momentum in our brand. Sanderson Farms enjoys a proud heritage built on a foundation of honesty, integrity and family values. We are proud that adherence to these same values has allowed us consistently to operate at the top of our industry.

Today, Sanderson Farms is recognized in the market as a leading provider of a wide range of fresh and prepared chicken and other food products, frozen entrees, and other specialty foods. We ship over one and one-half billion pounds of chicken products annually to nearly every state in the United States, much of it packaged under the Sanderson Farms[®] label. No matter where our products are sold, the Sanderson Farms[®] brand always stands for the finest chicken on the market, backed by an unrelenting focus on superior product quality and exceptional customer service.







Sanderson Farms has delivered value to its customers with fresh, high-quality chicken products for over 50 years. As the Company has grown, we have maintained a strong commitment to the integrity of our brand. Sanderson Farms® brand of fresh chicken is always 100% natural, without any added salt, phosphates, water or broths. From whole birds to breast tenders – and everything in between – our chicken is known for its freshness, variety and unbeatable taste. We offer additional value by packaging and labeling our products to meet our customers' specific merchandising needs. In addition, we sell over 100 prepared food items that reflect the same exceptional quality as our fresh chicken, with added innovation and convenience suited for today's lifestyles. From soups and appetizers to fabulous entrées, Sanderson Farms offers a full menu of delicious, high-quality prepared foods. Our success in the marketplace speaks directly to the absolute quality of our chicken and the variety continually introduced through our new products. No matter where they shop, when consumers see the Sanderson Farms® brand, they know they are getting delicious, 100% natural chicken.







Along with providing fresh, quality products, Sanderson Farms enjoys a longstanding tradition of exceptional customer service. As the Company has expanded in new directions with plants strategically located across the southeastern United States, we have moved closer to our growing list of customers. This means fresher chicken for our customers – and on our consumers’ tables. Customer satisfaction has always been a top priority for everyone associated with Sanderson Farms. We place a high value on the strong relationships we enjoy with many longstanding customers and continue to develop new working relationships. Our customers know the Sanderson Farms® brand stands for quality, trust and convenience. We work hard to consistently delight our customers by offering an innovative product line that reflects the changing taste preferences of consumers. Our ability to anticipate and meet the current demands of the marketplace has been a hallmark of our success. More importantly, the Sanderson Farms® name provides the assurance of always serving fresh, high-quality, 100% chicken. Our customers know they can count on us to deliver exceptional quality, value and service.





message from the chief financial officer

We are proud to report a strong financial performance for Sanderson Farms in fiscal 2005. We have continued to pursue a balanced operating strategy that has allowed us to achieve considerable, but manageable, growth – and deliver favorable results. At the same time, we have maintained a financial position that ranks among the strongest in our industry. We believe the Company's ability to finance its growth strategies has never been better, and we are well positioned to finance our planned expansion for the foreseeable future.

Fiscal 2005 was marked by an extraordinary event when Hurricane Katrina hit the Gulf Coast in August. While we were fortunate as a Company to incur minimal damage and no loss of life, we did sustain interruptions in our operations and lost production. As a result, the Company's financial statements for the year ended October 31, 2005, reflect a receivable from our insurance carriers of \$14.9 million for property damage and expenses incurred due to Hurricane Katrina. The Company intends to seek reimbursement for all of its insured losses, including unrecognized lost profits and expenses. We expect negotiations with our insurance carriers to be completed during fiscal 2006.

One of our strengths as a Company has been our ability to balance our growth strategy with conservative financial management. This approach allowed us to maintain a strong financial position this year even as we have embarked on several capital projects. As of October 31, 2005, our balance sheet reflects \$34.6 million in cash and cash equivalents, stockholders' equity of \$345.7 million and net working capital of \$107.6 million. We ended fiscal 2005 on solid ground after spending \$128.1 million on capital expenditures and reducing our debt by over \$4.0 million. Additionally, in October, the Board of Directors approved another increase in our quarterly dividend to reflect a new annual rate of \$0.48 per share. We are pleased that our financial performance enabled the Board to take this action and provided an opportunity to share our success with our shareholders. At the end of our fiscal year, our long-term debt totaled \$6.5 million and our debt to total capitalization ratio was 3.0 percent.

During fiscal 2005, we spent approximately \$92.3 million for the Georgia expansion and an additional \$15.1 million for the Company's new general office building in Laurel. Our planned capital expenditures for fiscal 2006 will be approximately \$73.4 million, and will be funded by cash on hand, internally generated working capital and cash flows from operations. In addition, the Company has \$200 million available to it under its revolving line of credit. Our capital budget includes approximately \$7.9 million in operating leases, \$10.0 million for completion of the new general office building, \$22.4 million for a feed mill and the final conversion of our Collins facility, and \$4.8 million for improvements in our prepared foods division. In addition to this capital budget,

D. MICHAEL COCKRELL

Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer



the Company will spend approximately \$32.8 million to begin construction of the new complex in Waco, Texas, as approved by the Board of Directors on January 12, 2006.

Our conservative approach to financial management has allowed us to withstand the business cycles that characterize our industry. Looking ahead to fiscal 2006, we have many opportunities before us. As we continue to expand our operations and extend our market reach, we also are mindful of the need to protect our assets and maintain a secure foundation for the future. As always, our primary objective as a public company is to acknowledge the interests of our shareholders and to reward them for their investment and confidence in Sanderson Farms.

Thank you for your support.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mike Cockrell". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

D. Michael Cockrell
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

selected financial data

		YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31			
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>					
Net sales	\$ 1,006,185	\$ 1,052,297	\$ 872,235	\$ 743,665	\$ 706,002
Operating income	113,484	150,154	90,522	49,977	51,094
Net income	70,638	91,428	54,061	28,840	27,784
Basic earnings per share	3.53	4.62	2.78	1.45	1.36
Diluted earnings per share	3.51	4.57	2.75	1.43	1.36
Working capital	107,631	150,624	82,236	68,452	76,969
Total assets	445,791	375,007	298,905	280,510	288,971
Long-term debt, less current maturities	6,511	10,918	21,604	49,969	77,212
Stockholders' equity	345,653	279,341	197,099	155,891	144,339
Cash dividends declared per share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.13

quarterly financial data

	Fiscal Year 2005			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter(1)
<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>				
<i>(Unaudited)</i>				
Net sales	\$ 233,290	\$ 259,176	\$ 264,650	\$ 249,069
Operating income	16,508	42,812	38,940	15,224
Net income	10,041	26,520	24,022	10,055
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.19	\$ 0.50

	Fiscal Year 2004			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>				
<i>(Unaudited)</i>				
Net sales	\$ 226,441	\$ 272,710	\$ 293,923	\$ 259,223
Operating income	31,383	54,972	55,775	8,024
Net income	18,986	33,437	33,944	5,061
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.69	\$ 0.25

(1) During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company was negatively impacted by Hurricane Katrina and had an estimated reduction in its operating income during the fourth quarter of \$7.9 million related to the storm.

management's discussion and analysis

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE PERFORMANCE

This Annual Report, and other periodic reports filed by the Company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and other written or oral statements made by it or on its behalf, may include forward-looking statements, which are based on a number of assumptions about future events and are subject to various risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from the views, beliefs and estimates expressed in such statements. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include, but are not limited to the following:

- (1) Changes in the market price for the Company's finished products and feed grains, both of which may fluctuate substantially and exhibit cyclical characteristics typically associated with commodity markets.
- (2) Changes in economic and business conditions, monetary and fiscal policies or the amount of growth, stagnation or recession in the global or U.S. economies, either of which may affect the value of inventories, the collectability of accounts receivable or the financial integrity of customers.
- (3) Changes in the political or economic climate, trade policies, laws and regulations or the domestic poultry industry of countries to which the Company or other companies in the poultry industry ship product, and other changes that might limit the Company's or the industry's access to foreign markets.
- (4) Changes in laws, regulations, and other activities in government agencies and similar organizations applicable to the Company and the poultry industry and changes in laws, regulations and other activities in government agencies and similar organizations related to food safety.
- (5) Various inventory risks due to changes in market conditions.
- (6) Changes in and effects of competition, which is significant in all markets in which the Company competes, and the effectiveness of marketing and advertising programs. The Company competes with regional and national firms, some of which have greater financial and marketing resources than the Company.
- (7) Changes in accounting policies and practices adopted voluntarily by the Company or required to be adopted by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.
- (8) Disease outbreaks affecting the production performance and/or marketability of the Company's poultry products.
- (9) Changes in the availability and cost of labor and growers.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of Sanderson Farms. Each such statement speaks only as of the day it was made. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or to revise any forward-looking statements. The factors described above cannot be controlled by the Company. When used in this report, the words "believes", "estimates", "plans", "expects", "should", "outlook", and "anticipates" and similar expressions as they relate to the Company or its management are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

GENERAL

The Company's poultry operations are integrated through its control of all functions relative to the production of its chicken products, including hatching egg production, hatching, feed manufacturing, raising chickens to marketable age ("grow-out"), processing and marketing. Consistent with the poultry industry, the Company's profitability is substantially impacted by the market price for its finished products and feed grains, both of which may fluctuate substantially and exhibit cyclical characteristics typically associated with commodity markets. Other costs, excluding feed grains, related to the profitability of the Company's poultry operations, including hatching egg production, hatching, growing, and processing cost, are responsive to efficient cost containment programs and management practices. Over the past three fiscal years, these other production costs have averaged approximately 62.1% of the Company's total production costs.

management's discussion and analysis

The Company believes that value-added products are subject to less price volatility and generate higher, more consistent profit margin than whole chickens ice packed and shipped in bulk form. To reduce its exposure to market cyclicalities that has historically characterized commodity chicken market prices, the Company has increasingly concentrated on the production and marketing of value-added product lines with emphasis on product quality, customer service, and brand recognition. The Company adds value to its poultry products by performing one or more processing steps beyond the stage where the whole chicken is first saleable as a finished product, such as cutting, deep chilling, packaging and labeling the product. The Company believes that one of its major strengths is its ability to change its product mix to meet customer demands.

