SYNAPTICS INC

FORM 10-K (Annual Report)

Filed 9/8/2004 For Period Ending 6/30/2004

Address 2381 BERING DRIVE

SAN JOSE, California 95131

Telephone 408-434-0110

CIK 0000817720

Industry Electronic Instr. & Controls

Sector Technology

Fiscal Year 06/30



SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2004

Commission File Number 000-49602

SYNAPTICS INCORPORATED

(Exact Name of	Registrant as Specified in Its Ch	narter)
Delaware			77-0118518
(State or Other Jurisdiction Incorporation or Organization			(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
2381 Bering Drive San Jose, California			95131
(Address of Principal Executive	Offices)		(Zip Code)
		(408) 434-0110	
	Registrant's te	lephone number, including area	code
ties registered pursuant to Section 12(g)) of the Exchan	ge Act:	
	Common	Stock, par value \$.001 per share	
_		(Title of Class)	
	Prefe	erred Stock Purchase Rights	
_		(Title of Class)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes [X] No []

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by nonaffiliates of the registrant (20,892,686 shares) based on the closing price of the registrant's Common Stock as reported on the Nasdaq National Market on December 31, 2003, was \$312,972,436. For purposes of this computation, all officers, directors, and 10% beneficial owners of the registrant are deemed to be affiliates. Such determination should not be deemed to be an admission that such officers, directors, or 10% beneficial owners are, in fact, affiliates of the registrant.

As of September 1, 2004, there were outstanding 25,113,208 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share.

SYNAPTICS INCORPORATED ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	PART I	
ITEM 1.	BUSINESS	1
ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES	29
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	29
ITEM 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS PART II	29
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	30
ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	31
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	32
ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	42
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	42
ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL	
	DISCLOSURE	42
ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	43
ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	43
	PART III	
ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT	44
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	44
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED	
	STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	44
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	44
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	44
	PART IV	
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	45
SIGNATUR		47
	CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-1
Exhibit 21		
Exhibit 23.		
Exhibit 23.		
Exhibit 31.		
Exhibit 31.		
Exhibit 32.		
Exhibit 32.	2	

Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The statements contained in this report on Form 10-K that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements within the meaning of applicable securities laws. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our "expectations," "anticipation," "intentions," "beliefs," or "strategies" regarding the future, whether or not those words are used. Forward-looking statements also include statements regarding revenue, margins, expenses, and earnings analysis for fiscal 2005 and thereafter; technological innovations; products or product development, including their performance, market position, and potential; our product development strategies; potential acquisitions or strategic alliances; the success of particular product or marketing programs; the amounts of revenue generated as a result of sales to significant customers; and liquidity and anticipated cash needs and availability. All forward-looking statements included in this report are based on information available to us as of the filing date of this report, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are the factors discussed in Item 1, "Business – Risk Factors."

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

We are the leading worldwide developer and supplier of custom-designed user interface solutions that enable people to interact more easily and intuitively with a wide variety of mobile computing, communications, entertainment, and other electronic devices. In our fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, we estimate that more than half of all notebook computers and hard-disk drive, or HDD, portable digital music players shipped contained our products. Our original equipment manufacturer, or OEM, customers include the world's ten largest PC OEMs and the largest HDD portable digital music player OEM. We generally supply our OEM customers through their contract manufacturers, which take delivery of our products and pay us directly for them. These contract manufacturers include Asusalpha, Compal, Elitegroup Computers, Foxconn, Inventec, LG, and Shanghai Yi Hsin.

The latest industry projections for notebook shipments for the period 2004-2008 show a compound annual growth rate of 16.7% compared with 4.9% for desktop computers, reflecting the continuing trend toward mobile computing and remote access. Based on the strength of our technology and engineering know-how, we believe we are well positioned to take advantage of the growth opportunity in the notebook market and to provide innovative, value-added interface solutions for each of the key end-user preferences. We estimate that in fiscal 2004 approximately 68% of all notebook computers sold used solely a touch pad interface; 8% used solely a pointing stick interface; and 24% used a dual pointing interface, which consists of both a touch pad and a pointing stick. Our notebook product lines of touch pads and pointing sticks allow us to address 100% of the total notebook market.

Industry projections for the portable digital music player market for the period 2004-2008 suggest a compound annual growth rate of 15.6% for the overall market and a compound annual growth rate exceeding 40% for the HDD segment of the market, reflecting the trend toward digital music player products containing greater data storage capacities. These products require a simple, reliable, and intuitive user interface solution to navigate efficiently through menus and scroll through extensive play lists and songs contained on the HDD. We believe we are uniquely positioned to take advantage of this rapidly growing market based on our technology, engineering know-how, and the broad acceptance of our custom-designed user interface solutions currently found in the top selling HDD digital music players.

Our TouchPadTM is a small, touch-sensitive pad that senses the position of a person's finger on its surface to provide screen navigation, cursor movement, and a platform for interactive input. Our TouchPads offer various advanced features, such as virtual scrolling; customizable tap zones to simulate mouse clicks, launch applications, or perform other select functions; Palm CheckTM to eliminate false activation; and Edge MotionTM to continue cursor movement when the user's finger reaches the edge of the touch pad. Our TouchPads are custom designed to meet our OEM customers' specifications regarding electrical interface, size, thickness, functionality, and driver software for various advanced features and operating systems. Our pointing stick solutions, including TouchStykTM, our proprietary pointing stick solution, enable computer manufacturers to offer end users the choice of a touch pad, a pointing stick, or a combination of both interface devices. TouchStyk is a self-contained, easily integrated module that uses similar sensing technology as our TouchPad. Our QuickStroke® provides a fast, easy, and accurate way to input Chinese characters.

We believe our extensive intellectual property portfolio, our experience in providing interface solutions to major OEMs, and our proven track record of growth in our expanding core notebook computer interface business position us to be a key technological enabler for multiple applications in many markets. Based on these strengths, we are addressing the opportunities created by the growth of a new class of mobile computing and communications devices, which we call information appliances, or "iAppliances," as well as a variety of other electronic devices. iAppliances include personal digital assistants, or PDAs, smart phones, and portable digital music players as well as a variety of mobile, handheld, wireless, and Internet devices. Other electronic devices include touchpads for set-top box remote controls for Internet access and home entertainment utilizing the user's television screen as the monitor as well as touch screens for use in ATMs, kiosks, web phones, and interactive gaming machines. We believe our existing technologies, our new product solutions, and our emphasis on ease of use, small size, low power consumption, advanced functionality, durability, and reliability will enable us to penetrate the markets for iAppliances and other electronic devices.

We continually strive to introduce new user interface and technology solutions, including solutions for iAppliance and other electronic devices. New solutions include SpeakerPadTM, LuxPadTM, Fingerprint TouchPad, NavPointTM, LightTouchTM, TouchRingTM, ScrollStripTM, cPadTM, and SpiralTM as well as touch pads with embedded character recognition software, and touch sensing modules for large touch screens. Our SpeakerPad integrates our TouchPad and an audio speaker that saves space and fosters thinner, more compact notebooks; offers simplified and improved design and manufacturing process; and provides quality sound. Our LuxPad is an innovative illuminated TouchPad designed to be appealing to customers and to serve as a product differentiator for our customers. Our ScrollStrip provides a simple and intuitive way for users to scroll through menus, web pages, and documents. The NavPoint offers users improved functionality and versatility in accessing and managing content in handheld devices. LightTouch is a simple, easy to use, stylish interface solution that replaces mechanical buttons with an illuminated sensor. Our TouchRing is an integrated solid-state circular scrolling wheel utilizing our capacitive touch sensing technology that enables the user to navigate efficiently through menus and scroll through extensive play lists and songs found on HDD portable digital music players. Our Fingerprint TouchPad combines our TouchPad with an advanced biometric sensor and software to provide a complete biometric security solution for notebook OEMs. The fingerprint recognition features of the Fingerprint TouchPad replace the need for a user name and password combination with the user's fingerprint. The Fingerprint TouchPad has the dual advantage of providing security by restricting login access to anyone other than the rightful user and providing user convenience by making it easier and faster to log in since a user name and password are not needed. Our ClearPadTM solution is a clear, thin sensor that can be placed over any surface, including display devices, such as liquid crystal displays, or LCDs. The ClearPad is a lightweight, low power consumption solution, and its flexible design allows it to be mounted on curved surfaces, such as the lens of a cellular phone. Our Spiral is a thin, lightweight, low power consumption, inductive pensensing system. The Spiral sensor lies behind an LCD screen, effectively permitting 100% light transmissivity and reduced backlighting requirements. Spiral uses a patented inductive coupling technology that offers the unique feature of proximity sensing to measure the position of the pen relative to the pen-based device. Our TouchPad with embedded Chinese character recognition software, allows users to interface application specific content, such as electronic payment processing, map locators, and short messaging services.

Our website is located at www.synaptics.com. Through our website, we make available free of charge our annual reports on Form 10-K, our proxy statements, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and our current reports on Form 8-K as well as Form 3, Form 4, and Form 5 Reports for our directors, officers, and principal stockholders, together with amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a), 15 (d), or 16 under the Securities Exchange Act. These reports are available as soon as reasonably practicable after their electronic filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Our Strategy

Our objective is to continue to enhance our position as the world's leading supplier of interface solutions for the notebook computer market and portable digital music players and to become a leading supplier of interface solutions for iAppliances and other electronic devices. Key aspects of our strategy to achieve this objective include the following:

Extend Our Technological Leadership

We plan to utilize our extensive intellectual property portfolio and technological expertise to provide competitive advantages, extend the functionality of our product solutions, and offer innovative product solutions to customers across multiple market segments. We intend to continue to utilize our technological expertise to reduce the overall size, weight, cost, and power consumption of our interface solutions while increasing their applications, capabilities, and performance. We plan to expand our research and development efforts through strategic acquisitions and alliances, increased expenses, and the hiring of additional engineering personnel. We believe that these efforts will enable us to meet customer expectations and to achieve our goal of supplying on a timely and cost-effective basis the most advanced, easy-to-use, functional interface solutions to our target markets.

Enhance Our Leadership Position in the Notebook Computer and Portable Digital Music Player Markets

We intend to continue to introduce market-leading interface solutions in terms of performance, functionality, size, and ease of use. Our touch stick solutions, including our proprietary TouchStyk, enable us to address both the pointing stick and the dual pointing segments of the notebook interface market. Our pen-sensing

applications, multi-finger gestures, and scroll strip products are designed to provide additional functionality that results in competitive advantages. Our HyperThin TM TouchPad solution allows our customers to design and produce even thinner notebook computers.

Capitalize on Growth of New Markets

We intend to capitalize on the growth of new markets, including the iAppliance markets, brought about by the convergence of computing, communications, and entertainment devices. We plan to offer innovative, intuitive interface solutions that address the evolving portability, connectivity, and functionality requirements of these new markets. We plan to offer these solutions to existing and potential OEM customers as a means to increase the functionality, reduce the size, lower the cost, and enhance the user experience of our customers' products. We plan to utilize our existing technologies as well as aggressively pursue new technologies as new markets evolve and demand new solutions.

Emphasize and Expand Customer Relationships

We plan to emphasize and expand our strong and long-lasting customer relationships and to provide the most advanced interface solutions for our customers' products. We recognize that our interface solutions enable our customers to deliver a positive user experience and to differentiate their products from those of their competitors. We continually attempt to enhance the competitive position of our customers by providing them with innovative, distinctive, and high-quality interface solutions on a timely and cost-effective basis. To do so, we work continually to improve our productivity, to reduce costs, and to speed the delivery of our interface solutions. We endeavor to streamline the entire design and delivery process through our ongoing design, engineering, and production improvement efforts. We also devote considerable effort to support our customers after the purchase of our interface solutions.

Pursue Strategic Relationships and Acquisitions

We intend to develop and expand strategic relationships to enhance our ability to offer value-added customer solutions, penetrate new markets, and strengthen the technological leadership of our product solutions. We also intend to acquire companies in order to expand our technological expertise and to establish or strengthen our presence in selected target markets.

Continue Virtual Manufacturing

We plan to expand and diversify our production capacity through third-party relationships, thereby strengthening our virtual manufacturing platform. This strategy results in a scalable business model; enables us to concentrate on our core competencies of research and development, technological advances, and product design; and reduces our capital expenditures. Our virtual manufacturing strategy allows us to maintain a variable cost model, in which we do not incur most of our manufacturing costs until our product solutions have been shipped and billed to our customers.

Product Solutions

We develop, acquire, and enhance interface technologies that enrich the interaction between people and mobile computing and communications devices. Our innovative and intuitive interfaces can be engineered to accommodate many diverse platforms. Our extensive array of technologies includes ASICs, firmware, software, and pattern recognition and touch sensing technologies.