The Company's processed and prepared foods product line includes approximately 100 institutional and consumer packaged food items that it sells nationally, primarily to distributors and food service establishments. A majority of the prepared food items are made to the specifications of food service users.

Poultry prices per pound, as measured by the Georgia Dock price, fluctuated during the three years ended October 31, 2005 as follows:

	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
Fiscal 2005				
High	\$.7525*	\$.7400	\$.7475	\$.7525*
Low	\$.7325*	\$.7375	\$.7400	\$.7425
Fiscal 2004				
High	\$.7000	\$.7500	\$.8100*	\$.8075
Low	\$.6825*	\$.7050	\$.7525	\$.7575
Fiscal 2003				
High	\$.6250	\$.6400	\$.6775	\$.6925*
Low	\$.6125*	\$.6250	\$.6350	\$.6800

*Year High/Low

On January 29, 2004, the Company announced a three-for-two stock split to be effected as a 50% stock dividend. The new shares were distributed on February 26, 2004, to stockholders of record as of close of business on February 10, 2004. Per share information in this Annual Report reflects the stock split. Cash was paid in lieu of fractional shares.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW OF RESULTS – 2005

The Company's financial results for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2005 reflect strong market prices for dark meat poultry products as well as favorable prices for feed grains. Although overall market prices for the Company's poultry products were lower during fiscal 2005 as compared to the historical highs experienced during fiscal 2004, the Company was able to partially offset the reduced selling prices with lower costs of corn and soybean meal ingredients. The Company's cost of corn and soybean meal was \$60.0 million lower during fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company was negatively impacted by Hurricane Katrina and had an estimated reduction in its operating income during the fourth quarter of \$7.9 million related to the storm. The Company believes the remaining effects of lost production and additional expenses that will be incurred related to Hurricane Katrina during the first quarter of fiscal 2006 will be substantially covered by the Company's insurance policies.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Fiscal 2005 Compared to Fiscal 2004

The Company's net sales during fiscal 2005 were \$1.0 billion, a decrease of \$46.1 million or 4.4% as compared to fiscal 2004. This reduction reflects lower prices for the Company's poultry products of 6.5% during fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004, offset by an increase in the pounds of poultry products sold of 2.8%. The decrease in

management's discussion and analysis

the average sale price of the Company's poultry products resulted primarily from decreases in the market prices of boneless breast meat, tenders and wings of 24.9%, 30.8% and 12.4%, respectively. However, the softness in these prices were partially offset by strong export demand for leg quarters and paws during fiscal 2005. Bulk leg quarter prices were approximately 17.9% higher for fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004. A simple average of the Georgia Dock prices for whole chickens decreased only 0.6% for fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 the Company's pounds of poultry products sold were lower because of chickens lost during Hurricane Katrina and a reduction in leg quarters sold in the export market because of hurricane related disruptions. Net sales of prepared food products decreased \$9.2 million or 8.6% and resulted from a decrease in the pounds of prepared food products sold of 8.2% and a decrease in the average sale price of prepared food products sold of 0.5%.

Cost of sales for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2005, were \$826.7 million, a decrease of \$15.7 million, or 1.9%, as compared to the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004. This decrease resulted from the lower cost of feed grains during fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004, which result was partially offset by the increase in the pounds of poultry products sold of 2.8% and increased cost of sales incurred at the new poultry complex in South Georgia. A simple average of the corn and soybean meal cash market prices during fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004 reflects decreases of 16.0% and 23.3%, respectively. Cost of sales of prepared food products decreased 18.6% due to the 24.9% reduction in prices for boneless breast meat. Boneless breast meat is a major component of the prepared foods division's costs of sales and is purchased from the Company's poultry operations.

Selling, general and administrative costs for fiscal 2005 were \$66.0 million as compared to \$59.8 million for fiscal 2004, an increase of \$6.2 million. Approximately \$4.1 million of the increase was due to the Company's start up of the new poultry complex in Moultrie and Adel, Georgia. Expenses incurred prior to the start up of the complex which were incurred during the first three quarters of the fiscal year were included in selling, general and administrative costs. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 the costs of operations at the new complex were included in cost of sales.

For fiscal 2005 the Company's operating income was \$113.5 million as compared to \$150.2 million for fiscal 2004, a decrease of \$36.7 million. The overall lower prices for poultry products were partially offset by the favorable prices for feed grains during fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004. The Company's operating income was negatively impacted by \$7.9 million from Hurricane Katrina during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. The total reduction in operating income of \$7.9 million relates to the insurance deductible of \$2,750,000 and incurred but unrecognized lost profits and expenses of \$5.1 million. The unrecognized lost profits and expenses were the direct result of the effect of Hurricane Katrina and the Company's efforts to minimize the potential loss from the hurricane. In addition, the Company's operating income was negatively impacted by the start up of the new complex in South Georgia. The Company expects that the impact of Hurricane Katrina on its operating income during fiscal 2006 to be minimal, as such impact will be substantially covered by the Company's insurance policies. Also during fiscal 2006, the Company's cost structure will improve as the new complex in South Georgia reaches full capacity during the summer of 2006.

Interest expense during fiscal 2005 was \$433,000, a 72.4% decrease from the \$1.6 million expensed during fiscal 2004. The reduction in interest expense was due to the capitalization of interest incurred to the cost of construction of the new complex in South Georgia and the new general offices in Laurel, Mississippi and, to a lesser extent, lower outstanding debt.

The Company's effective tax rate during fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004 was 38.30% and 38.75%, respectively.

Net income for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2005 was \$70.6 million, or \$3.51 per diluted share. For fiscal 2004, the Company's net income was \$91.4 million, or \$4.57 per diluted share. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 the Company had an estimated reduction in its operating income from Hurricane Katrina of \$7.9 million. The \$7.9 million before income taxes consist of the deductible under the Company's insurance policies and certain expenses and lost profits of \$5.1 million. The Company intends to seek reimbursement for the unrecognized lost profits and incurred expense of \$5.1 million and the \$14.9 million recognized as of October 31, 2005. Negotiations with the Company's insurance carriers are expected to be completed during 2006.

management's discussion and analysis

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW OF RESULTS — 2004

Results for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 were driven by record high chicken market prices, although feed ingredient costs were also higher than the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003. Higher chicken prices also more than offset higher advertising costs incurred as part of the Company's fiscal 2004 advertising and marketing program and a reduction in settlement proceeds from vitamin and methionine suppliers.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Fiscal 2004 Compared to Fiscal 2003

For fiscal 2004 the Company's net sales were a record \$1.1 billion, an increase of \$180.1 million, or 20.6%, over the previous fiscal year's record net sales of \$872.2 million. The increase in the Company's net sales was due to favorable market prices of the Company's poultry products and an increase in the pounds of poultry products sold of 6.1%. As measured by a simple average of the Georgia dock price for whole chickens, prices increased 15.0% during fiscal 2004 as compared to fiscal 2003. Also, average market prices for boneless breast, leg quarters and wings all showed considerable strength during fiscal 2004 as compared to fiscal 2003 and increased 22.0%, 41.0% and 65.2%, respectively. Although these same market prices were higher during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004 as compared to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003, they were less favorable during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004 than the Company experienced for the first three quarters of fiscal 2004. The increase in the pounds of poultry products sold resulted primarily from an increase in the average live weight of chickens sold during fiscal 2004 as compared to fiscal 2003. Net sales of prepared food products decreased \$6.2 million or 5.5%, as a result of a decrease in the pounds of prepared food products sold of 6.3%.

The Company's cost of sales were \$842.3 million during fiscal 2004 as compared to \$741.4 million during fiscal 2003. Cost of sales of the Company's poultry products during fiscal 2004 were \$734.2 million as compared to \$638.9 million during the previous fiscal year, an increase of \$95.3 million or 14.2%. The increase in the Company's cost of sales of poultry products resulted from an increase in the cost of feed grains, and to a lesser extent, an increase in the pounds of poultry products sold of 6.1% during fiscal 2004 as compared to fiscal 2003. In addition, during fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2003 the Company's cost of sales were reduced by \$0.3 million and \$12.4 million, respectively, from proceeds related to lawsuits against vitamin and methionine suppliers.

The Company's cost of corn and soybean meal, the Company's primary feed ingredients, increased approximately 6.8% and 52.1% for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 as compared to the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003. Cost of sales of prepared food products increased \$5.6 million or 5.5% due to an increase in poultry prices. The prepared foods operation purchases most of its chicken from the Company's poultry operations, and such chicken is a major component of its raw materials.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2004 were \$59.8 million as compared to \$40.3 million, an increase of \$19.5 million. This increase is primarily due to the cost of the Company's advertising program and increased contributions to the Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP"). The Company's fiscal 2004 advertising program began in January 2004 and cost the Company approximately \$14.0 million during fiscal 2004. The Company continued and expanded this program with new ads and in new markets during fiscal 2005. During fiscal 2004 the Company contributed \$7.0 million to the ESOP, an increase of \$3.0 million as compared to the contribution the Company made during fiscal 2003 of \$4.0 million.

The Company's operating income for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 was a record \$150.1 million as compared to \$90.5 million during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003. This increase in the Company's operating income of \$59.6 million resulted from the favorable market for poultry products and continued strong operating performance. These factors enabled the Company to more than offset increased feed costs and the benefit received from additional settlement proceeds received during fiscal 2003 as compared to fiscal 2004.

management's discussion and analysis

During fiscal 2004, interest expense was \$1.6 million as compared to \$2.5 million during fiscal 2003. This decrease reflects lower outstanding debt during fiscal 2004 as compared to fiscal 2003. The Company's total debt at October 31, 2004 was \$15.3 as compared to \$26.0 million as of October 31, 2003.

The Company's effective tax rate during fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2003 was 38.75% and 38.68%, respectively.

Net income for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004 was \$91.4 million, or \$4.57 per diluted share, compared with net income of \$54.1 million, or \$2.75 per diluted share for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003. During fiscal 2004, the Company recognized \$177,000, net of income taxes, for Sanderson Farms' share in the partial settlement of lawsuits against vitamin and methionine suppliers for overcharges, compared with total similar recoveries of \$7.6 million, net of income taxes, or \$0.38 per diluted share, during fiscal 2003.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company's working capital at October 31, 2005 was \$107.6 million and its current ratio was 2.4 to 1. This compares to working capital of \$150.6 million and a current ratio of 3.3 to 1 as of October 31, 2004. During fiscal 2005 the Company spent approximately \$128.1 million on planned capital projects, which include \$92.3 million on the new complex in south Georgia and \$15.1 million on the new general offices in Laurel, Mississippi.

On January 29, 2004, the Company announced a three-for-two stock split to be effected as a 50% stock dividend. The new shares were distributed on February 26, 2004, to stockholders of record as of close of business on February 10, 2004. Share and per share data have been adjusted to reflect this stock split.

The Company's capital budget for fiscal 2006 is approximately \$73.4 million, and will be funded by cash on hand, internally generated working capital and cash flows from operations. If needed, the Company has a \$200.0 million revolving line of credit available. The \$73.4 million fiscal 2006 capital budget includes approximately \$7.9 million in operating leases and \$10.0 million to complete construction of the new corporate office building in Laurel, Mississippi. In addition, the fiscal 2006 capital budget includes \$22.4 million to build a feed mill in Collins, Mississippi, complete the conversion of the Collins, Mississippi processing facility to a big bird deboning plant, expand the Collins, Mississippi hatchery and \$4.8 million to improve operating efficiencies at the Company's prepared foods plant in Jackson, Mississippi. Without operating leases, the new office building and capital investment in Collins and Jackson, Mississippi, the Company's capital budget for fiscal 2006 would be \$28.3 million.

On November 17, 2005, the Company entered into a new revolving credit facility. The new facility, among other things, increased allowed capital expenditures, changed the net worth covenant to reflect the Company's new dividend rate, extended the committed revolver by five years rather than the usual three year extension, reduced the interest rate charged on amounts outstanding, and removed a letter of credit commitment related to certain industrial development bonds.

On April 26, 2004, the Company gave notice to U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee under the Indenture of Trust dated as of November 16, 1995, related to the Robinson County Industrial Development Corporation Variable Rate Demand Industrial Development Revenue Bonds (Sanderson Farms, Inc. Project) Series 1995 ("Bonds"), of the Company's intent to exercise its right to call all of the Bonds for optional redemption on June 1, 2004 (the "Redemption Date") at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of the Bonds plus accrued interest to the Redemption Date. The Trustee redeemed the Bonds on June 1, 2004.

The Company regularly evaluates both internal and external growth opportunities, including acquisition opportunities and the possible construction of new production assets, and conducts due diligence activities in connection with such opportunities. The cost and terms of any financing to be raised in conjunction with any growth opportunity, including the Company's ability to raise debt or equity capital on terms and at costs satisfactory to the Company, and the effect of such opportunities on the Company's balance sheet, are critical considerations in any such evaluation.

management's discussion and analysis

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Obligations under long-term debt, long-term capital leases, non-cancelable operating leases, purchase obligations relating to feed grains, other feed ingredients and packaging supplies and claims payable relating to the Company's workers' compensation insurance policy at October 31, 2005 were as follows (*in thousands*):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payments Due By Period			
		Less than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	More than 5 Years
Long-term debt	\$ 8,597	\$ 4,131	\$ 4,283	\$ 183	\$ 0
Capital lease obligations	2,320	275	605	680	760
Operating leases	19,032	5,643	8,518	4,793	78
Purchase obligations:					
Feed grains, feed ingredients and packaging supplies	155,314	155,314	0	0	0
Construction contracts	18,127	18,127	0	0	0
Claims payable	6,611	3,711	2,900	0	0
Total	<u>\$ 210,001</u>	<u>\$ 187,201</u>	<u>\$ 16,306</u>	<u>\$ 5,656</u>	<u>\$ 838</u>

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting standards generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions, and the differences could be material.

ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

In the normal course of business, the Company extends credit to its customers on a short-term basis. Although credit risks associated with our customers are considered minimal, the Company routinely reviews its accounts receivable balances and makes provisions for probable doubtful accounts based on an individual assessment of a customer's credit quality as well as subjective factors and trends, including the aging of receivable balances. In circumstances where management is aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations to the Company, a specific reserve is recorded to reduce the receivable to the amount expected to be collected. If circumstances change (i.e., higher than expected defaults or an unexpected material adverse change in a major customer's ability to meet its financial obligations to us), our estimates of the recoverability of amounts due us could be reduced by a material amount, and the allowance for doubtful accounts and related bad debt expense would increase by the same amount.

HURRICANE KATRINA

The Company has recorded insurance recoveries related to Hurricane Katrina when realization of the claim for recovery has been deemed probable and only to the extent the loss has been recorded in the financial statements. Any possible gain that may result from recoveries under the Company's insurance policies will be recognized when the insurance proceeds are received.

Hurricane Katrina struck Mississippi and Louisiana on August 29, 2005, and resulted in significant damage to South Mississippi and Southeastern Louisiana. Although the Company experienced no significant damage to any of its facilities in the affected areas, the Company's operations throughout the region were affected by the loss of electricity to the Company's facilities and to the facilities of the Company's independent contract growers. Hurricane Katrina also destroyed approximately three million live chickens and approximately 5.2 million hatching eggs were either lost or destroyed and were not placed as broiler chicks. In addition, Hurricane Katrina

management's discussion and analysis

destroyed approximately \$2.5 million of processed inventory in independent contract cold storage facilities, as well as a lesser amount of processed product and other inventory in Company owned facilities.

The Company's financial statements for the fourth fiscal quarter and year ended October 31, 2005, reflect a receivable from the Company's insurance carriers of \$14.9 million for property damage, expenses incurred and lost profits resulting from Hurricane Katrina. The Company's total insurance claim through October 31, 2005, for property damage, expenses incurred and lost profits is \$20.0 million, net of the applicable deductible of \$2,750,000. The total reduction in operating income of \$7.9 million relates to the deductible of \$2,750,000 and incurred but unrecognized lost profits and expenses of approximately \$5.1 million. The unrecognized lost profits and expenses of \$5.1 million were the direct result of the effect of Hurricane Katrina and the Company's efforts to minimize the potential loss from the hurricane and will be recognized once negotiations with the insurance carriers are complete and the final amounts are determined. The Company intends to seek reimbursement for all of its insured losses, including the unrecognized lost profits and expenses. Negotiations with the Company's insurance carriers are expected to be completed during 2006. The Company believes the remaining effects of lost production and additional expenses related to Hurricane Katrina that will be incurred during the first fiscal quarter of 2006 will also be substantially covered by the Company's insurance policies.