Through our technologies, we seek to provide our customers with customized solutions that address their individual design issues and result in high-performance, feature-rich, and reliable interface solutions. Our TouchPad, SpeakerPad, LuxPad, and Fingerprint TouchPad address the notebook computer market; our TouchStyk addresses the pointing stick and dual pointing portions of the notebook computer market and the iAppliance markets; our TouchRing, NavPoint, Spiral, LightTouch, and ScrollStrip address the iAppliance markets; and our ClearPad addresses the notebook computer and iAppliance markets. We believe our interface solutions offer the following characteristics:

- Ease of Use. Our interface solutions offer the ease of use and intuitive interaction that users demand.
- Small Size. The small, thin size of our interface solutions enables our customers to reduce the overall size and weight of their products in order to satisfy consumer demand for portability.
- Low Power Consumption . The low power consumption of our interface solutions enables our customers to offer products with longer battery life or smaller battery size.
- Advanced Functionality. Our interface solutions offer advanced features, such as virtual scrolling, customizable tap zones, edge motion, and tapping and dragging icons, to enhance user experience.
- Reliability. The reliability of our interface solutions satisfies consumer demand for dependability, which is a major component of
 consumer satisfaction.
- *Durability*. Our interface solutions withstand repeated use, severe physical treatment, and temperature fluctuations while providing a superior level of performance.

We believe these characteristics will enable us to maintain our leadership position in the notebook computer market and will enhance our position as a technological enabler of iAppliances and other electronic devices and a differentiator for OEMs of these products.

Our emphasis on technological leadership and customized-design capabilities positions us to provide unique interface solutions that address specific customer requirements. Our long-term working relationships with large, global OEMs provide us with experience in satisfying their demanding design specifications and other requirements. Our custom product solutions provide OEMs with numerous benefits, including the following:

- customized, modular integration;
- reduced product development costs;
- shorter product time to market;
- · compact and efficient platforms;
- · improved product functionality and utility; and
- product differentiation.

We work with our customers to customize our solutions in order to meet their design requirements. This collaborative effort reduces the duplication and overlap of investment and resources, enabling our OEM customers to devote more time and resources to the market development of their products.

We utilize capacitive and inductive technologies rather than traditional resistive technology in our product solutions. Unlike resistive technology, our capacitive and inductive technologies require no activation force, thereby permitting easy movement across the touch surface, and use no moving parts. Our capacitive technology also can be integrated with both curved and flat surfaces.

Capacitive and inductive technologies provide additional key benefits over resistive technology. Capacitive and inductive sensors are fabricated without the air or liquid gap required by resistive technology, reducing undesirable internal reflections and the power requirements for the LCD backlight, thereby extending the battery life of small handheld devices. Capacitive and inductive technologies also allow for much thinner sensors than resistive technology, allowing for slimmer, more compact, and unique industrial designs.

Products

We offer customers user interface solutions that provide competitive advantages. Our family of product solutions allows our customers to solve their interface needs and differentiate their products from those of their competitors.

TouchPad

Our TouchPad, which takes the place and exceeds the functionality of a mouse, is a small, touch-sensitive pad that senses the position of a person's finger on its surface through the measurement of capacitance. Our TouchPad provides an accurate, comfortable, and reliable method for screen navigation and cursor movement, and provides a platform for interactive input, which allows our customers to provide stylish, simple, user-friendly, and intuitive interface solutions for both the consumer and corporate markets. Our TouchPads offer various advanced features, including the following:

- *Virtual scrolling*. This feature enables the user to scroll through any document by swiping a finger along the side or bottom of the TouchPad.
- Customizable tap zones. These zones permit separate portions of the TouchPad to be used to simulate mouse clicks, launch applications, and perform other selected functions.
- Palm Check. Palm Check eliminates false activation when a person's palm accidentally rests on the TouchPad.
- Edge Motion. This permits cursor movement to continue when a user's finger reaches the edge of the TouchPad.
- Tapping and dragging of icons. This feature allows the user to simply tap on an icon in order to drag it, rather than being forced to hold a button down in order to drag an icon.
- Multi-finger gestures. This feature allows the user to designate specific actions when more than one finger is used on the TouchPad.

Our TouchPads are available in a variety of sizes, electrical interfaces, and thicknesses, including our HyperThin, which is the world's thinnest touch pad and is designed for ultra-portable mobile devices that are small and have restricted space requirements. Our TouchPads are designed to meet the electrical and mechanical specifications of our customers. Customized firmware and driver software ensure the availability of specialized features. As a result of their solid state characteristics, our TouchPads have no moving parts that wear out, resulting in a robust and reliable input solution that also allows for unique industrial designs.

TouchStyk

We offer both capacitive and resistive pointing stick solutions. We offer a third-party resistive stick. We also offer TouchStyk, our proprietary pointing stick interface solution. TouchStyk is a self-contained, easily integrated module that uses similar capacitive technology as our TouchPad. TouchStyk is enabled with press-to-select and tap-to-click capabilities and can be easily integrated into multiple computing and communications devices. We have reduced the number of components needed to control the pointing device, allowing the electronics for the TouchStyk to be mounted directly on the printed circuit board, or PCB, of the unit. In addition, this design greatly reduces susceptibility to electromagnetic interference, thereby providing greater pointing accuracy and preventing the pointer from drifting when not in use.

We are currently shipping our TouchStyk in notebooks that utilize dual pointing interface solutions. Our modular approach allows OEMs to include our TouchPad, our TouchStyk, or a combination of both interfaces in their notebook computers.

Dual Pointing Solutions

Our dual pointing solutions offer both a touch pad and a pointing stick in a single notebook computer, enabling users to select their interface of choice. Our dual pointing solution also provides the end user the ability to use both interfaces interchangeably. Our dual pointing solution provides the following advantages:

- cost-effective and simplified OEM integration;
- simplified OEM product line since one device contains both solutions;
- single-source supplier, which eliminates compatibility issues; and
- end user flexibility since one notebook can address both user preferences.

We have developed two solutions for use in the dual pointing market. Our first solution integrates all the electronics for controlling a third-party resistive strain gauge pointing stick onto our TouchPad PCB. This solution simplifies OEM integration by eliminating the need to procure the pointing stick electronics from another party and physically integrate them into the notebook. Our second dual pointing solution uses our TouchStyk rather than a third-party pointing stick, and offers the same simplified OEM integration. The second solution is a completely modular design, allowing OEMs to offer TouchPad-only, TouchStyk-only, or dual pointing solutions on a build-to-order basis.

QuickStroke

QuickStroke provides a fast, easy, and accurate way to input Chinese characters. Using our recognition technology that combines our patented software with our TouchPad, QuickStroke can recognize handwritten, partially finished Chinese characters, thereby saving considerable time and effort. Our QuickStroke operates with our touch pad products that can be integrated into notebook computers, keyboards, and a host of stand-alone interface devices that use either a pen or a finger.

Our patented Incremental Recognition Technology allows users to simply enter the first few strokes of a Chinese character and QuickStroke accurately interprets the intended character. Since the typical Chinese character consists of an average of 13 strokes, QuickStroke technology saves considerable time and effort. QuickStroke provides a solution to enhance Chinese communication for both business and personal use electronic devices.

TouchPad Under Plastic

Our TouchPad under plastic, which operates in a manner similar to our other TouchPads, provides our customers with unique design opportunities. Placing the TouchPad sensor underneath the plastic palm rest allows for a streamlined stylized design. Our TouchPad under plastic is now available in a number of notebooks offered by multiple OEMs.

SpeakerPad

SpeakerPad is the first integration of a notebook touch pad and an audio speaker. By integrating audio technology into our TouchPad module, the SpeakerPad eliminates the need for multiple speaker components, offering a simplified and improved design and manufacturing process, cleaner industrial design, and quality sound. The use of a single module that combines the TouchPad and the speaker saves significant space, which is important for thinner, more compact notebooks. In addition, the single module is easier to design into a notebook, facilitates easier integration, and enables manufactures to work with one company for both user-interface and audio solutions.

LuxPad

LuxPad is an innovative illuminated TouchPad. The LuxPad is designed to be appealing to consumers and to serve as a product differentiator to our customers. The LuxPad can either light up the entire touchpad or light up a logo in the center of the TouchPad, depending on the preference of the notebook designer.

Fingerprint TouchPad

Our Fingerprint TouchPad module combines our TouchPad with an advanced biometric sensor and software to provide a fully integrated biometric security and interface solution. The fingerprint recognition features of our integrated module replace the need for a user name and password combination with the user's fingerprint. The integrated Fingerprint TouchPad module has the dual advantage of providing security by restricting login access to anyone other than the rightful user and providing user convenience by making it easier and faster to log in since a user name and password are not needed. The first application of our Fingerprint TouchPad module is in Samsung's Sens X10 notebook.

TouchRing

Our TouchRing is an integrated solid-state interface circular scrolling wheel utilizing our capacitive touch sensing technology that enables the user to navigate through menus and scroll through lists. The first application of our TouchRing is in a leading MP3 player in which the scroll wheel enables the user to efficiently navigate through menus and scroll through extensive play lists and songs.

ScrollStrip

ScrollStrip is a one-directional TouchPad that provides a simple and intuitive way for users to scroll through menus, web pages, and documents. ScrollStrip can be used in a wide variety of applications that require a thin, robust, accurate, and easy-to-use input and navigation device, including notebooks, PC peripherals, such as keyboards and mice, and iAppliances. ScrollStrip is available in custom sizes, thicknesses, colors, and electronic interfaces to meet the needs of our OEM customers. Future applications may include cellular phones and other communications and computing devices.

LightTouch

LightTouch is a simple, easy to use, stylish interface solution that replaces mechanical buttons with an illuminated sensor programmed to perform functions, such as pause and play. LightTouch is designed for integration under the plastic face of a device, allowing for a sealed, thin design that is both stylish and durable.

cPad

cPad, our innovative and customizable touch screen solution, consists of a clear thin sensor that can be placed over any viewable surface, including display devices such as LCDs. cPad is controlled by a small electronics module, which can be located remotely from the sensor. Similar to our traditional TouchPad, our cPad has various distinct advantages, including light weight; low profile form factor; high reliability, durability, and accuracy; and low power consumption. In addition, cPad enables visual information display in conjunction with touch commands.

We have used our ClearPad technology to develop our cPad, a product solution that replaces the touch pad in notebook computers. Our cPad solution consists of a ClearPad mounted over an LCD display. This solution provides all of the features of a standard touch pad while providing information content and additional features, including an application launcher, calendar, and calculator. We have developed this solution with a USB interface for significant and rapid data transfer and easy integration into notebook computer designs.

Spiral

Spiral is a thin, lightweight, low power, inductive pen-sensing solution. The Spiral sensor lies behind an LCD screen, effectively permitting 100% light transmissivity and reduced backlighting requirements. Spiral uses a patented inductive coupling technology that offers the unique feature of proximity sensing, which measures the precise position of the tip of the pen relative to a pen-based device.

TouchScreen

Our TouchScreen provides a user interface solution for use with ATMs, ticket machines, medical displays, industrial displays, pay-at-the-pump gas machines, and interactive kiosks. The first application of our TouchScreen is in an ATM.

NavPoint

The NavPoint solution offers users improved functionality and versatility in accessing and managing content in handheld devices through unique navigation controls, including short- and long-distance scrolling features, tapping, and mouse-like cursor navigation. The first application with the NavPoint interface solution is the HP iPAQ hx4700 Pocket PC.

Technologies

We have developed and own an extensive array of technologies encompassing ASICs, firmware, software, and pattern recognition and touch sensing technologies. With 72 U.S. patents issued and 31 U.S. patents pending, we continue to develop technology in these areas. We believe these technologies and the related intellectual property create significant barriers for competitors and allow us to provide interface solutions in a variety of high-growth market segments.

Our broad line of interface solutions currently is based upon the following key technologies:

- capacitive position sensing technology;
- capacitive force sensing technology;
- transparent capacitive position sensing technology;
- inductive position sensing technology;
- pattern recognition technology;
- mixed signal very large scale integrated circuit, or VLSI, technology; and
- proprietary microcontroller technology.

In addition to these technologies, we have the core competency of developing software that provides unique features, such as virtual scrolling, customizable tap zones, Palm Check, Edge Motion, tapping and dragging of icons, and multi-finger gestures. In addition, our ability to integrate all of our products to interface with major operating systems, including Windows 98, Windows 2000, Windows NT, Windows CE, Windows XP, Windows ME, Mac OS, Pocket PC, Palm OS, Symbian, UNIX, and LINUX, provides us with a competitive advantage.

Capacitive Position Sensing Technology. This technology provides a method for sensing the presence, position, and contact area of one or more fingers or a conductive stylus on a flat or curved surface, such as our TouchPad. Our technology works with very light touch and provides highly responsive cursor navigation and scrolling. It uses no moving parts, can be implemented under plastic, and is extremely durable.