INVENTORIES

Processed food and poultry inventories and inventories of feed, eggs, medication and packaging supplies are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. If market prices for poultry or feed grains move substantially lower, the Company would record adjustments to write down the carrying values of processed poultry and feed inventories to fair market value, which would increase the Company's costs of sales.

Live poultry inventories of broilers are stated at the lower of cost or market and breeders at cost less accumulated amortization. The cost associated with broiler inventories, consisting principally of chicks, feed, medicine and payments to the growers who raise the chicks for us, are accumulated during the growing period. The cost associated with breeder inventories, consisting principally of breeder chicks, feed, medicine and grower payments are accumulated during the growing period. Capitalized breeder costs are then amortized over nine months using the straight-line method. Mortality of broilers and breeders is charged to cost of sales as incurred. If market prices for chicks, feed or medicine or if grower payments increase (or decrease) during the period, the Company could have an increase (or decrease) in the market value of its inventory as well as an increase (or decrease) in costs of sales. Should the Company decide that the nine month amortization period used to amortize the breeder costs is no longer appropriate as a result of operational changes, a shorter (or longer) amortization period could increase (or decrease) the costs of sales recorded in future periods. High mortality from disease or extreme temperatures would result in abnormal charges to cost of sales to write-down live poultry inventories.

LONG-LIVED ASSETS

Depreciable long-lived assets are primarily comprised of buildings and machinery and equipment. Depreciation is provided by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives, which are 15 to 39 years for buildings and 3 to 12 years for machinery and equipment. An increase or decrease in the estimated useful lives would result in changes to depreciation expense.

The Company continually reevaluates the carrying value of its long-lived assets for events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. As part of this reevaluation, the Company estimates the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposal. If the sum of the expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized to reduce the carrying value of the long-lived asset to the estimated fair value of the asset. If the Company's assumptions with respect to the future expected cash flows associated with the use of long-lived assets currently recorded change, then the Company's determination that no impairment charges are necessary may change and result in the Company recording an impairment charge in a future period.

management's discussion and analysis

ACCRUED SELF INSURANCE

Insurance expense for workers' compensation benefits and employee-related health care benefits are estimated using historical experience and actuarial estimates. Stop-loss coverage is maintained with third party insurers to limit the Company's total exposure. Management regularly reviews the assumptions used to recognize periodic expenses. If historical experience proves not to be a good indicator of future expenses, if management were to use different actuarial assumptions, or if there is a negative trend in the Company's claims history, there could be a significant increase (or decrease) in cost of sales depending on whether these expenses increased or decreased, respectively.

INCOME TAXES

The Company determines its effective tax rate by estimating its permanent differences resulting from differing treatment of items for financial and income tax purposes. The Company is periodically audited by taxing authorities and considers any adjustments made as a result of the audits in computing the Company's income tax expense. Any audit adjustments affecting permanent differences could have an impact on the Company's effective tax rate.

CONTINGENCIES

The Company is a party to a number of legal proceedings and recognizes the costs of legal defense in the periods incurred. A determination of the amount of reserves required, if any, for these matters is made after considerable analysis of each individual case. At this time, the Company has not accrued any reserve for any of these matters. Future reserves may be required due to changes in the Company's assumptions, the effectiveness of legal strategies, or other factors beyond the Company's control. Future results of operations may be materially affected by the creation of or changes to reserves.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." SFAS No. 123(R) supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and amends SFAS No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows." Generally, the approach in Statement 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. The Company is required to adopt SFAS No. 123(R) in the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

As permitted by SFAS No. 123, the Company currently accounts for share-based payments to employees using APB 25's intrinsic value method and, as such, generally recognizes no compensation cost for employee stock options. The impact of adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) cannot be predicted at this time because it will depend on levels of share-based payments granted in the future. However, had we adopted SFAS No. 123(R) in prior periods, the impact of that standard would have approximated the impact of SFAS No. 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per share in Note 1 to our audited financial statements. SFAS No. 123(R) also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required under current literature. This requirement will reduce net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in periods after adoption. While the Company cannot estimate what those amounts will be in the future (because they depend on, among other things, when employees exercise stock options), the income tax benefits of such deductions were \$966,000 and \$3,726,000 for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Also, under the provision of FAS 123(R), unearned compensation related to unvested restricted stock awards are not recorded. Accordingly, any remaining unearned compensation related to unvested restricted stock awards and the corresponding amount in paid-in capital will no longer be included in stockholders' equity beginning November 1, 2005.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs, an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4." SFAS No. 151 amends Accounting Research Bulletin ("ARB") No. 43, Chapter 4, to clarify that abnormal

management's discussion and analysis

amounts of idle facility expense, freight handling costs and wasted materials (spoilage) should be recognized as current-period charges. In addition, SFAS No. 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overhead to inventory during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company is currently assessing the impact that SFAS No. 151 will have on the results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The Company is a purchaser of certain commodities, primarily corn and soybean meal, for use in manufacturing feed for its chickens. As a result, the Company's earnings are affected by changes in the price and availability of such feed ingredients. Feed grains are subject to volatile price changes caused by factors described below that include weather, size of harvest, transportation and storage costs and the agricultural policies of the United States and foreign governments. The price fluctuations of feed grains have a direct and material effect on the Company's profitability.

Generally, the Company purchases its corn, soybean meal and other feed ingredients for prompt delivery to its feed mills at market prices at the time of such purchases. The Company sometimes will purchase feed ingredients for deferred delivery that typically ranges from one month to six months after the time of purchase. The grain purchases are made directly with our usual grain suppliers, which are companies in the regular business of supplying grain to end users, and do not involve options to purchase. Such purchases occur when senior management concludes that market factors indicate that prices at the time the grain is needed are likely to be higher than current prices, or where, based on current and expected market prices for the Company's poultry products, management believes it can purchase feed ingredients at prices that will allow the Company to earn a reasonable return for its shareholders. Market factors considered by management in determining whether or not and to what extent to buy grain for deferred delivery include:

- Current market prices;
- Current and predicted weather patterns in the United States, South America, China and other grain producing areas, as such weather patterns might affect the planting, growing, harvesting and yield of feed grains;
- The expected size of the harvest of feed grains in the United States and other grain producing areas of the world as reported by governmental and private sources;
- Current and expected changes to the agricultural policies of the United States and foreign governments;
- The relative strength of United States currency and expected changes therein as it might impact the ability of foreign countries to buy United States feed grain commodities;
- The current and expected volumes of export of feed grain commodities as reported by governmental and private sources;
- The current and expected use of available feed grains for uses other than as livestock feed grains (such as the use of corn for the production of ethanol, which use is impacted by the price of crude oil); and
- Current and expected market prices for the Company's poultry products.

The Company purchases physical grain, not financial instruments such as puts, calls or straddles that derive their value from the value of physical grain. Thus, the Company does not use derivative financial instruments as defined by SFAS 133, "Accounting for Derivatives for Instruments and Hedging Activities." The Company does not enter into any derivative transactions or purchase any grain-related contracts other than the physical grain contracts described above.

The cost of feed grains is recognized in cost of sales, on a first-in-first-out basis, at the same time that the sales of the chickens that consume the feed grains are recognized.

report of independent registered public accounting firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Sanderson Farms, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and subsidiaries as of October 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2005. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and subsidiaries at October 31, 2005 and 2004, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Sanderson Farms, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated December 22, 2005, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

New Orleans, Louisiana
December 22, 2005

Ernst & Young LLP

consolidated balance sheets

	October 31	
	2005	2004
<i>(In thousands)</i>		
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 34,616	\$ 75,910
Accounts receivable, less allowance of \$748,808 in 2005 and \$1,555,452 in 2004	38,833	49,240
Receivable from insurance companies	14,892	0
Inventories	84,713	75,603
Refundable income taxes	0	2,592
Prepaid expenses	11,599	13,077
Total current assets	184,653	216,422
Property, plant and equipment:		
Land and buildings	212,463	141,727
Machinery and equipment	296,449	257,671
	508,912	399,398
Accumulated depreciation	(249,586)	(242,685)
	259,326	156,713
Other assets	1,812	1,872
Total assets	\$ 445,791	\$ 375,007
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 24,468	\$ 30,384
Accrued expenses	48,148	31,029
Current maturities of long-term debt	4,406	4,385
Total current liabilities	77,022	65,798
Long-term debt, less current maturities	6,511	10,918
Claims payable	2,900	2,600
Deferred income taxes	13,705	16,350
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred Stock:		
Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$100 par value: authorized shares-500,000; none issued		
Par value to be determined by the Board of Directors: authorized shares-4,500,000; none issued		
Common Stock, \$1 par value: authorized shares-100,000,000; issued and outstanding shares-20,063,070 in 2005 and 19,959,238 in 2004	20,063	19,959
Paid-in capital	22,657	4,956
Unearned compensation	(13,607)	0
Retained earnings	316,540	254,426
Total stockholders' equity	345,653	279,341
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 445,791	\$ 375,007

See accompanying notes.

consolidated statements of income

	2005	2004	2003
<i>(In thousands)</i>			
Net sales	\$ 1,006,185	\$ 1,052,297	\$ 872,235
Cost and expenses:			
Cost of sales	826,670	842,337	741,420
Selling, general and administrative	66,031	59,806	40,293
	892,701	902,143	781,713
Operating income	113,484	150,154	90,522
Other income (expense):			
Interest income	1,257	743	80
Interest expense	(433)	(1,569)	(2,484)
Other	173	(60)	43
	997	(886)	(2,361)
Income before income taxes	114,481	149,268	88,161
Income tax expense	43,843	57,840	34,100
Net income	\$ 70,638	\$ 91,428	\$ 54,061
Earnings per share:			
Basic	\$ 3.53	\$ 4.62	\$ 2.78
Diluted	\$ 3.51	\$ 4.57	\$ 2.75
Dividends per share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.61
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Basic	20,014	19,789	19,462
Diluted	20,137	19,995	19,689

See accompanying notes.

consolidated statements of stockholders' equity

	Common Stock		Paid-In	Unearned	Retained	Total
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Compensation	Earnings	Stockholders' Equity
<i>(In thousands, except shares and per share amounts)</i>						
Balance at October 31, 2002	13,051,026	\$ 13,051	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 142,840	\$ 155,891
Net income for year					54,061	54,061
Cash dividends (\$.28 per share)					(5,449)	(5,449)
Special cash dividends (\$.33 per share)					(6,508)	(6,508)
Purchase and retirement of common stock	(219,000)	(219)	(2,133)		(2,808)	(5,160)
Issuance of common stock	181,850	182	4,082			4,264
Balance at October 31, 2003	13,013,876	13,014	1,949	0	182,136	197,099
Net income for year					91,428	91,428
Cash dividends (\$.34 per share)					(6,753)	(6,753)
Special cash dividends (\$.50 per share)					(9,980)	(9,980)
Three-for-two stock split	6,558,726	6,559	(4,186)		(2,373)	0
Redemption of fractional shares					(32)	(32)
Issuance of common stock	386,636	386	7,193			7,579
Balance at October 31, 2004	19,959,238	19,959	4,956	0	254,426	279,341
Net income for year					70,638	70,638
Cash dividends (\$.42 per share)					(8,524)	(8,524)
Issuance of common stock	103,832	104	2,033			2,137
Issuance of restricted common stock			15,668	(15,360)		308
Amortization of unearned compensation				1,753		1,753
Balance at October 31, 2005	20,063,070	\$ 20,063	\$ 22,657	\$ (13,607)	\$ 316,540	\$ 345,653

See accompanying notes.

consolidated statements of cash flows

	2005	2004	2003
<i>(In thousands)</i>			
Operating activities			
Net income	\$ 70,638	\$ 91,428	\$ 54,061
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	24,752	26,326	24,485
Amortization of unearned compensation	1,753	0	0
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	1,063	165	727
Deferred income taxes	(3,115)	500	(920)
Change in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	9,344	(3,210)	(5,849)
Receivable from insurance companies	(14,892)	0	0
Inventories	(9,110)	(13,850)	(3,789)
Prepaid expenses and refundable income taxes	4,540	(3,483)	2,431
Other assets	(95)	(123)	(135)
Accounts payable	(5,916)	11,351	(6,225)
Accrued expenses and claims payable	17,419	(6,511)	11,029
Total adjustments	25,743	11,165	21,754
Net cash provided by operating activities	96,381	102,593	75,815
Investing activities			
Capital expenditures	(128,107)	(27,538)	(23,430)
Net proceeds from sale of property and equipment	897	79	394
Other investment	0	(1,597)	0
Net cash used in investing activities	(127,210)	(29,056)	(23,036)
Financing activities			
Net change in revolving credit	0	0	(20,000)
Principal payments on long-term debt	(4,126)	(10,420)	(7,014)
Principal payments on capital lease obligation	(260)	(245)	(230)
Dividends paid	(8,524)	(16,733)	(11,957)
Purchase and retirement of common stock	0	(32)	(5,160)
Net proceeds from common stock issued	2,445	7,579	4,264
Net cash used in financing activities	(10,465)	(19,851)	(40,097)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(41,294)	53,686	12,682
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	75,910	22,224	9,542
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 34,616	\$ 75,910	\$ 22,224
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Income taxes paid	\$ 33,002	\$ 63,486	\$ 20,093
Interest paid	\$ 1,360	\$ 1,611	\$ 2,569

See accompanying notes.

notes to consolidated financial statements

1. Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (the “Company”) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

Business: The Company is engaged in the production, processing, marketing and distribution of fresh and frozen chicken and other prepared food items. The Company’s net sales and cost of sales are significantly affected by market price fluctuations of its principal products sold and of its principal feed ingredients, corn and other grains.

The Company sells to retailers, distributors and casual dining operators primarily in the southeastern, southwestern and western United States. Revenue is recognized when product is delivered to customers. Revenue on certain international sales is recognized upon transfer of title, which may occur after shipment. Management periodically performs credit evaluations of its customers’ financial condition and generally does not require collateral. No customer accounted for more than 10.0% of consolidated net sales during fiscal 2005. One customer accounted for 12.5% and 11.7%, respectively, of consolidated sales for the years ended October 31, 2004 and October 31, 2003. Shipping and handling costs are included as a component of cost of sales.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash Equivalents: The Company considers all highly liquid investments with maturities of ninety days or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts: In the normal course of business, the Company extends credit to its customers on a short-term basis. Although credit risks associated with our customers are considered minimal, the Company routinely reviews its accounts receivable balances and makes provisions for probable doubtful accounts based on an individual assessment of a customer’s credit quality as well as subjective factors and trends, including the aging of receivable balances. In circumstances where management is aware of a specific customer’s inability to meet its financial obligations to the Company, a specific reserve is recorded to reduce the receivable to the amount expected to be collected. If circumstances change (i.e., higher than expected defaults or an unexpected material adverse change in a major customer’s ability to meet its financial obligations to us), our estimates of the recoverability of amounts due us could be reduced by a material amount and the allowance for doubtful accounts and related bad debt expense would increase by the same amount.

Hurricane Receivable from Insurance Companies: The Company has recorded insurance recoveries related to Hurricane Katrina when realization of the claim for recovery has been deemed probable and only to the extent the loss has been recorded in the financial statements. Any possible gain that may result from recoveries under the Company’s insurance policies will be recognized when the insurance proceeds are received.

Inventories: Processed food and poultry inventories and inventories of feed, eggs, medication and packaging supplies are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market.

Live poultry inventories of broilers are stated at the lower of cost or market and breeders at cost less accumulated amortization. The costs associated with breeders, including breeder chicks, feed, medicine and grower pay, are accumulated up to the production stage and amortized over nine months using the straight-line method.

notes to consolidated financial statements

Property, Plant and Equipment: Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation of property, plant and equipment is provided by the straight-line and units of production methods over the estimated useful lives of 15 to 39 years for buildings and 3 to 12 years for machinery and equipment.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets: The Company continually reevaluates the carrying value of its long-lived assets for events or changes in circumstances which indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. As part of this reevaluation, the Company estimates the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposal. If the sum of the expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized through a charge to operations.

Self-Insurance Programs: Insurance expense for workers' compensation benefits and employee-related health care benefits are estimated using historical experience and actuarial estimates. Stop-loss coverage is maintained with third party insurers to limit the Company's total exposure. Management regularly reviews the assumptions used to recognize periodic expenses. Any resulting adjustments to accrued claims are reflected in current operating results.

Advertising and Marketing Costs: The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses and totaled \$13.0 million, \$14.0 million and \$0.8 million for fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Income Taxes: Deferred income taxes are accounted for using the liability method and relate principally to cash basis temporary differences and depreciation expense accounted for differently for financial and income tax purposes.

Stock Based Compensation: At October 31, 2005, the Company has a stock-based employee compensation plan, which is described more fully in Note 9. The Company accounts for this plan under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation cost applicable to employee stock options is reflected in net income, as all options granted had an exercise price at least equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to stock-based employee compensation.

	Year Ended October 31		
	2005	2004	2003
<i>(In thousands)</i>			
Net income, as reported	\$ 70,638	\$ 91,428	\$ 54,061
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense for employee stock options determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(45)	(45)	(60)
Pro forma net income	\$ 70,593	\$ 91,383	\$ 54,001
Earnings per share:			
Basic-as reported	\$ 3.53	\$ 4.62	\$ 2.78
Basic-pro forma	\$ 3.53	\$ 4.62	\$ 2.78
Diluted-as reported	\$ 3.51	\$ 4.57	\$ 2.75
Diluted-pro forma	\$ 3.51	\$ 4.57	\$ 2.74

notes to consolidated financial statements

Earnings Per Share: Basic earnings per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share includes any dilutive effects of options, warrants, restricted stock and convertible securities.