Capacitive Force Sensing Technology. This technology senses the direction and magnitude of a force applied to an object. The object can either move when force is applied, like a typical joystick used for gaming applications, or it can be isometric, with no perceptible motion during use, like our TouchStyk. The primary competition for this technology is resistive strain gauge technology. Resistive strain gauge technology requires electronics that can sense very small changes in resistance, presenting challenges to the design of that circuitry, including sensitivity to electrical noise and interference. Our electronic circuitry determines the magnitude and direction of an applied force, permits very accurate sensing of tiny changes in capacitance, and minimizes interference from electrical noise.

Transparent Capacitive Position Sensing Technology. This technology allows us to build transparent sensors for use with our capacitive position sensing technology, such as in our cPad. It has all the advantages of our capacitive position sensing technology and allows for visual feedback when incorporated with a display device, such as an LCD. Our technology does not require calibration, does not produce undesirable internal reflections, and has reduced power requirements, allowing for longer battery life.

Inductive Position Sensing Technology. This technology provides a method for sensing the presence and position, in three dimensions, of a pen on surfaces like the touch screen used in smart handheld devices. The sensor board can be placed behind the display screen, such as an LCD, thus eliminating any undesirable reflections or transmissivity losses and the need for backlighting, which enhances battery life.

Pattern Recognition Technology. This technology is a set of software algorithms for converting real-world data, such as handwriting, into a digital form that can be recognized and manipulated within a computer, such as our QuickStroke product and gesture decoding for our TouchPad products. Our technology provides reliable handwriting recognition and facilitates signature verification.

Mixed Signal VLSI Technology. This hybrid analog-digital integrated circuit technology combines the power of digital computation with the ability to interface with non-digital real-world signals like the position of a finger or stylus on a surface. Our patented design techniques permit us to utilize this technology to optimize our core ASIC engine for all our products, which we believe provides cost and performance advantages over our competitors.

Proprietary Microcontroller Technology. This technology consists of a proprietary 16-bit microcontroller core embedded in the digital portion of our mixed signal ASIC, which allows us to optimize our ASIC for position sensing tasks. Our embedded microcontroller provides great flexibility in customizing our product solutions utilizing firmware, which eliminates the need to design new circuitry for each new application.

Competing Technology

Many interface solutions currently utilize resistive sensing technology. Resistive sensing technology consists of a flexible membrane above a flat, rigid, electrically conductive surface. When finger or stylus pressure is applied to the membrane, it deforms until it makes contact with the rigid layer below, at which point attached electronics can determine the position of the finger or stylus. Since the flexible membrane is a moving part, it is susceptible to mechanical wear and will eventually suffer degraded performance. Due to the way that resistive position sensors work, it is not possible for them to detect more than a single finger or stylus at any given time. The positional accuracy of a resistive sensor is limited by the uniformity of the resistive coating as well as by the mechanics of the flexible membrane. Finally, due to reduced transmissivity, or the amount of light that can pass through the display, resistive technology requires the use of a backlight, thereby reducing the battery life of the device.

Research and Development

We conduct active and ongoing research and development programs that focus on advancing our technologies, developing new products, improving design and manufacturing processes, and enhancing the quality and performance of our product solutions. Our goal is to provide our customers with innovative solutions that address their needs and improve their competitive positions. Our research and development concentrates on our market-leading interface technologies, improving our current product solutions, and expanding our technologies to serve new markets. Our vision is to develop solutions that integrate touch, handwriting, vision, and voice capabilities that can be readily incorporated into varied electronic devices.

Our research and development programs focus on the development of accurate, easy to use, feature rich, reliable, and intuitive user interfaces for electronic devices. We believe our innovative interface technologies can be applied to many diverse platforms. We believe the interface will be a key factor in the differentiation of these products. We anticipate that our interface technologies will enable us to provide customers with product solutions that have significant advantages over alternative technologies in terms of functionality, size, power consumption, durability, and reliability. We also pursue strategic acquisitions and enter into strategic relationships to enhance our

research and development capabilities, leverage our technology, and shorten our time to market with new technological applications.

Our research, design, and engineering teams frequently work directly with our customers to design custom solutions for specific applications. We focus on enabling our customers to overcome technological barriers and enhance the performance of their products. We believe our efforts provide significant benefits to our customers by enabling them to concentrate on their core competencies of production and marketing.

As of June 30, 2004, we employed 122 people in our technology, engineering, and product design functions in the United States, the United Kingdom, Taiwan, and Hong Kong. Our research and development expenses were approximately \$16.6 million in fiscal 2002, \$19.8 million in fiscal 2003, and \$21.4 in fiscal 2004.

Intellectual Property Rights

Our success and ability to compete depend in part on our ability to maintain the proprietary aspects of our technologies and products. We rely on a combination of patents, copyrights, trade secrets, trademarks, confidentiality agreements, and other contractual provisions to protect our intellectual property, but these measures may provide only limited protection.

As of June 30, 2004, we held 72 U.S. patents and had 31 U.S. pending patent applications. These patents and patent applications cover various aspects of our key technologies, including touch sensing, pen sensing, handwriting recognition, customizable tap zones, edge motion, and virtual scrolling technologies. Our proprietary software is protected by copyright laws. The source code for our proprietary software is also protected under applicable trade secret laws.

Patent applications that we have filed or may file in the future may not result in a patent being issued. Our issued patents may be challenged, invalidated, or circumvented, and claims of our patents may not be of sufficient scope or strength, or issued in the proper geographic regions, to provide meaningful protection or any commercial advantage. We have not applied for, and do not have, any copyright registration on our technologies or products. We have applied to register certain of our trademarks in the United States and other countries. There can be no assurance that we will obtain registrations of trademarks in key markets. Failure to obtain registrations could compromise our ability to protect fully our trademarks and brands and could increase the risk of challenge from third parties to our use of our trademarks and brands. In addition, our failure to enforce and protect our intellectual property rights or obtain from third parties the right to use necessary technology could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our extensive array of technologies includes ASICs, firmware, software, and pattern recognition and position sensing technologies. Any one of our products rely on a combination of these technologies, making it difficult to use any single technology as the basis for replicating our products. Furthermore, the length and customization of the customer design cycle serve to protect our intellectual property rights. Our research, design, and engineering teams frequently work directly with our OEM customers to design custom solutions for specific applications.

We do not consistently rely on written agreements with our customers, suppliers, manufacturers, and other recipients of our technologies and products, and therefore some trade secret protection may be lost and our ability to enforce our intellectual property rights may be limited. Furthermore, our customers, suppliers, manufacturers, and other recipients of our technologies and products may seek to use our technologies and products without appropriate limitations. In the past, we did not consistently require our employees and consultants to enter into confidentiality agreements, employment agreements, or proprietary information and invention agreements. Therefore, our former employees and consultants may try to claim some ownership interest in our technologies and products and may use our technologies and products competitively and without appropriate limitations.

Other companies, including our competitors, may develop technologies that are similar or superior to our technologies, duplicate our technologies, or design around our patents and may have or obtain patents or other proprietary rights that would prevent, limit, or interfere with our ability to make, use, or sell our products. Effective intellectual property protection may be unavailable or limited in some foreign countries, such as China and Taiwan, in which we operate. Unauthorized parties may attempt to copy or otherwise use aspects of our technologies and

products that we regard as proprietary. There can be no assurance that our means of protecting our proprietary rights in the United States or abroad will be adequate or that competitors will not independently develop similar technologies. If our intellectual property protection is insufficient to protect our intellectual property rights, we could face increased competition in the market for our technologies and products.

We may receive notices from third parties that claim our products infringe their rights. From time to time, we receive notice from third parties of the intellectual property rights such parties have obtained. We cannot be certain that our technologies and products do not and will not infringe issued patents or other proprietary rights of others. Any infringement claims, with or without merit, could result in significant litigation costs and diversion of resources, including the payment of damages, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Customers

Our customers currently include the world's ten largest PC OEMs, based on unit shipments, as well as a variety of consumer electronics manufacturers. Our demonstrated track record of technological leadership, design innovation, product performance, and on-time delivery have resulted in our serving as the sole source of notebook interfaces for some of our OEM customers. We believe our strong relationship with our OEM customers, many of which are currently developing iAppliance and other products, will position us as a primary source of supply for their product offerings.

Our OEM customers include the following:

Acer

• Apple

Asustek

Dell

ECS

• Fujitsu/Siemens

Gateway

Gericom

Hewlett-Packard

IBM

NEC

Samsung

Sharp

Toshiba

We supply our OEM customers through their contract manufacturers. We sell our products directly to these contract manufacturers, which include Asusalpha Compal, Elitegroup Computers, Foxconn, Inventec, LG, and Shanghai Yi Hsin. Sales to Inventec and Compal accounted for approximately 25% and 10%, respectively, of our revenue in fiscal 2004 and sales to Inventec accounted for approximately 14% of our revenue in fiscal 2003. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of our revenue during either fiscal 2004 or fiscal 2003.

We consider both the OEMs and the contract manufacturers to be our customers. The OEMs typically determine the design and pricing requirements and make the overall decision regarding the use of our interface solutions in their products. The contract manufacturers place orders with us for the purchase of our products, take title to the products purchased upon shipment by us, and pay us directly for those purchases. These customers have no return privileges, except for warranty provisions.

Strategic Relationships

We have established strategic relationships to enhance our ability to offer value-added customer solutions and rapidly gain market share. We intend to enter into additional strategic relationships with leading companies in our target markets.

Sales and Marketing

We sell our product solutions for incorporation into the products of OEMs. We generate sales through direct sales employees and sales representatives. Our sales personnel receive substantial technical assistance and support from our internal engineering resources because of the highly technical nature of our product solutions. Sales frequently result from multi-level sales efforts that involve senior management, design engineers, and our sales personnel interacting with our customers' decision makers throughout the product development and order process.

We currently employ 36 sales and marketing professionals. We maintain seven customer support offices domestically and internationally, which are in the United States, the United Kingdom, Taiwan, Japan, China, and Hong Kong. In addition, we utilize sales representatives in Singapore, Malaysia, Korea, United States, and Europe and sales distributors in Japan.

International sales, primarily in the Asian and European markets, constituted approximately 97%, 96%, and 96% of our revenue in fiscal 2002, 2003, and 2004 respectively. A significant portion of these sales were made to companies located in China and Taiwan that provide manufacturing services for major notebook computer OEMs. All of these sales were denominated in U.S. dollars.

Manufacturing

We employ a virtual manufacturing platform through third-party relationships. We currently utilize two semiconductor manufacturers to supply us with our requirements for our proprietary ASICs utilized in our notebook interface solutions.

After production and testing, the ASICs are shipped to our subcontractors for assembly. During the assembly process, our ASIC is combined with other components to complete our product solution. The finished assembled product is then shipped by our subcontractors directly to our customers for integration into their products.

We believe our virtual manufacturing strategy provides a scalable business model; enables us to concentrate on our core competencies of research and development, technological advances, and product design; and reduces our capital expenditures. In addition, this strategy significantly reduces our working capital requirements for inventory because we do not incur most of our manufacturing costs until we have actually shipped our product solutions to our customers and billed those customers for those products.

Our third-party manufacturers are Asian-based organizations. We provide our manufacturing subcontractors with six-month rolling forecasts of our production requirements. We do not, however, have long-term agreements with any of our manufacturing subcontractors that guarantee production capacity, prices, lead times, or delivery schedules. The strategy of relying on those parties exposes us to vulnerability owing to our dependence on few sources of supply. We believe, however, that other sources of supply are available. In addition, we may establish relationships with other manufacturing subcontractors in order to reduce our dependence on any one source of supply.

Periodically when a customer's delivery schedule is delayed or a customer's order is cancelled, we purchase inventory from our contract manufacturers. In those circumstances in which we purchase inventory from our contract manufacturers and our customer has cancelled its order, we consider a write-down to reduce the carrying value of the inventory purchased to its net realizable value.

Backlog

As of June 30, 2004, we had a backlog of orders of approximately \$13.1 million. The backlog of orders as of June 30, 2003 was approximately \$12.9 million. Our backlog consists of product orders for which purchase orders have been received and which are generally scheduled for shipment within three months. Most orders are subject to rescheduling or cancellation with limited penalties. Because of the possibility of customer changes in product shipments, our backlog as of a particular date may not be indicative of net sales for any succeeding period.

Competition

Our principal competitor in the sale of notebook touch pads is Alps Electric, a Japanese conglomerate. Our principal competitors in the sale of notebook pointing sticks are Alps Electric, NMB, and CTS. In the iAppliance interface markets, our potential competitors include Alps Electric, Panasonic, Gunze, and various other companies involved in user interface solutions. In certain cases, large OEMs may develop alternative interface solutions for their own products.