On January 29, 2004, the Board of Directors declared a 3 for 2 stock split to be effected in the form of a 50% stock dividend. This dividend was paid February 29, 2004 to stockholders of record on February 10, 2004. Share and per share data have been adjusted to reflect this stock split. Cash was paid in lieu of fractional shares.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: The carrying amounts for cash and temporary cash investments approximate their fair values. The carrying amounts of the Company's borrowings under its credit facilities and long-term debt also approximate the fair values based on current rates for similar debt.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards: In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." SFAS No. 123(R) supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and amends SFAS No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows." Generally, the approach in Statement 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. The Company is required to adopt SFAS No. 123(R) in the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

As permitted by SFAS No. 123, the Company currently accounts for share-based payments to employees using APB 25's intrinsic value method and, as such, generally recognizes no compensation cost for employee stock options. The impact of adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) cannot be predicted at this time because it will depend on levels of share-based payments granted in the future. However, had we adopted SFAS No. 123(R) in prior periods, the impact of that standard would have approximated the impact of SFAS No. 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per share in Note 1 to our audited financial statements. SFAS No. 123(R) also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required under current literature. This requirement will reduce net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in periods after adoption. While the Company cannot estimate what those amounts will be in the future (because they depend on, among other things, when employees exercise stock options), the income tax benefits of such deductions were \$966,000 and \$3,726,000 for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Also, under the provisions of FAS 123(R), unearned compensation related to unvested restricted stock awards is not recorded. Accordingly, any remaining unearned compensation related to unvested restricted stock awards and the corresponding amount in paid-in capital will no longer be included in stockholders' equity beginning November 1, 2005.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs, an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4." SFAS No. 151 amends Accounting Research Bulletin ("ARB") No. 43, Chapter 4, to clarify that abnormal amounts of idled facility expense, freight handling costs and wasted materials (spoilage) should be recognized as current-period charges. In addition, SFAS No. 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overhead to inventory be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS No. 151 is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company is currently assessing the impact that SFAS No. 151 will have on the results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

notes to consolidated financial statements

2. Hurricane Receivable

The Company's financial statements for the fourth fiscal quarter and year ended October 31, 2005, reflect a receivable from the Company's insurance carriers of \$14.9 million for property damage, expenses incurred and lost profits resulting from Hurricane Katrina. The Company's total insurance claim through October 31, 2005, for property damage, expenses incurred and lost profits is \$20.0 million, net of the applicable deductible of \$2,750,000. The total reduction in operating income of \$7.9 million relates to the deductible of \$2,750,000 and incurred but unrecognized lost profits and expenses of approximately \$5.1 million. The unrecognized lost profits and expenses of \$5.1 million were the direct result of the effect of Hurricane Katrina and the Company's efforts to minimize the potential loss from the hurricane and will be recognized once negotiations with the insurance carriers are complete and the final amounts are determined. The Company intends to seek reimbursement for all of its insured losses, including the unrecognized lost profits and expenses. Negotiations with the Company's insurance carriers are expected to be completed during 2006. The Company believes the remaining effects of lost production and additional expenses related to Hurricane Katrina that will be incurred during the first fiscal quarter of 2006 will also be substantially covered by the Company's insurance policies.

3. Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

	October 31	
	2005	2004
<i>(In thousands)</i>		
Live poultry-broilers and breeders	\$ 42,662	\$ 45,318
Feed, eggs and other	10,983	10,081
Processed poultry	19,881	11,024
Processed food	6,905	5,172
Packaging materials	4,282	4,008
	<u>\$ 84,713</u>	<u>\$ 75,603</u>

4. Prepaid expenses

Prepaid expenses consisted of the following:

	October 31	
	2005	2004
<i>(In thousands)</i>		
Parts and supplies	\$ 6,801	\$ 5,698
Current deferred tax assets	1,930	1,460
Other prepaid expenses	2,868	5,919
	<u>\$ 11,599</u>	<u>\$ 13,077</u>

notes to consolidated financial statements

5. Accrued expenses

Accrued expenses and claims payable consisted of the following:

	October 31	
	2005	2004
<i>(In thousands)</i>		
Income taxes payable	\$ 12,990	\$ 0
Accrued bonuses	13,515	11,474
Accrued rebates	3,236	3,387
Workers' compensation claims	3,711	3,484
Accrued property taxes	2,627	2,306
Accrued wages	4,020	3,201
Accrued vacation	3,199	2,822
Other accrued expenses	4,850	4,355
	<u>\$ 48,148</u>	<u>\$ 31,029</u>

6. Long-term Credit Facilities and Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

	October 31	
	2005	2004
<i>(In thousands)</i>		
Term loan with an insurance company, accruing interest at 7.05%; due in annual principal installments of \$4,000,000, maturing in 2007	\$ 8,000	\$ 12,000
Note payable, accruing interest at 5%; due in annual installments of \$161,400, including interest, maturing in 2009	597	723
6% Mississippi Business Investment Act bond-capital lease obligation, due November 1, 2012	2,320	2,580
	<u>10,917</u>	<u>15,303</u>
Less current maturities of long-term debt	4,406	4,385
	<u>\$ 6,511</u>	<u>\$ 10,918</u>

At October 31, 2005, the Company had a \$100.0 million revolving credit agreement with four banks. As of October 31, 2005, all of the credit was available. On November 17, 2005, the Company entered into a new \$200.0 million revolving credit facility with six banks that extends until 2010. Borrowings are at prime or below and may be prepaid without penalty. A commitment fee of .25% is payable quarterly on the unused portion of the revolver. Covenants related to the revolving credit and the term loan agreements include requirements for maintenance of minimum consolidated net working capital, tangible net worth, debt to total capitalization and current ratio. The agreement also establishes limits on dividends, assets that can be pledged and capital expenditures. As of December 22, 2005, all of the credit under the new revolver was available.

notes to consolidated financial statements

The aggregate annual maturities of long-term debt at October 31, 2005 are as follows (*in thousands*):

Fiscal Year	Amount
2006	\$ 4,406
2007	4,433
2008	455
2009	482
2010	381
Thereafter	760
	<u>\$ 10,917</u>

7. Income Taxes

Income tax expense (benefit) consisted of the following:

	Year Ended October 31		
	2005	2004	2003
<i>(In thousands)</i>			
Current:			
Federal	\$ 41,453	\$ 49,250	\$ 29,940
State	5,505	8,090	5,080
	<u>46,958</u>	<u>57,340</u>	<u>35,020</u>
Deferred:			
Federal	(2,705)	430	(800)
State	(410)	70	(120)
	<u>(3,115)</u>	<u>500</u>	<u>(920)</u>
	<u>\$ 43,843</u>	<u>\$ 57,840</u>	<u>\$ 34,100</u>

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

	October 31	
	2005	2004
<i>(In thousands)</i>		
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 15,675	\$ 17,977
Prepaid and other assets	495	1,108
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>16,170</u>	<u>19,085</u>
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued expenses and accounts receivable	4,395	4,195
Net deferred tax liabilities	<u>\$ 11,775</u>	<u>\$ 14,890</u>
Current deferred tax assets (included in prepaid expenses)	\$ 1,930	\$ 1,460
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	13,705	16,350
Net deferred tax liabilities	<u>\$ 11,775</u>	<u>\$ 14,890</u>

notes to consolidated financial statements

The differences between the consolidated effective income tax rate and the federal statutory rate of 35.0% are as follows:

	Year Ended October 31		
	2005	2004	2003
<i>(In thousands)</i>			
Income taxes at statutory rate	\$ 40,068	\$ 52,244	\$ 30,856
State income taxes	3,312	5,584	3,224
Other, net	463	12	20
Income tax expense	<u>\$ 43,843</u>	<u>\$ 57,840</u>	<u>\$ 34,100</u>

8. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has an Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") covering substantially all employees. Contributions to the ESOP are determined at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors. Total contributions to the ESOP were \$5,500,000, \$7,000,000 and \$4,000,000 in fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

The Company has a 401(k) Plan which covers substantially all employees after one year of service. Participants in the Plan may contribute up to the maximum allowed by IRS regulations. The Company matches 100% of employee contributions to the 401(k) Plan up to 3% of each employee's compensation and 50% of employee contributions between 3% and 5% of each employee's compensation. The Company's contributions to the 401(k) Plan totaled \$2,666,000 in fiscal 2005, \$1,803,000 in fiscal 2004 and \$1,551,000 in fiscal 2003.

9. Stock Compensation Plans

The Company has elected to follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related interpretations in accounting for its employee stock options because the alternative fair value accounting provided for under FASB Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," requires use of option valuation models that were not developed for use in valuing employee stock options.

Under the Company's Stock Option Plan, 2,250,000 shares of Common Stock were reserved for grant to key management personnel. Options outstanding at October 31, 2005 were granted in fiscal 2002, have ten-year terms and vest over four years beginning one year after the date of grant. The Company did not grant any options during fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003. The plan has been superceded by the Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Affiliates Stock Incentive Plan described below and no further options may be issued under the Stock Option Plan.

Pro forma information regarding net income and earnings per share is required by Statement 123, and has been determined as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method of that Statement. The fair value for these options was estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model.

notes to consolidated financial statements

A summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at October 31, 2002	1,082,604	\$ 9.61
Granted	0	0.00
Exercised	(272,775)	8.57
Forfeited	(10,125)	12.37
Outstanding at October 31, 2003	799,704	14.41
Granted	0	0.00
Exercised	(440,078)	9.75
Forfeited	(2,250)	12.37
Outstanding at October 31, 2004	357,376	11.56
Granted	0	0.00
Exercised	(102,332)	11.27
Forfeited	(33,501)	12.22
Outstanding at October 31, 2005	221,543	\$ 11.66

The exercise price of the options outstanding as of October 31, 2005, ranged from \$7.47 to \$12.37 per share. At October 31, 2005, the weighted average remaining contractual life of the options outstanding was 7 years and 150,336 options were exercisable.

In fiscal 2000, the Company granted 211,507 "phantom shares" to certain key management personnel. Upon exercise of a phantom share, the holder will receive a cash payment or an equivalent number of shares of the Company's Common Stock, at the Company's option, equal to the excess of the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock at the time of exercise over the phantom share award value of \$4.98 per share. The phantom shares have a ten-year term and vest over four years beginning one year after the date of grant. Compensation expense of \$84,000, \$1,567,000 and \$1,942,000 for the phantom share plan is included in selling, general and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of income for fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

A summary of the Company's phantom share activity and related information is as follows:

	Shares	Exercise Price
Outstanding at October 31, 2002	211,500	\$ 4.98
Granted	0	0.00
Forfeited	0	0.00
Exercised	(141,750)	4.98
Outstanding at October 31, 2003	69,750	4.98
Granted	0	0.00
Forfeited	0	0.00
Exercised	(63,000)	4.98
Outstanding at October 31, 2004	6,750	4.98
Granted	0	0.00
Forfeited	0	0.00
Exercised	(6,750)	4.98
Outstanding at October 31, 2005	0	\$ 0.00

notes to consolidated financial statements

On February 17, 2005, the shareholders of the Company approved the Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Affiliates Stock Incentive Plan (the “Plan”). The Plan allows the Company’s board of directors to grant certain incentive awards including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, and other similar awards. The Company may award up to 2,250,000 shares under the Plan. Incentive awards granted under the Plan are accounted for in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock issued to Employees” and related interpretations.

Pursuant to the Plan, on February 23, 2005, the Company’s board of directors approved agreements for the issuance of restricted stock to directors, executive officers and other key employees as designated by the Company’s board of directors. Restricted stock granted to non-employee directors vests three years from the date of grant and all other restricted stock granted at that time pursuant to the Plan vests ten years from the date of grant. The vesting schedule is accelerated upon death, disability or retirement of the participant or upon a change in control, as defined. Restricted stock grants are valued based upon the closing market price of the Company’s Common Stock on the date of grant. Restricted stock grants are recorded as unearned compensation and are recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period. During the quarter ended April 30, 2005, the Company issued a total of 354,000 shares of restricted stock valued at \$44.56 per share. During fiscal 2005, 11,000 shares granted on February 23, 2005 were forfeited. Compensation expense related to restricted stock grants totaled \$1,744,000 during fiscal 2005.

Also on February 23, 2005 and pursuant to the Plan, the Company’s board of directors approved Management Share Purchase Plan agreements (the “Purchase Plan”) that authorized the issuance of shares of restricted stock to the Company’s directors, executive officers and other key employees as designated by the Company’s board of directors. Pursuant to the Purchase Plan, non-employee directors may elect to receive up to 100% of their annual retainer and meeting fees in the form of restricted stock. Other participants may elect to receive up to 15% of their salary and up to 75% of any bonus earned in the form of restricted stock. The purchase price of the restricted stock is the closing market price of the Company’s Common Stock on the date of purchase. The Company makes matching contributions of 25% of the restricted shares purchased by participants. Restricted stock issued pursuant to the Purchase Plan vests after three years or immediately upon death, disability, retirement or change in control, as defined. If a participant’s employment is terminated for any other reason prior to the three-year vesting period, the participant forfeits the matching contribution and the Company may, at its option, repurchase restricted stock purchased by the participant at the price paid by the participant. Matching contributions are recorded as unearned compensation and are recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period. During fiscal 2005, the participants purchased a total of 7,497 shares of restricted stock pursuant to the Purchase Plan valued at \$41.13 per share and the Company issued 1,832 matching shares valued at \$41.11 per share. Compensation expense related to the Company’s matching contribution totaled approximately \$8,000 in fiscal 2005.

10. Shareholder Rights Agreement

On April 22, 1999, the Company adopted a shareholder rights agreement (the “Agreement”) with similar terms as the previous one. Under the terms of the Agreement a purchase right (“right”) was declared as a dividend for each share of the Company’s Common Stock outstanding on May 4, 1999. The rights do not become exercisable and certificates for the rights will not be issued until ten business days after a person or group acquires or announces a tender offer for the beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the Company’s Common Stock. Special rules set forth in the Agreement apply to determine beneficial ownership for members of the Sanderson family. Under these rules, such a member will not be considered to beneficially own certain shares of Common Stock, the economic benefit of which is received by any member of the Sanderson family, and certain shares of Common Stock acquired pursuant to employee benefit plans of the Company.

notes to consolidated financial statements

The exercise price of a right has been established at \$75. Once exercisable, each right would entitle the holder to purchase one one-hundredth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$100 per share. The rights may be redeemed by the Board of Directors at \$0.01 per right prior to an acquisition, through open market purchases, a tender offer or otherwise, of the beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the Company's Common Stock. The rights expire on May 4, 2009.

11. Other Matters

The Company has vehicle and equipment leases that expire at various dates through fiscal 2011. Rental expense under these leases totaled \$4.9 million, \$4.7 million and \$3.6 million for fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The minimum lease payments of obligations under non-cancelable operating leases at October 31, 2004 were as follows:

Fiscal Year	Amount
2006	\$ 5.6 million
2007	5.0 million
2008	3.5 million
2009	3.0 million
2010	1.8 million
Thereafter	.1 million
	<u>\$ 19.0 million</u>

On May 19, 2003, a lawsuit was filed on behalf of 74 individual plaintiffs in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi alleging an "intentional pattern and practice of race discrimination and hostile environment in violation of Title VII and Section 1981 rights." This lawsuit alleges that Sanderson Farms, in its capacity as an employer, has "engaged in (and continues to engage in) a pattern and practice of intentional unlawful employment discrimination and intentional unlawful employment practices at its plants, locations, off-premises work sites, offices, and facilities in Pike County, Mississippi...in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (as amended)... ." The action further alleges that "Sanderson Farms has willfully, deliberately, intentionally, and with malice deprived black workers in its employ of the full and equal benefits of all laws in violation of the Civil Rights Act... ." On June 6, 2003, thirteen additional plaintiffs joined in the pending lawsuit by the filing of a First Amended Complaint. This brought the total number of plaintiffs to 87.

The plaintiffs in this lawsuit seek, among other things, back pay and other compensation in the amount of \$500,000 each and unspecified punitive damages. The Company has aggressively defended the lawsuit and will continue to do so. The Company has a policy of zero tolerance for discrimination of any type, and preliminarily investigated the complaints alleged in this lawsuit when they were brought as EEOC charges. This investigation, which is ongoing, has substantiated none of the complaints alleged in the lawsuit, and the Company believes the charges are without merit. On July 21, 2003, the Company filed a Motion to Dismiss or, alternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment or Motion for More Definite Statement. On December 17, 2003, the court entered its order denying the Company's motion for summary judgment, but granting its motion for more definite statement. The court also ordered that the union representing some of the plaintiffs be joined as a defendant. The court gave the plaintiffs until January 26, 2004 to amend their complaint to more specifically set out their claims. Although the Company's motion to dismiss was denied, the court's order permits the Company to refile its dispositive motions after the plaintiffs file an amended complaint. On January 27, 2004, 84 of the 87 plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint. The remaining three plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed their claims. The Company filed its answer to the plaintiffs' second amended complaint on March 26, 2004, denying any and all liability and setting forth numerous affirmative defenses. On July 1, 2004, the Company filed a Motion to Sever Plaintiffs' Cases, wherein the Company requested that the court sever the pending lawsuit with 84 plaintiffs into 84 separate lawsuits, one

notes to consolidated financial statements

for each plaintiff. The Company asserted in its motion that this relief should be granted because the 84 cases are too dissimilar and were misjoined. The Company further asserted that it would be prejudiced by being subjected to one common trial for all 84 plaintiffs, rather than separate trials for each plaintiff. On August 26, 2004, the Court issued its order severing this case into six separate causes of action, with the plaintiffs divided into six groups based on their job classifications. On October 12, 2004, the plaintiffs filed new complaints for each of the six severed cases, which the Company answered on November 24, 2004. A case management conference for each of the six cases was held on December 28, 2004, during which various procedural issues related to discovery were settled. On September 28, 2005, the Company filed a Motion for a Pre-Trial conference seeking to preclude the plaintiffs from utilizing a “pattern and practice” method of proof. This method of proof is typically reserved for class action cases, or cases brought by the government. The plaintiffs had indicated their intention to use this method of proof in the pleadings and discovery requests filed up to the date of the Company’s motion. On October 26, 2005, the court entered an order ruling that the plaintiffs would not be permitted to use the “pattern and practice” method of proof. Six separate trials are scheduled during 2006 and 2007 for the plaintiffs’ causes of actions. The first of the six trials is currently set for September 18, 2006.