In the notebook interface markets, we plan to continue to compete primarily on the basis of our technological expertise, design innovation, customer service, and the long track record of performance of our

interface solutions, including their ease of use, reliability, and cost-effectiveness as well as their timely design, production, and delivery schedules. Our pointing stick solutions, including our proprietary TouchStyk, now enable us to address the approximate 8% of the notebook computer market that uses solely a pointing stick rather than a touch pad as the user interface as well as to provide proprietary dual pointing interfaces. Our ability to supply OEMs with TouchPads, TouchStyks, and dual pointing alternatives enhances our market position since we can provide OEMs with the following advantages:

- single source supplier to eliminate compatibility issues;
- cost-effective and simplified OEM integration;
- simplified product line to address both markets;
- end user flexibility since one notebook can address both user preferences; and
- modular approach allowing OEMs to utilize our TouchPad, our TouchStyk, or a combination of both interfaces.

In the interface markets for iAppliances and other electronic devices, we intend to compete primarily based on the advantages of our capacitive, inductive, and neural pattern recognition technologies. We believe our technologies offer significant benefits in terms of size, power consumption, durability, light transmissivity, resolution, ease of use, and reliability when compared to other technologies. While these markets are emerging, and we do not know what the competitive factors will ultimately be, we believe we are positioned to compete aggressively for this business based on our proven track record, our marquee global customer base, and our reputation for design innovation in the notebook market. However, some of our competitors in the iAppliance and electronic device markets have greater market recognition, larger customer bases, and substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution, and other resources than we possess that afford them competitive advantages. As a result, they may be able to introduce new product solutions and respond to customer requirements more quickly than we can. In addition, new competitors, alliances among competitors, or alliances among competitors and OEMs may emerge and allow competitors to rapidly acquire significant market share. Furthermore, our competitors may develop technologies in the future that more effectively address the interface needs of the notebook market and other markets.

Our sales, profitability, and success depend on our ability to compete with other suppliers of interface solutions. Our competitive position could be adversely affected if one or more of our current OEMs reduce their orders or if we are unable to develop new customers for our interface solutions.

Employees

As of June 30, 2004, we employed a total of 197 persons, including 39 in finance, administration, and operations, 36 in sales and marketing, and 122 in research and development. Of these employees, 140 were located in North America, 35 in Asia/Pacific, and 22 in Europe. We consider our relationship with our employees to be good, and none of our employees are represented by a union in collective bargaining with

Competition for qualified personnel in our industry is extremely intense, particularly for engineering and other technical personnel. Our success depends in part on our continued ability to attract, hire, and retain qualified personnel.

Executive Officers

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our executive officers:

Name	Age	Position	
Francis F. Lee	52	President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director	
Donald E. Kirby	56	Senior Vice President and General Manager PC Products	
		13	

Name	Age	Position
Russell J. Knittel	54	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Administrative Officer, Secretary, and Treasurer
Shawn P. Day, Ph.D.	38	Vice President of Research and Development
David T. McKinnon	57	Vice President of System Silicon
Thomas D. Spade	38	Vice President of Worldwide Sales
William T. Stacy, Ph.D.	62	Vice President of Operations
Jon R. Stone	53	Vice President of Corporate Development
Clark F. Foy	40	Vice President of Marketing

Francis F. Lee has served as a director and the President and Chief Executive Officer of our company since December 1998. He was a consultant from August 1998 to November 1998. From May 1995 until July 1998, Mr. Lee served as General Manager of NSM, a Hong Kongbased joint venture between National Semiconductor Corporation and S. Megga. Mr. Lee held a variety of executive positions for National Semiconductor from 1988 until August 1995. These positions included Vice President of Communication and Computing Group, Vice President of Quality and Reliability, Director of Standard Logic Business Unit, and various other operations and engineering management positions. Mr. Lee holds a Bachelor of Science degree, with honors, in electrical engineering from the University of California at Davis.

Donald E. Kirby has been Senior Vice President and General Manager PC Products of our company since November 2001. He served as the General Manager PC Products and Vice President of Operations of our company from August 1999 until October 2001. From September 1997 to July 1999, Mr. Kirby served as Vice President of Technology Infrastructure and Core Technology Group of National Semiconductor; from January 1997 to August 1997, he served as Director of Strategic Technology Group of National Semiconductor; and from October 1995 to December 1996, he served as Director of Operations/ Co-GM, LAN Division of National Semiconductor. Mr. Kirby holds a patent for a Micro-controller ROM Emulator.

Russell J. Knittel has been Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Administrative Officer, Secretary, and Treasurer of our company since November 2001. He served as the Vice President of Administration and Finance, Chief Financial Officer, and Secretary of our company from April 2000 until October 2001. Mr. Knittel served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Probe Technology Corporation from May 1999 to March 2000. He was a consultant from January 1999 until April 1999. Mr. Knittel was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at Starlight Networks from November 1994 to December 1998. Mr. Knittel holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in accounting from California State University at Fullerton and a Masters of Business Administration from San Jose State University.

Shawn P. Day, Ph.D. has been the Vice President of Research and Development of our company since June 1998. He served as the Director of Software Development of our company from November 1996 until May 1998 and as principal software engineer from August 1995 until October 1996. Mr. Day holds a Bachelor of Science degree and a Doctorate, both in electrical engineering, from the University of British Columbia in Vancouver, Canada.

David T. McKinnon has been the Vice President of System Silicon of our company since September 2001. From May 2000 until September 2001, Mr. McKinnon served as a consultant to start-up companies in the networking IC sector. From April 1998 until April 2000, Mr. McKinnon served as Vice President of Networking Business for Level One Communications. From December 1995 until April 1998, Mr. McKinnon served as the Chief Operating Officer/ Chief Technical Officer of the Japan Business Group of National Semiconductor. Mr. McKinnon holds a Bachelor of Science degree with Honors in Electrical and Electronic Engineering and a Masters in Science, Digital Techniques in Communications & Control from Heriot-Watt University in Edinburgh, Scotland.

Thomas D. Spade has been the Vice President of Worldwide Sales of our company since July 1999. From May 1998 until June 1999, he served as our Director of Sales. From May 1996 until April 1998, Mr. Spade was the

Director of International Sales for Alliance Semiconductor. Mr. Spade previously has held additional sales and management positions at Alliance Semiconductor, Anthem Electronics, Arrow Electronics, and Andersen Consulting. Mr. Spade holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics and management from Albion College.

William T. Stacy, Ph.D. has been the Vice President of Operations of our company since October 2001. From August 1992 to June 2001, Mr. Stacy held a number of business management positions in the Data Management and Analog Groups of National Semiconductor. Most recently, from April 1999 until June 2001, he was Vice President of the Wireless Division. Prior to joining National Semiconductor, he held a series of operational and business management positions at Philips Semiconductors. He started his career in Philips Research Laboratories in Eindhoven, where he worked on magnetic and semiconducting device structures. Mr. Stacy holds a Bachelor of Science degree in physics and mathematics from Oregon State University and a Masters and Ph.D. degree in physics from the University of Illinois.

Jon R. Stone has been Vice President of Corporate Development of our company since January 2003. Immediately prior to joining our company, Mr. Stone was an independent strategic advisor and investment banker to emerging growth companies. From 1984 to 1994, Mr. Stone was with the Sprout Group, then the venture capital affiliate of Donaldson Lufkin Jenrette (now Credit Suisse First Boston), serving as a general partner from 1987 to 1994. Previously, Mr. Stone served in various management positions with the Telxon Corporation (which was acquired by Symbol Technologies), General Foods Corporation, and Warner Communications. Mr. Stone holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in history and economics from Brandeis University, a Masters of Business Administration in Finance and Accounting from Columbia University, and a Masters degree in Religious Studies from Stanford University.

Clark F. Foy has been Vice President of Marketing of our company since March 2003. Mr. Foy was the Vice President of Product Marketing for the Optical Storage Group of Oak Technology, Inc. from January 2002 to February 2003. Mr. Foy served as Vice President of Marketing at Gadzoox Networks, a provider of networking infrastructure products from June 2000 to January 2002. Mr. Foy has also held various management positions at Quantum Corporation and Compaq Computer Corporation. Mr. Foy holds a Bachelor's Degree in Business Administration from Miami University, and a Masters of Management from Northwestern University's Kellogg Graduate School of Management.

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following factors, together with all the other information included in this report, in evaluating our company and our business.

We currently depend on TouchPad and TouchStyk products, and the notebook computer market, for our revenue, and a downturn in these products or market could have a more disproportionate impact on our revenue than if we were more diversified.

Historically, we derived a substantial portion of our revenue from the sale of our TouchPad and TouchStyk products for notebook computers. While our long-term objective is to derive revenue from multiple interface solutions for both the notebook computer market and the iAppliance and other electronic device markets, we anticipate that sales of our TouchPads and TouchStyks for notebooks will continue to represent the most substantial portion of our revenue, at least in the near term. The PC market as a whole has experienced a slowdown in growth. A continuing or accelerating softening in the demand in the notebook portion of the PC market or the level of our participation in that market would cause our business, financial condition, and results of operations to suffer more than they would have if we offered a more diversified line of products.

Our emerging interface business for iAppliances and other electronic devices may not be successful.

Our emerging interface business for iAppliances and other electronic devices faces many uncertainties. Our inability to address these uncertainties successfully and to become a leading supplier of interfaces to these markets would result in a slower growth rate than we currently anticipate. We do not know whether our user interface solutions for these markets will gain market acceptance or will ever result in a substantial portion of our revenue on a consistent basis. The failure to succeed in these markets would result in no return on the substantial investments we have made to date and plan to make in the future to penetrate these markets.

Various target markets for our interfaces in these markets, such as those for PDAs, smart phones, MP3 players, smart handheld devices, web terminals, Internet appliances, and interactive games and toys, are uncertain, may develop slower than anticipated, or could utilize competing technologies. The market for certain of these products depends in part upon the development and deployment of wireless and other technologies, which may or may not address the needs of users of these new products.

Our ability to generate significant revenue from the iAppliance and other electronic device markets will depend on various factors, including the following:

- the development and growth of these markets;
- the ability of our technologies and product solutions to address the needs of these markets, the requirements of OEMs, and the preferences of end users; and
- our ability to provide OEMs with interface solutions that provide advantages in terms of size, power consumption, reliability, durability, performance, and value-added features compared to alternative solutions.

Many manufacturers of these products have well-established relationships with competitive suppliers. Penetrating these markets will require us to offer better performance alternatives to existing solutions at competitive costs. We do not have a significant backlog of orders for our interface solutions to be incorporated in products in these markets. The revenue and income potential from these markets is unproven. The failure of any of these target markets to develop as we expect, or our failure to penetrate these markets, will impede our anticipated sales growth and could result in substantially reduced earnings from those we anticipate. These markets accounted for approximately 16% of our revenue in fiscal 2004, up from 7% in fiscal 2003. We cannot predict the size or growth rate of these markets or the market share we will achieve in these markets in the future.

If our emerging Spiral solutions are not commercially accepted, our revenue growth will be negatively impacted.

Our emerging Spiral solutions have no established track record. The failure to incorporate this technology successfully into our customers' products as the interface of choice would adversely affect our revenue growth. To succeed, we must help potential customers recognize the performance advantages of our solutions. The ability to produce these new products in sufficient quantities and the revenue and income potential of our new solutions are unproven.

Our historical financial information is based on sales of interface solutions to the notebook computer market and may not be indicative of our future performance in other markets.

Our historical financial information primarily reflects the sale of interface solutions for notebook computers. While we expect sales of our interface solutions for notebook computers to continue to generate a substantial percentage of our revenue, we expect to derive an increasing percentage of our revenue from sales of our product solutions for additional markets, including iAppliances and other electronic devices. We do not have a long operating history in these markets upon which you can evaluate our prospects, which may make it difficult to predict our actual results in future periods. Actual results of our future operations may differ materially from our anticipated results.

The products of our customers may not achieve market acceptance, particularly in the case of iAppliances and other electronic devices, and our sales will decline if sales of those products do not develop or decline.

We do not sell any products to end users. Instead, we design various interface solutions that our OEM customers incorporate into their products. As a result, our success depends almost entirely upon the widespread market acceptance of our customers' products. We do not control or influence the manufacture, promotion, distribution, or pricing of the products that incorporate our interface solutions. Instead, we depend on our customers to manufacture and distribute products incorporating our interface solutions and to generate consumer demand through marketing and promotional activities. Even if our technologies successfully meet our customers' price and performance goals, our sales would decline or fail to develop if our customers do not achieve commercial success in selling their products that incorporate our interface solutions.

Our customer base historically has consisted primarily of major U.S.-based OEMs that sell notebook computers worldwide. During fiscal 2002, we began to ship products to many of the Japan-based OEMs. Competitive advances by Japan-based OEMs, which do not utilize our interface solutions broadly in their product offerings, at the expense of our longer-term U.S.-based OEM customers could result in lost sales opportunities for our customers. Any significant slowdown in the demand for our customers' products or the failure in the marketplace of new products of our customers would adversely affect the demand for our interface solutions and our future sales would decline.