On September 26, 2000, three current and former contract growers filed suit against the Company in the Chancery Court of Lawrence County, Mississippi. The plaintiffs filed suit on behalf of “all Mississippi residents to whom, between, on or about November 1981 and the present, the Company induced into growing chickens for it and paid compensation under the so-called ‘ranking system.’” Plaintiffs allege that the Company “has defrauded plaintiffs by unilaterally imposing and utilizing the so-called ‘ranking system’ which wrongfully places each grower into a competitive posture against other growers and arbitrarily penalizes each less successful grower based upon criteria which were never revealed, explained or discussed with plaintiffs.” Plaintiffs further allege that they are required to accept chicks that are genetically different and with varying degrees of healthiness, and feed of dissimilar quantity and quality. Finally, plaintiffs allege that they are ranked against each other although they possess dissimilar facilities, equipment and technology. Plaintiffs seek an unspecified amount in compensatory and punitive damages, as well as varying forms of equitable relief.

The Company is vigorously defending and will continue to vigorously defend this action. On November 22, 2002, the Court denied the Company’s motions to compel arbitration, challenging the jurisdiction of the Chancery Court of Lawrence County, Mississippi, and seeking to have the case dismissed pursuant to rule 5(c) of the Mississippi Rules of Civil Procedure. The Company then filed its motion for interlocutory appeal on these issues with the Mississippi Supreme Court. On December 6, 2002, the Mississippi Supreme Court agreed to hear this motion and stayed the action in the Chancery Court pending disposition of this motion. The Company’s motion for interlocutory appeal was granted and this matter is pending before the Mississippi Supreme Court. The Supreme Court granted the Company’s request that this case be consolidated with a second grower suit discussed below. Both this matter and the matter discussed below were decided by the court on October 6, 2005 with a decision in favor of the Company. The plaintiffs have indicated they plan to request a rehearing before the court and have until January 18, 2006 to file such a request.

On August 2, 2002, three contract egg producers filed suit against the Company in the Chancery Court of Jefferson Davis County, Mississippi. The Plaintiffs filed suit on behalf of “all Mississippi residents who, between June 1993 and the present, [the Company] fraudulently and negligently induced into housing, feeding and providing water for [the Company’s] breeder flocks and gathering, grading, packaging and storing the hatch eggs generated by said flocks and who have been compensated under the payment method established by the [Company].” Plaintiffs alleged that the Company “has defrauded Plaintiffs by unilaterally imposing and utilizing a method of payment which wrongfully and arbitrarily penalizes each grower based upon criteria which are under the control of the [Company] and which were never revealed, explained or discussed with each Plaintiff.” Plaintiffs allege that they were required to accept breeder hens and roosters which are genetically different, with varying degrees of healthiness,

notes to consolidated financial statements

and feed of dissimilar quantity and quality. Plaintiffs further allege contamination of and damage to their real property. Plaintiffs alleged that they were “fraudulently and negligently induced into housing, feeding and providing water for the Company’s breeder flocks and gathering, grading, packaging and storing the hatch eggs produced from said flocks” for the Company. Plaintiffs seek unspecified amount of compensatory and punitive damages, as well as various forms of equitable relief.

On September 5, 2002, the Company filed its Motion to Dismiss and/or Transfer Jurisdiction and/or to Compel Arbitration and/or for Change of Venue. A hearing of this motion was completed on November 18, 2003. Prior to completion of the hearing, the Company filed a request with the American Arbitration Association (“AAA”) to arbitrate the claims made in this lawsuit. On June 7, 2004, the Chancery Court of Jefferson Davis County, Mississippi entered an Order denying all of the relief requested by the Company in its motion dated September 5, 2002. On June 29, 2004, the Company filed a Notice of Appeal and/or, in the Alternative, Petition to Appeal from Interlocutory Order and Motion for Stay Pursuant to M.R.A.P.5(c) with the Mississippi Supreme Court, requesting appellate review of the Chancery Court’s Order. On August 11, 2004, the Mississippi Supreme Court entered its Order accepting jurisdiction under the Notice of Appeal portion of the Company’s June 29, 2004 filing, but dismissed the Alternative Petition for Interlocutory Appeal portion of the same filing as moot. The court also agreed to consolidate this case with the broiler grower lawsuit described above. The Mississippi Supreme Court continued the stay previously entered, holding in abeyance the trial court proceedings pending a ruling by it on the consolidated appeals of both grower lawsuits. On October 6, 2005, the court decided this matter, together with the grower suit discussed above, in favor of the Company. The plaintiffs have indicated they plan to request a rehearing before the court and have until January 18, 2006 to file such a request.

The Company is also involved in various other claims and litigation incidental to its business. Although the outcome of the matters referred to in the preceding sentence cannot be determined with certainty, management, upon the advice of counsel, is of the opinion that the final outcome should not have a material effect on the Company’s consolidated results of operation or financial position.

board of directors

Joe F. Sanderson, Jr.
*Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer,
Sanderson Farms, Inc.*

John H. Baker, III
*Proprietor of John H. Baker
Interests*

Lampkin Butts
*President and Chief Operating
Officer,
Sanderson Farms, Inc.*

Mike Cockrell
*Treasurer and Chief Financial
Officer, Sanderson Farms, Inc.*

Beverly Wade Hogan
President of Tougaloo College

Phil K. Livingston
*Retired Chairman and Chief
Executive Officer, Deposit Guaranty
National Bank of Louisiana,
Hammond, Louisiana*

Gail Jones Pittman
President, Gail Pittman, Inc.

Charles W. Ritter, Jr.
*Retired President and Director of
JRS, Inc., Kosciusko, Mississippi*

Robert Buck Sanderson
Retired

Rowan H. Taylor
*Retired Chairman, Mississippi
Valley Title Insurance Company*

Donald W. Zacharias
*President Emeritus,
Mississippi State University*

executive officers

Joe F. Sanderson, Jr.
*Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer*

Lampkin Butts
*President and Chief
Operating Officer*

Mike Cockrell
*Treasurer and Chief
Financial Officer*

James A. Grimes
*Secretary and Chief
Accounting Officer*

corporate information

Corporate Offices

Sanderson Farms, Inc.
127 Flynt Road
Post Office Box 988
Laurel, Mississippi 39443
(601) 649-4030

Transfer Agent

Mellon Investor Services
Suite 1900
200 Galleria Parkway
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
(770) 916-4186

Independent Registered Public

Accounting Firm

Ernst & Young LLP
Suite 3900
701 Poydras Street
New Orleans, Louisiana 70139
(504) 581-4200

Form 10-K

The Form 10-K, including the financial statements and schedules thereto, for the year ended October 31, 2005, as well as other information about Sanderson Farms, may be obtained without charge by writing to Mr. Mike Cockrell, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, at the Company's corporate offices, or by visiting our web site at www.sandersonfarms.com

market and dividend information

The Company's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ National Market System under the symbol SAFM. As of January 9, 2006, Sanderson Farms had approximately 18,250 beneficial holders of its common stock and 2,455 stockholders of record.

The following table shows quarterly cash dividends and quarterly high and low prices for the common stock for the past two fiscal years. National Market System quotations are based on actual sales prices.

	Stock Price		
	High	Low	Dividends
Fiscal Year 2005			
First Quarter	\$ 43.80	\$ 32.17	\$.10
Second Quarter	\$ 46.64	\$ 36.24	\$.10
Third Quarter	\$ 48.42	\$ 36.80	\$.10
Fourth Quarter	\$ 42.49	\$ 32.96	\$.12
	Stock Price		
	High	Low	Dividends
Fiscal Year 2004			
First Quarter	\$ 32.77	\$ 22.79	\$.08
Second Quarter	\$ 42.00	\$ 33.22	\$.08
Third Quarter	\$ 55.14	\$ 37.21	\$.08
Fourth Quarter	\$ 48.67	\$ 31.49	\$.60

On December 30, 2005, the closing sales price for the common stock was \$30.53 per share.



**Sanderson
Farms®**

**127 Flynt Road
Post Office Box 988
Laurel, Mississippi 39443**