If we fail to maintain and build relationships with our customers and do not continue to satisfy our customers, we may lose future sales and our revenue may stagnate or decline.

Because our success depends on the widespread market acceptance of our customers' products, we must continue to maintain our relationships with the leading notebook computer OEMs. In addition, we must identify areas of significant growth potential in other markets, establish relationships with OEMs in those markets, and assist those OEMs in developing products that use our interface technologies. Our failure to identify potential growth opportunities, particularly in new markets, or establish and maintain relationships with OEMs in those markets, would prevent our business from growing in those markets.

Our ability to meet the expectations of our customers requires us to provide innovative interface solutions for customers on a timely and cost-effective basis and to maintain customer satisfaction with our interface solutions. We must match our design and production capacity with customer demand, maintain satisfactory delivery schedules, and meet performance goals. If we are unable to achieve these goals for any reason, our customers could reduce their purchases from us and our sales would decline or fail to develop.

Our customer relationships also can be affected by factors affecting our customers that are unrelated to our performance. These factors can include a myriad of situations, including business reversals of customers, determinations by customers to change their product mix or abandon business segments, or mergers, consolidations, or acquisitions involving our customers, such as the recent combination of Compaq and Hewlett-Packard.

In fiscal 2004, two customers accounted for an aggregate of 35% of our sales, and the loss of sales to either of those companies could harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Sales to two companies that provide manufacturing services for major notebook computer OEMs accounted for an aggregate of 35% of our net revenue during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, and three companies accounted for an aggregate of 30% of our net revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003. These companies are Inventec and Compal in fiscal 2004 and Inventec, Shanghai Yi Hsin, and Foxconn in fiscal 2003. Additionally, receivables from Inventec and Compal comprised a total of 41% of our accounts receivable at June 30, 2004.

These contract manufacturers serve our OEM customers. Any material delay, cancellation, or reduction of orders from any one or more of these contract manufacturers or the OEMs they serve could harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations. The adverse effect would be more substantial if our other customers in the notebook computer industry do not increase their orders or if we are unsuccessful in generating orders for interface solutions in other markets, including iAppliances and other electronic devices, from existing or new customers. Many of these contract manufacturers sell to the same OEMs, and therefore our concentration with certain OEMs may be higher than with any individual contract manufacturer. Concentration in our customer base may make fluctuations in revenue and earnings more severe and make business planning more difficult.

Our revenue may decline if customers for which we are sole source providers seek alternative sources of supply.

We serve as the sole source provider for some of our customers. Those customers may choose to reduce their dependence on us by seeking second sources of supply, which could reduce our revenue. To remain a sole source provider, we must continue to demonstrate to our customers that we have adequate alternate sources for components, that we maintain adequate alternatives for production, and that we can deliver high value added products on a timely basis.

We rely on others for our production, and any interruptions of these arrangements could disrupt our ability to fill our customers' orders.

We outsource through contract manufacturers for all of our production requirements. The majority of our manufacturing is conducted in China, Hong Kong, Thailand, and Taiwan by manufacturing subcontractors that also perform services for numerous other companies. We do not have a guaranteed level of production capacity. Qualifying new manufacturing subcontractors, and specifically semiconductor foundries, is time-consuming and might result in unforeseen manufacturing and operations problems. The loss of our relationships with our manufacturing subcontractors or assemblers or their inability to conduct their manufacturing and assembly services for us as anticipated in terms of cost, quality, and timeliness could adversely affect our ability to fill customer orders in accordance with required delivery, quality, and performance requirements. If this were to occur, the resulting decline in revenue would harm our business.

We depend on third parties to maintain satisfactory manufacturing yields and delivery schedules, and their inability to do so could increase our costs, disrupt our supply chain, and result in our inability to deliver our products, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

We depend on our manufacturing subcontractors to maintain high levels of productivity and satisfactory delivery schedules at manufacturing and assembly facilities in China, Hong Kong, Thailand, and Taiwan. We provide our manufacturing subcontractors with sixmonth rolling forecasts of our production requirements. We do not, however, have long-term agreements with any of our manufacturing subcontractors that guarantee production capacity, prices, lead times, or delivery schedules. Our manufacturing subcontractors serve other customers, a number of which have greater production requirements than we do. As a result, our manufacturing subcontractors could determine to prioritize production capacity for other customers or reduce or eliminate deliveries to us on short notice. At times, we have experienced lower than anticipated manufacturing yields and lengthening of delivery

schedules. Lower than expected manufacturing yields could increase our costs or disrupt our supplies. We may encounter lower manufacturing yields and longer delivery schedules in commencing volume production of our new products. Any of these problems could result in our inability to deliver our product solutions in a timely manner and adversely affect our operating results.

Shortages of components and materials may delay or reduce our sales and increase our costs, thereby harming our results of operations.

The inability to obtain sufficient quantities of components and other materials necessary for the production of our products could result in reduced or delayed sales or lost orders. Any delay in or loss of sales could adversely impact our operating results. Many of the materials used in the production of our products are available only from a limited number of foreign suppliers, particularly suppliers located in Asia. In most cases, neither we nor our manufacturing subcontractors have long-term supply contracts with these suppliers. As a result, we are subject to economic instability in these Asian countries as well as to increased costs, supply interruptions, and difficulties in obtaining materials. Our customers also may encounter difficulties or increased costs in obtaining the materials necessary to produce their products into which our product solutions are incorporated.

From time to time, materials and components used in our product solutions or in other aspects of our customers' products have been subject to allocation because of shortages of these materials and components. During portions of fiscal 2000 and 2001, limited manufacturing capacity for ASICs resulted in significant cost increases of our ASICs. Similar shortages in the future could cause delayed shipments, customer dissatisfaction, and lower revenue.

We are subject to lengthy development periods and product acceptance cycles, which can result in development and engineering costs without any future revenue.

We provide interface solutions that are incorporated by OEMs into the products they sell. OEMs make the determination during their product development programs whether to incorporate our interface solutions or pursue other alternatives. This process requires us to make significant investments of time and resources in the custom design of interface solutions well before our customers introduce their products incorporating these interfaces and before we can be sure that we will generate any significant sales to our customers or even recover our investment. During a customer's entire product development process, we face the risk that our interfaces will fail to meet our customer's technical, performance, or cost requirements or that our products will be replaced by competitive products or alternative technological solutions. Even if we complete our design process in a manner satisfactory to our customer, the customer may delay or terminate its product development efforts. The occurrence of any of these events could cause sales to not materialize, to be deferred, or to be cancelled, which would adversely affect our operating results.

We do not have long-term purchase commitments from our customers, and their ability to cancel, reduce, or delay orders could reduce our revenue and increase our costs.

Our customers do not provide us with firm, long-term volume purchase commitments, but instead issue purchase orders. As a result, customers can cancel purchase orders or reduce or delay orders at any time. The cancellation, delay, or reduction of customer purchase orders could result in reduced revenue, excess inventory, and unabsorbed overhead. Most of our sales to date have been in the notebook computer market, and we expect an increasing portion of our sales will be in the iAppliance and other electronic devices markets. All of these markets are subject to severe competitive pressures, rapid technological change, and product obsolescence, which increase our inventory and overhead risks, resulting in increased costs.

We face intense competition that could result in our losing or failing to gain market share and suffering reduced revenue.

We serve intensely competitive markets that are characterized by price erosion, rapid technological change, and competition from major domestic and international companies. This intense competition could result in pricing pressures, lower sales, reduced margins, and lower market share. Any movement away from high-quality, custom designed, feature rich interface solutions to lower priced alternatives would adversely affect our business. Some of our competitors, particularly in the markets for iAppliances and other electronic devices, have greater market

recognition, larger customer bases, and substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution, and other resources than we possess and that afford them competitive advantages. As a result, they may be able to devote greater resources to the promotion and sale of products, to negotiate lower prices for raw materials and components, to deliver competitive products at lower prices, and to introduce new product solutions and respond to customer requirements more quickly than we can. Our competitive position could suffer if one or more of our customers decide to design and manufacture their own interfaces, to contract with our competitors, or to use alternative technologies.

Our ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, both within and outside our control. These factors include the following:

- our success in designing and introducing new interface solutions, including those implementing new technologies;
- our ability to predict the evolving needs of our customers and to assist them in incorporating our technologies into their new products;
- our ability to meet our customer's requirements for low power consumption, ease of use, reliability, durability, and small form factor;
- the quality of our customer services;
- the rate at which customers incorporate our interface solutions into their own products;
- product or technology introductions by our competitors; and
- foreign currency fluctuations, which may cause a foreign competitor's products to be priced significantly lower than our product solutions.

If we do not keep pace with technological innovations, our products may not be competitive and our revenue and operating results may suffer.

We operate in rapidly changing markets. Technological advances, the introduction of new products, and new design techniques could adversely affect our business unless we are able to adapt to the changing conditions. Technological advances could render our solutions obsolete, and we may not be able to respond effectively to the technological requirements of evolving markets. As a result, we will be required to expend substantial funds for and commit significant resources to

- continue research and development activities on existing and potential interface solutions,
- · hire additional engineering and other technical personnel, and
- purchase advanced design tools and test equipment.

Our business could be harmed if we are unable to develop and utilize new technologies that address the needs of our customers, or our competitors or customers do so more effectively than we do.

Our efforts to develop new technologies may not result in commercial success, which could cause a decline in our revenue and could harm our business.

Our research and development efforts with respect to new technologies may not result in customer or market acceptance. Some or all of those technologies may not successfully make the transition from the research and development lab to cost-effective production as a result of technology problems, competitive cost issues, yield problems, and other factors. Even when we successfully complete a research and development effort with respect to a particular technology, our customers may decide not to introduce or may terminate products utilizing the technology for a variety of reasons, including the following:

- difficulties with other suppliers of components for the products,
- superior technologies developed by our competitors and unfavorable comparisons of our solutions with these technologies,
- · price considerations, and
- lack of anticipated or actual market demand for the products.

The nature of our business requires us to make continuing investments for new technologies. Significant expenses relating to one or more new technologies that ultimately prove to be unsuccessful for any reason could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, any investments or acquisitions made to enhance our technologies may prove to be unsuccessful. If our efforts are unsuccessful, our business could be harmed.

We may not be able to enhance our existing product solutions and develop new product solutions in a timely manner.

Our future operating results will depend to a significant extent on our ability to continue to provide new interface solutions that compare favorably with alternative solutions on the basis of time to introduction, cost, and performance. Our success in maintaining existing and attracting new customers and developing new business depends on various factors, including the following:

- innovative development of new solutions for customer products,
- utilization of advances in technology,
- maintenance of quality standards,
- · efficient and cost-effective solutions, and
- timely completion of the design and introduction of new interface solutions.

Our inability to enhance our existing product solutions and develop new product solutions on a timely basis could harm our operating results and impede our growth.

A technologically new interface solution that achieves significant market share could harm our business.

Our interface solutions are designed to integrate touch, handwriting, and vision capabilities. New computing and communications devices could be developed that call for a different interface solution. Existing devices also could be modified to allow for a different interface solution. Our business could be harmed if our products become noncompetitive as a result of a technological breakthrough that allows a new interface solution to displace our solutions and achieve significant market acceptance.

International sales and manufacturing risks could adversely affect our operating results.

Our manufacturing and assembly operations are conducted in China, Thailand, Hong Kong, and Taiwan by manufacturing contractors, and we have other operations in Hong Kong, Japan, Taiwan, China, and the United Kingdom. These international operations expose us to various economic, political, and other risks that could adversely affect our operations and operating results, including the following:

- difficulties and costs of staffing and managing a multi-national organization,
- unexpected changes in regulatory requirements,
- differing labor regulations,
- potentially adverse tax consequences,

- tariffs and duties and other trade barrier restrictions,
- possible employee turnover or labor unrest,
- greater difficulty in collecting accounts receivable,
- the burdens and costs of compliance with a variety of foreign laws,
- potentially reduced protection for intellectual property rights, and
- political or economic instability in certain parts of the world.

The risks associated with international operations could negatively affect our operating results.

Our business may suffer if international trade is hindered, disrupted, or economically disadvantaged.

Political and economic conditions abroad may adversely affect the foreign production and sale of our products. Protectionist trade legislation in either the United States or foreign countries, such as a change in the current tariff structures, export or import compliance laws, or other trade policies, could adversely affect our ability to sell interface solutions in foreign markets and to obtain materials or equipment from foreign suppliers.

Changes in policies by the U.S. or foreign governments resulting in, among other things, higher taxation, currency conversion limitations, restrictions on the transfer of funds, or the expropriation of private enterprises also could have a material adverse effect on us. Any actions by countries in which we conduct business to reverse policies that encourage foreign investment or foreign trade also could adversely affect our operating results. In addition, U.S. trade policies, such as "most favored nation" status and trade preferences for certain Asian nations, could affect the attractiveness of our services to our U.S. customers and adversely impact our operating results.

Our operating results could be adversely affected by fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar against foreign currencies.

We transact business predominantly in U.S. dollars and bill and collect our sales in U.S. dollars. A weakening of the dollar could cause our overseas vendors to require renegotiation of the prices we pay for their goods and services. In the future, customers may make payments in non-U.S. currencies. In addition, a portion of our costs, such as payroll, rent, and indirect operating costs, are denominated in non-U.S. currencies, including British pounds, Hong Kong dollars, Japanese Yen, Chinese Yuan, and Taiwan dollars.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could affect our cost of goods and operating margins and could result in exchange losses. In addition, currency devaluation can result in a loss to us if we hold deposits of that currency. Hedging foreign currencies can be difficult, especially if the currency is not freely traded. We cannot predict the impact of future exchange rate fluctuations on our operating results.

A majority of our outsourced operations are located in Taiwan, Hong Kong, and China, increasing the risk that a natural disaster, labor strike, war, or political unrest in those countries would disrupt our operations.

A majority of our outsourced operations are located in Taiwan, Hong Kong, and China. Events out of our control, such as earthquakes, fires, floods, or other natural disasters or political unrest, war, labor strikes, or work stoppages, in these countries would disrupt our operations. The risk of earthquakes in Taiwan is significant because of its proximity to major earthquake fault lines. An earthquake, such as the one that occurred in Taiwan in September 1999, could cause significant delays in shipments of our product solutions until we are able to shift our outsourced operations. In addition, there is political tension between Taiwan and China, which could lead to hostilities. If any of these events occur, we may not be able to obtain alternative capacity. Failure to secure alternative capacity could cause a delay in the shipment of our product solutions, which would cause our revenue to fluctuate or decline.

Variability of customer requirements resulting in cancellations, reductions, or delays may adversely affect our operating results.

OEM suppliers must provide increasingly rapid product turnaround and respond to ever-shorter lead times. A variety of conditions, both specific to individual customers and generally affecting the demand for their products, may cause customers to cancel, reduce, or delay orders. Cancellations, reductions, or delays by a significant customer or by a group of customers could adversely affect our operating results. On occasion, customers require rapid increases in production, which can strain our resources and reduce our margins. Although we have been able to obtain increased production capacity from our third-party manufacturers, we may be unable to do so at any given time to meet our customers' demands if their demands exceed anticipated levels.

Our operating results may experience significant fluctuations that could result in a decline in the price of our stock.

In addition to the variability resulting from the short-term nature of our customers' commitments, other factors contribute to significant periodic and seasonal quarterly fluctuations in our results of operations. These factors include the following:

- the cyclicality of the markets we serve;
- the timing and size of orders;
- the volume of orders relative to our capacity;
- product introductions and market acceptance of new products or new generations of products;
- evolution in the life cycles of our customers' products;
- timing of expenses in anticipation of future orders;
- changes in product mix, including the percentage of dual pointing and single pointing products shipped;
- availability of manufacturing and assembly services;
- changes in cost and availability of labor and components;
- timely delivery of product solutions to customers;
- pricing and availability of competitive products;
- · pressures on gross margins;
- the absolute and relative levels of corporate enterprise and consumer notebook purchases; and
- changes in economic conditions.

Accordingly, you should not rely on period-to-period comparisons as an indicator of our future performance. Fluctuations in our operating results may result in a decline in the price of our stock.

If we fail to effectively manage our growth, our infrastructure, management, and resources could be strained, our ability to effectively manage our business could be diminished, and our operating results could suffer.

The failure to manage our growth effectively could strain our resources, which would impede our ability to increase revenue. We have increased the number of our interface solutions and plan to expand further the number and diversity of our solutions and their use in the future. Our ability to manage our planned diversification and growth effectively will require us to

• successfully hire, train, retain, and motivate additional employees;

- enhance our operational, financial, and management systems; and
- expand our production capacity.

As we expand and diversify our product and customer base, we may be required to increase our overhead and selling expenses. We also may be required to increase staffing and other expenditures, including expenses in order to meet the anticipated demand of our customers. Our customers, however, do not commit to firm production schedules for more than a short time in advance. Any increase in expenses in anticipation of future orders that do not materialize would adversely affect our profitability. Our customers also may require rapid increases in design and production services that place an excessive short-term burden on our resources and the resources of our third-party manufacturers. If we cannot manage our growth effectively, our business and results of operations could suffer.

We depend on key personnel who would be difficult to replace and our business will likely be harmed if we lose their services or cannot hire additional qualified personnel.

Our success depends substantially on the efforts and abilities of our senior management and technical personnel. The competition for qualified management and technical personnel, especially engineers, is intense. Although we maintain noncompetition and nondisclosure covenants with most of our key personnel, we do not have employment agreements with most of them. The loss of services of one or more of our key employees or the inability to hire, train, and retain key personnel, especially engineers and technical support personnel, could delay the development and sale of our products, disrupt our business, and interfere with our ability to execute our business plan.

Our inability to protect our intellectual property could impair our competitive advantage, reduce our revenue, and increase our costs.

Our success and ability to compete depend in part on our ability to maintain the proprietary aspects of our technologies and products. We rely on a combination of patents, copyrights, trade secrets, trademarks, confidentiality agreements, and other contractual provisions to protect our intellectual property, but these measures may provide only limited protection. We license from third parties certain technology used in and for our products. These third-party licenses are granted with restrictions, and there can be no assurances that such third-party technology will remain available to us on terms beneficial to us. Our failure to enforce and protect our intellectual property rights or obtain from third parties the right to use necessary technology could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary rights as fully as do the laws of the United States.

Patents may not issue from the patent applications that we have filed or may file in the future. Our issued patents may be challenged, invalidated, or circumvented, and claims of our patents may not be of sufficient scope or strength, or issued in the proper geographic regions, to provide meaningful protection or any commercial advantage. We have not applied for, and do not have, any copyright registration on our technologies or products. We have applied to register certain of our trademarks in the United States and other countries. There can be no assurances that we will obtain registrations of principle or other trademarks in key markets. Failure to obtain registrations could compromise our ability to protect fully our trademarks and brands and could increase the risk of challenge from third parties to our use of our trademarks and brands.

We do not consistently rely on written agreements with our customers, suppliers, manufacturers, and other recipients of our technologies and products, and therefore some trade secret protection may be lost and our ability to enforce our intellectual property rights may be limited. Additionally, our customers, suppliers, manufacturers, and other recipients of our technologies and products may seek to use our technologies and products without appropriate limitations. In the past, we did not consistently require our employees and consultants to enter into confidentiality agreements, employment agreements, or proprietary information and invention assignment agreements. Therefore, our former employees and consultants may try to claim some ownership interest in our technologies and products and may use our technologies and products competitively and without appropriate limitations.

We may be required to incur substantial expenses and divert management attention and resources in defending intellectual property litigation against us.

We may receive notices from third parties that claim our products infringe their rights. From time to time, we receive notice from third parties of the intellectual property rights such parties have obtained. We cannot be certain that our technologies and products do not and will not infringe issued patents or other proprietary rights of others. While we are not currently subject to any infringement claim, any future claim, with or without merit, could result in significant litigation costs and diversion of resources, including the attention of management, and could require us to enter into royalty and licensing agreements, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business. There can be no assurance that such licenses could be obtained on commercially reasonable terms, if at all, or that the terms of any offered licenses would be acceptable to us. If forced to cease using such technology, there can be no assurance that we would be able to develop or obtain alternate technology. Accordingly, an adverse determination in a judicial or administrative proceeding or failure to obtain necessary licenses could prevent us from manufacturing, using, or selling certain of our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Furthermore, parties making such claims could secure a judgment awarding substantial damages, as well as injunctive or other equitable relief that could effectively block our ability to make, use, or sell our products in the United States or abroad. Such a judgment could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. In addition, we are obligated under certain agreements to indemnify the other party in connection with infringement by us of the proprietary rights of third parties. In the event we are required to indemnify parties under these agreements, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We may incur substantial expenses and divert management resources in prosecuting others for their unauthorized use of our intellectual property rights.

The markets in which we compete are characterized by frequent litigation regarding patents and other intellectual property rights. Other companies, including our competitors, may develop technologies that are similar or superior to our technologies, duplicate our technologies, or design around our patents and may have or obtain patents or other proprietary rights that would prevent, limit, or interfere with our ability to make, use, or sell our products. Effective intellectual property protection may be unavailable or limited in some foreign countries, such as China and Taiwan, in which we operate. Unauthorized parties may attempt to copy or otherwise use aspects of our technologies and products that we regard as proprietary. There can be no assurance that our means of protecting our proprietary rights in the United States or abroad will be adequate or that competitors will not independently develop similar technologies. If our intellectual property protection is insufficient to protect our intellectual property rights, we could face increased competition in the market for our technologies and products.

Should any of our competitors file patent applications or obtain patents that claim inventions also claimed by us, we may choose to participate in an interference proceeding to determine the right to a patent for these inventions because our business would be harmed if we fail to enforce and protect our intellectual property rights. Even if the outcome is favorable, this proceeding could result in substantial cost to us and disrupt our business.

In the future, we also may need to file lawsuits to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, or to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. This litigation, whether successful or unsuccessful, could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

If we become subject to product returns and product liability claims resulting from defects in our products, we may fail to achieve market acceptance of our products and our business could be harmed.

We develop complex products in an evolving marketplace. Despite testing by us and our customers, defects may be found in existing or new products. In fiscal 2001, a manufacturing error of one of our manufacturing subcontractors was discovered. Although the error was promptly discovered without significant interruption of supply and the manufacturing subcontractor rectified the problem at its own cost, any such manufacturing errors or product defects could result in a delay in recognition or loss of revenue, loss of market share, or failure to achieve market acceptance. Additionally, these defects could result in financial or other damages to our customers; cause us

to incur significant warranty, support, and repair costs; and divert the attention of our engineering personnel from our product development efforts. In such circumstances, our customers could also seek and obtain damages from us for their losses. A product liability claim brought against us, even if unsuccessful, would likely be time-consuming and costly to defend. The occurrence of these problems would likely harm our business.

Potential strategic alliances may not achieve their objectives, and the failure to do so could impede our growth.

We anticipate that we will continue to enter into various additional strategic alliances. Among other matters, we continually explore strategic alliances designed to enhance or complement our technology or to work in conjunction with our technology; to provide necessary know-how, components, or supplies; and to develop, introduce, and distribute products utilizing our technology. Any strategic alliances may not achieve their intended objectives, and parties to our strategic alliances may not perform as contemplated. The failure of these alliances may impede our ability to introduce new products and enter new markets.

Any acquisitions that we undertake could be difficult to integrate, disrupt our business, dilute stockholder value, and harm our operating results.

We expect to review opportunities to acquire other businesses and technologies that would complement our current interface solutions, expand the breadth of our markets, enhance our technical capabilities, or otherwise offer growth opportunities. While we have no current definitive agreements underway, we may acquire businesses, products, or technologies in the future. If we make any future acquisitions, we could issue stock that would dilute existing stockholders' percentage ownership, incur substantial debt, or assume contingent liabilities. Our experience in acquiring other businesses and technologies is limited. Potential acquisitions also involve numerous risks, including the following:

- problems assimilating the purchased operations, technologies, or products;
- unanticipated costs associated with the acquisition;
- diversion of management's attention from our core businesses;
- adverse effects on existing business relationships with suppliers and customers;
- · risks associated with entering markets in which we have little or no prior experience; and
- potential loss of key employees of purchased organizations.

We cannot assure you that we would be successful in overcoming problems encountered in connection with any acquisitions, and our inability to do so could disrupt our operations and adversely affect our business.

The PC and electronics industries are cyclical and may result in fluctuations in our operating results and stock price.

The PC and electronics industries have experienced significant economic downturns at various times. These downturns are characterized by diminished product demand, accelerated erosion of average selling prices, and production overcapacity. In addition, the PC and electronics industries are cyclical in nature. We seek to reduce our exposure to industry downturns and cyclicality by providing design and production services for leading companies in rapidly expanding industry segments. We may, however, experience substantial period-to-period fluctuations in future operating results because of general industry conditions or events occurring in the general economy.

Legislation affecting the markets in which we compete could adversely affect our ability to implement our iAppliance strategy.

Our ability to expand our business may be adversely impacted by future laws or regulations. Our customers' products may be subject to laws relating to communications, encryption technology, electronic

commerce, e-signatures, and privacy. Any of these laws could be expensive to comply with, and the marketability of our products could be adversely affected.

We must finance the growth of our business and the development of new products, which could have an adverse effect on our operating results.

To remain competitive, we must continue to make significant investments in research and development, marketing, and business development. Our failure to increase sufficiently our net sales to offset these increased costs would adversely affect our operating results.

From time to time, we may seek additional equity or debt financing to provide for funds required to expand our business. We cannot predict the timing or amount of any such requirements at this time. If such financing is not available on satisfactory terms, we may be unable to expand our business or to develop new business at the rate desired and our operating results may suffer. Debt financing increases expenses and must be repaid regardless of operating results. Equity financing could result in additional dilution to existing stockholders.

Continuing uncertainty of the U.S. economy may have serious implications for the growth and stability of our business and may negatively affect our stock price.

The revenue growth and profitability of our business depends significantly on the overall demand in the notebook computer market and in the iAppliance and other electronic device markets. Softening demand in these markets caused by ongoing economic uncertainty may result in decreased revenue or earnings levels or growth rates. The U.S. economy has been weak recently and market conditions continue to be challenging, which has resulted in individuals and companies delaying or reducing expenditures. Further delays or reductions in spending could have a material adverse effect on demand for our products, and consequently on our business, financial condition, results of operations, prospects, and stock price.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile.

The trading price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, including the following:

- variations in our quarterly results;
- announcements of technological innovations by us or by our competitors;
- introductions of new products or new pricing policies by us or by our competitors;
- acquisitions or strategic alliances by us or by our competitors;
- recruitment or departure of key personnel;
- the gain or loss of significant orders;
- the gain or loss of significant customers;
- changes in the estimates of our operating performance or changes in recommendations by any securities analysts that follow our stock;
 and
- market conditions in our industry, the industries of our customers, and the economy as a whole.

In addition, stocks of technology companies have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that often have been unrelated or disproportionate to these companies' operating performance. Public announcements by technology companies concerning, among other things, their performance, accounting practices, or legal problems could cause the market price of our common stock to decline regardless of our actual operating performance.

Our charter documents and Delaware law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, and discourage a takeover.

Our certificate of incorporation and the Delaware General Corporation Law contain provisions that may have the effect of making more difficult or delaying attempts by others to obtain control of our company, even when these attempts may be in the best interests of our stockholders. Our certificate of incorporation also authorizes our board of directors, without stockholder approval, to issue one or more series of preferred stock, which could have voting and conversion rights that adversely affect or dilute the voting power of the holders of common stock. Delaware law also imposes conditions on certain business combination transactions with "interested stockholders." Our certificate of incorporation divides our Board of Directors into three classes, with one class to stand for election each year for a three-year term after the initial election. The classification of directors tends to discourage a third party from initiating a proxy solicitation or otherwise attempting to obtain control of our company and may maintain the incumbency of our Board of Directors, as this structure generally increases the difficulty of, or may delay, replacing a majority of directors. Our certificate of incorporation authorizes our Board of Directors to fill vacancies or newly created directorships. A majority of the directors then in office may elect a successor to fill any vacancies or newly created directorships.

Our stockholders' rights plan may adversely affect existing stockholders.

Our Stockholders' Rights Plan may have the effect of deterring, delaying, or preventing a change in control that might otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders. In general, stock purchase rights issued under the Plan become exercisable when a person or group acquires 15% or more of our common stock or a tender offer or exchange offer of 15% or more of our common stock is announced or commenced. After any such event, our other stockholders may purchase additional shares of our common stock at 50% of the then-current market price. The rights will cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire us on terms not approved by our board of directors. The rights should not interfere with any merger or other business combination approved by our board of directors since the rights may be redeemed by us at \$0.01 per stock purchase right at any time before any person or group acquires 15% or more of our outstanding common stock. The rights expire in August 2012.

Sales of large numbers of shares could adversely affect the price of our common stock.

All of the 25,113,208 shares outstanding as of September 1, 2004 are eligible for resale in the public markets. Of these shares, 1,321,422 shares held by affiliates are eligible for resale in the public markets subject to compliance with the volume and manner of sale rules of Rule 144 or 701 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the balance of the shares are eligible for resale in the public markets either as unrestricted shares or pursuant to Rule 144(k). In general, under Rule 144 as currently in effect, any person (or persons whose shares are aggregated for purposes of Rule 144) who beneficially owns restricted securities with respect to which at least one year has elapsed since the later of the date the shares were acquired from us, or from an affiliate of ours, is entitled to sell within any three-month period a number of shares that does not exceed the greater of 1% of the then outstanding shares of our common stock and the average weekly trading volume in common stock during the four calendar weeks preceding such sale. Sales under Rule 144 also are subject to certain manner-of-sale provisions and notice requirements and to the availability of current public information about us. Rule 701, as currently in effect, permits our employees. officers, directors, and consultants who purchase shares pursuant to a written compensatory plan or contract to resell these shares in reliance upon Rule 144, but without compliance with specific restrictions. Rule 701 provides that affiliates may sell their Rule 701 shares under Rule 144 without complying with the holding period requirement and that non-affiliates may sell their shares in reliance on Rule 144 without complying with the holding period, public information, volume limitation, or notice provisions of Rule 144. A person who is not an affiliate, who has not been an affiliate within three months prior to sale, and who beneficially owns restricted securities with respect to which at least two years have elapsed since the later of the date the shares were acquired from us, or from an affiliate of ours, is entitled to sell such shares under Rule 144(k) without regard to any of the volume limitations or other requirements described above. Sales of substantial amounts of common stock in the public market could adversely affect prevailing market prices.

We have also registered an aggregate of \$100,000,000 of common stock and preferred stock for issuance in connection with acquisitions, which shares generally will be freely tradeable after their issuance under Rule 145 of the Securities Act, unless held by an affiliate of the acquired company, in which case such shares will be subject to

the volume and manner of sale restrictions of Rule 144 discussed above. The issuance or subsequent sale of these shares in the public market could adversely affect prevailing market prices.

We have registered for offer and sale the shares of common stock that are reserved for issuance pursuant to our outstanding stock option plans and available for issuance pursuant to the employee stock purchase plan. Shares issued after the effective date of such registration statements upon the exercise of stock options or pursuant to the employee stock purchase plan generally will be eligible for sale in the public market, except that affiliates will continue to be subject to volume limitations and other requirements of Rule 144. The issuance of such shares could depress the market price of our common stock.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our principal executive offices as well as our principal research, development, sales, marketing, and administrative functions are located in a 34,000 square foot leased facility in San Jose, California. The lease extends through May 2005 and provides for an average monthly rental payment of \$54,000. We believe this facility will be adequate to meet our needs through the end of the lease period; however, prior to the end of the lease period, we intend to either negotiate an extension of the existing lease, enter into a new lease at a new location, or purchase a building. Our European headquarters are located in Cambridge, United Kingdom, where we lease approximately 5,600 square feet. We also maintain a 5,000 square foot office in Taiwan, a 4,000 square foot office in Hong Kong, a 1,000 square foot office in Japan, a 750 square foot office in Shanghai, and have a satellite sales and support office in Thailand.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We currently are not involved in any legal proceeding that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information on Common Stock

Our common stock has been listed on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol "SYNA" since January 29, 2002. Prior to that, there was no public market for our common stock. The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the high and low sales prices of our common stock as quoted on the Nasdaq National Market.

	High	Low
Year ended June 30, 2003:		
First quarter	\$ 8.74	\$ 3.52
Second quarter	\$ 9.08	\$ 3.13
Third quarter	\$ 8.60	\$ 5.75
Fourth quarter	\$13.96	\$ 6.55
Year ended June 30, 2004:		
First quarter	\$14.90	\$ 9.23
Second quarter	\$15.94	\$10.41
Third quarter	\$22.42	\$13.32
Fourth quarter	\$21.00	\$14.64

On September 1, 2004, the closing sales price of our common stock on the Nasdaq National Market was \$18.80 per share.

Stockholders

As of September 1, 2004, there were 264 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our preferred stock or our common stock. We currently plan to retain any earnings to finance the growth of our business rather than to pay cash dividends. Payments of any cash dividends in the future will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, and capital requirements as well as other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors.

Our revolving line of credit also places restrictions on the payment of any dividends.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:

Cash and cash equivalents Working capital

Total assets

		_	
Vears	ended	Inne	30

\$ 6,507 \$ 3,766 \$45,491

10,695 12,974 73,318

20,661 27,157 90,381

\$ 41,697

83,815

104,508

\$ 59,489

106,624

132,653

	Years ended June 30,										
		2000		2001*		2002		2003		2004	
		(i	n thou	sands, except	for sha	re and per sha	re data	1)			
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:	Φ.	10 117	Φ.	72 600	Ф	100.001	Φ.	100 701	Φ.	100.076	
Net revenue	\$	43,447	\$	73,698	\$	100,201	\$	100,701	\$	133,276	
Cost of revenue(1)		25,652		50,811		59,016		58,417		77,244	
Gross margin		17,795		22,887		41,185		42,284		56,032	
Operating expenses:											
Research and development(1)		8,386		11,590		16,594		19,837		21,419	
Selling, general, and administrative(1)		7,407		9,106		9,873		10,733		13,571	
Acquired in-process research and development		855									
Amortization of goodwill and other acquired		000									
intangible assets		605		784		134		40			
Amortization of deferred stock compensation		82		597		453		516		517	
Restructuring		02				433		310		432	
Restructuring									_	432	
		17.225		22.077	_	27.054	_	21 126		25.020	
Γotal operating expenses		17,335		22,077		27,054		31,126		35,939	
o		4.60		010		14 101		11 150		20.002	
Operating income		460		810		14,131		11,158		20,093	
Interest income, net		365		180		325		904		833	
Income before income taxes and equity losses		825		990		14,456		12,062		20,926	
Equity in losses of an affiliated company		(2,712)				_					
Provision for income taxes		120		180		5,056		4,344		7,934	
Net income (loss)	\$	(2,007)	\$	810	<u> </u>	9,400	s –	7,718	\$	12,992	
()	_	(=,001)	_			7,		.,,.	_	,	
Net income (loss) per share:											
Basic	\$	(0.38)	\$	0.13	\$	0.70	\$	0.33	\$	0.53	
		(
Diluted	\$	(0.38)	\$	0.04	\$	0.42	\$	0.31	\$	0.48	
		(1111)									
Shares used in computing net income (loss) per share:											
Basic	5	,222,738	,	5,133,866	13	2 522 112	2	3 172 526	2/	1 417 506	
Dasic	J,			0,133,800	13,523,443		۷.	23,472,526		24,417,596	
P.1 1	_	222 520		0.70.404	2	2 4 4 4 5 4	_	7.101 051	-		
Diluted	5,	,222,738	19	9,879,491	22	2,544,461	2:	5,131,864	27	7,107,531	
							_				
* Fiscal year ended June 30, 2001 consisted of 53 week	S.										
(1) Amounts exclude amortization of deferred stock (comp	ensation a	s foll	ows:							
Cost of revenue		\$		\$ 23		\$ 28		\$ 28		\$ 20	
Research and development		·		162		167		159		91	
Selling, general, and administrative		82		412		258		329		406	
sering, general, and administrative											
Amortization of deferred stock compensation		\$82		\$597		\$453		\$516		\$517	
1		_									
								June 30,			
					20	000 2001		2002	2003	2004	
Consolidated Ralance Sheet Data:							(i	n thousands)		

Long-term debt, capital leases, and equipment financing obligations, less current					
portion	1,700	1,829	1,759	1,528	1,500
Total stockholders' equity	11,538	13,754	74,003	86,264	109,140

Amounts for the year ended June 30, 2000 include the results of operations of Synaptics (UK) Limited (formerly Absolute Sensors Limited) from the date of acquisition in October 1999.

We calculated basic net income per share and basic and diluted net loss per share by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period, less weighted shares subject to repurchase. Diluted net income per common share also includes the effect of potentially dilutive securities, including stock options, warrants, and convertible preferred stock, when dilutive.

Our fiscal year ends on the last Saturday in June. For ease of presentation in this report, however, all fiscal years have been shown as ending on June 30. Fiscal year 2001 consisted of 53 weeks. Each of the other years presented consisted of 52 weeks.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements and Factors That May Affect Results

You should read the following discussion and analysis in conjunction with our financial statements and related notes contained elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties, and assumptions. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors, including those set forth under "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report.

Overview

We are a leading worldwide developer and supplier of custom-designed user interface solutions that enable people to interact more easily and intuitively with a wide variety of mobile computing, communications, entertainment, and other electronic devices. From our inception in 1986 through 1994, we were a development stage company, which focused on developing and refining our pattern recognition and capacitive sensing technologies, and generated revenue by providing contract engineering and design services. In fiscal 1996, we began shipping our proprietary TouchPad and are now the world's leading supplier of interface solutions to the notebook computer market and the HDD portable digital music player market. We estimate our market share to be greater than 55% for both notebook computers and HDD portable digital music players for fiscal 2004. We believe our market share results from the combination of our customer focus, the strength of our intellectual property, and our engineering know-how, which allow us to design products that meet the demanding design specifications of OEMs.

In April 2000, we began shipping our initial dual pointing solution for notebook computers, which included third-party products, that enabled PC OEMs to offer end users the combination of both a touch pad and a pointing stick. In January 2001, we achieved our first design win incorporating our proprietary pointing stick solution, TouchStyk, into a dual pointing application for use in a notebook computer and began shipping them in volume in the December 2001 quarter. With the introduction of our TouchStyk, we now offer OEMs the choice of a touch pad, a pointing stick, or a combination of both of our proprietary interface solutions for dual pointing applications.

Demand for our dual pointing solutions results in higher revenue because we are able to sell two interface solutions for each notebook computer. Our initial dual pointing solutions contained a significant percentage of third-party products, which we either resell or license. As a consequence, the gross margin on our dual pointing revenue was initially well below the gross margin we experience from the sale of our proprietary interface solutions and had a significant negative impact on our gross margin in fiscal 2001. The combination of the full implementation of our cost-improvement programs for our dual pointing solutions containing key third-party products, which began in the second half of fiscal 2001, together with our new proprietary dual pointing solutions, which began shipping in December 2001, improved our gross margin in fiscal 2002 compared with our gross margin in fiscal 2001.

We recognize revenue from product sales when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred and title has transferred, the price is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Our revenue increased from \$43.4 million in fiscal 2000 to \$133.3 million in fiscal 2004, a compound annual growth rate of approximately 32%. Through fiscal 2000, we derived all of our product revenue from the

notebook computer market. We began to generate revenue from other markets in fiscal 2001, and revenue from other markets grew to approximately 16% of our total revenue in fiscal 2004.

While we have been awarded design wins by many of the Japanese OEMs of notebook computers, which are currently ordering and receiving products from us, our largest customers are the major U.S.-based OEMs that sell notebook computers worldwide. Adverse conditions in the notebook computer market or a competitive shift from U.S. to Japanese OEMs could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and prospects. We work closely with our customers to design interface solutions to meet their specific requirements and provide both pre-sale custom-design services and post-sale support. During the design phase, we typically do not have any commitment from our customers to pay for our non-recurring engineering costs should the customer decide not to introduce that specific product or choose not to incorporate our interface solution in its products. We believe our focus on customer service and support has allowed us to develop strong customer relationships in the PC market, which we plan to expand in the future, and has provided us with the experience necessary to develop strong customer relationships in the new markets we intend to penetrate.

In June 2003, we acquired NSM Technology Limited, or NSM, a Hong Kong company. The acquisition of NSM provided us with a highly skilled and experienced work force to expand our global presence and infrastructure to support customers in the Asia/Pacific region. Many of our customers are migrating their manufacturing operations from Taiwan to China, and our OEM customers are beginning to establish design centers in that region. With our expanded global presence, including offices in Taiwan, Hong Kong, and China, we are better positioned to provide local sales, operations, and engineering support services to our existing customers, as well as potential new customers, within the Asia/Pacific region.

Our manufacturing operations are based on a variable cost model in which we outsource all of our production requirements, eliminating the need for significant capital expenditures and allowing us to minimize our investment in inventories. This approach requires us to work closely with our manufacturing subcontractors to ensure adequate production capacity to meet our forecasted volume requirements. We provide our manufacturing subcontractors with six-month rolling forecasts and issue purchase orders based on our anticipated requirements for the next 90 days. However, we do not have any long-term supply contracts with any of our manufacturing subcontractors. Currently, we use two third-party manufacturers to provide our proprietary capacitive based ASICs, and in certain cases, we rely on a single source or a limited number of suppliers to provide other key components of our products. Our cost of revenue includes all costs associated with the production of our products, including materials, manufacturing, and assembly costs paid to third-party manufacturers and related overhead costs associated with our manufacturing operations personnel. Additionally, all warranty costs and any inventory provisions or write-downs are charged to cost of revenue.

Our gross margin generally reflects the combination of the added value we bring to our customers' products in meeting their custom design requirements and our ongoing cost-improvement programs. In fiscal 2001, we experienced significant pressure on our gross margin, resulting from the increasing revenue mix of dual pointing solutions containing significant third-party products. We have been successful in implementing cost reductions that have greatly improved the gross margins of these dual pointing solutions. These cost-improvement programs include reducing component costs and implementing design and process improvements. In addition, our gross margin has been positively impacted by shipments of our proprietary dual pointing solutions, which began shipping in volume in our December 2001 quarter. In the future, we plan to introduce additional new products, which may initially negatively impact our gross margin, as was the case with our dual pointing solutions.

Our research and development expenses include expenses related to product development, engineering, materials costs, patent expenses, and the costs incurred to design interface solutions for customers prior to the customers' commitment to incorporate those solutions into their products. These expenses have generally increased, reflecting our continuing commitment to the technological and design innovation required to maintain a leadership position in our existing markets and to develop new technologies for new markets. In fiscal 2000, we significantly increased our research and development expenses as a result of our October 1999 acquisition of Absolute Sensors Limited, or ASL, a company located in Cambridge, United Kingdom, which has been developing inductive pen-sensing technology applicable to new markets we intend to address. Also related to this acquisition was the write-off in fiscal 2000 of acquired in-process research and development of \$855,000 and the amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets related to this acquisition totaled \$753,000 in fiscal 2001. As the result of the July 1, 2001 adoption of Statement of

Financial Accounting Standard No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, we ceased amortizing goodwill and accordingly only recorded amortization of other intangible assets of \$118,000 and \$40,000 in fiscal 2002 and fiscal 2003, respectively. Other intangible assets were fully amortized as of the end of fiscal 2003; thus, we recorded no amortization of intangible assets in fiscal 2004. The carrying value of the remaining goodwill will be reviewed at least annually for impairment.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses include expenses related to sales, marketing, and administrative personnel; internal sales and outside sales representatives' commissions; market research and consulting; and other marketing and sales activities. These expenses have generally increased, reflecting increased staffing, commission expense associated with higher revenue levels, and additional management personnel in anticipation of our continued growth in our existing markets and penetration into new markets. In October 2001, we began replacing outside sales representatives with inside sales personnel for certain customer accounts to facilitate a closer working relationship with those customers. We continue to utilize both inside sales personnel and outside sales representatives and agents. In fiscal 2002 and 2003, we recorded amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets related to the June 1999 acquisition of the employees of a former Taiwanese sales agent of \$31,000 and \$16,000, respectively. These assets were fully amortized as of June 30, 2003.

In connection with the grant of stock options to our employees, we recorded deferred stock compensation of approximately \$2.2 million through fiscal 2001, representing the difference between the deemed fair value of our common stock for financial reporting purposes and the exercise price of these options at the date of grant. Deferred stock compensation is presented as a reduction of stockholders' equity and is amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. Options granted are typically subject to a four-year vesting period. Restricted stock acquired through the exercise of unvested stock options is subject to our right to repurchase the unvested stock at the price paid, which right to repurchase lapses over the vesting period. We also recorded \$1.0 million of deferred compensation related to options granted to consultants through fiscal 2004. We are amortizing the deferred stock compensation over the vesting periods of the applicable options and the repurchase periods for the restricted stock. We recorded amortization of deferred stock compensation of approximately \$453,000, \$516,000, and \$517,000 in fiscal 2002, 2003, and 2004, respectively. The remaining unamortized balance of deferred stock compensation is \$634,000, of which we expect to record amortization expense of approximately \$330,000 in fiscal 2005 and the balance in future years.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory valuation, product warranties, income taxes, intangible assets, and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from product sales when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred and title has transferred, the price is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. We accrue for estimated sales returns and other allowances at the time we recognize revenue, which is typically upon shipment, based on historical experience. Contract revenue for research and development is recorded as earned as the services are provided under the terms of the contract. Non-refundable contract fees for which no further obligations exist, and for which there is no continuing involvement by us, are recognized on the earlier of when the payments are received or when collection is assured.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of customers to meet their financial obligations. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate the collectibility of accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. In circumstances in which we are aware of a specific customer's potential inability to meet its financial obligation, we record a specific reserve of the bad debt against amounts due. In addition, we must make judgments and estimates of the collectibility of accounts receivables based on our historical bad debt experience, customers' creditworthiness, current economic trends, recent changes in customer payment trends, and deterioration in the customers' operating results or financial position. If circumstances change adversely, additional bad debt allowances may be required.

Inventory

We state our inventories at the lower of cost or market. Our assessment of the ultimate realization of inventories is based on our projections of future demand and market conditions. Any sudden decline in demand, rapid product improvements, or technological changes, or any of them, can cause us to have excess or obsolete inventories. On an ongoing basis, we review for estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventories and write down our inventories to their net realizable value based upon our forecasts of future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than our forecasts, additional inventory reserves may be required. Our estimates are influenced by the following considerations: sudden decline in demand due to an economic downturn, rapid product improvements and technological changes, and termination or changes by our OEM customers of any product offerings incorporating our product solutions.

Periodically when a customer's delivery schedule is delayed or a customer's order is cancelled, we purchase inventory from our contract manufacturers. In those circumstances in which we purchase inventory from our contract manufacturers and our customer has cancelled its order, we consider a write-down to reduce the carrying value of the inventory purchased to its net realizable value.

Product Warranties

We provide for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. While we engage in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of our component suppliers, our warranty obligation is affected by product failure rates, materials usage, and service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure. We exercise judgment in determining the estimates underlying our accrued warranty liability. The actual results with regard to warranty expenditures could have a material adverse effect on our operating results if the actual rate of unit failure is greater than what we used in estimating the accrued warranty liability.

Income Taxes

We recognize federal, state, and foreign current tax liabilities or assets based on our estimate of taxes payable or refundable in the current fiscal year by tax jurisdiction. We also recognize federal, state, and foreign deferred tax liabilities or assets for our estimate of future tax effects attributable to temporary differences and carryforwards and record a valuation allowance to reduce any deferred tax assets by the amount of any tax benefits that, based on available evidence and judgment, are not expected to be realized. If our assumptions and consequently our estimates change in the future, the valuation allowance we have established for our deferred tax assets may be changed, which could impact income tax expense. In addition, the calculation of tax liabilities involves significant judgment in estimating the impact of uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws. Resolution of these uncertainties in a manner inconsistent with our expectations could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial condition. We account for income tax contingencies in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies".

Results of Operations

The following table presents our historical operating results for the periods indicated as a percentage of revenue.

	Yea	Years Ended June 30,		
	2002	2003	2004	
Net revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Cost of revenue	58.9%	58.0%	58.0%	
Gross margin	41.1%	42.0%	42.0%	
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	16.6%	19.7%	16.1%	
Selling, general, and administrative	9.8%	10.7%	10.2%	
Amortization of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
Amortization of deferred stock compensation	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	
Restructuring	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	
Total operating expenses	27.0%	30.9%	27.0%	
Operating income	14.1%	11.1%	15.0%	
Interest income	0.5%	1.1%	0.8%	
Interest expense	(0.2)%	(0.2)%	(0.1)%	
•				
Income before provision for income taxes	14.4%	12.0%	15.7%	
Provision for income taxes	5.0%	4.3%	6.0%	
Net income	9.4%	7.7%	9.7%	

Fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 compared with fiscal year ended June 30, 2003

Net Revenue. Net revenue was \$133.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2004 compared with \$100.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2003, an increase of 32.3%. The net increase in revenue was primarily attributable to a more than 50% increase in unit shipments, partially offset by a reduction in overall average unit selling price resulting from a change in product mix and general competitive pricing. Net revenue from dual pointing applications declined to 27% of total net revenue for the year ended June 30, 2004 compared with 39% of total net revenue for the year ended June 30, 2003. The decrease in net revenue from dual pointing applications reflected the continuing shift toward single pointing solutions, driven by the combination of consumer and small business demand for low-priced notebook computers and the impact of competitive solutions in the dual pointing segment of the notebook market. Our non-PC revenue grew to approximately 16% of total revenue for the year ended June 30, 2004 from approximately 7% of total revenue for the year ended June 30, 2003, primarily driven by increased demand for portable digital entertainment devices that utilize our capacitive interface solutions.

Gross Margin. Gross margin as a percentage of revenue was 42.0% for the year ended June 30, 2004, unchanged from the 42.0% for the year ended June 30, 2003. Gross margin as a percentage of revenue was unchanged as the impact of ongoing competitive pricing pressures resulting in lower average selling prices were offset by lower manufacturing costs, driven by the combination of our continuing design and process improvement programs and lower materials and assembly costs.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses decreased as a percentage of revenue to 16.1% from 19.7%, while spending on research and development activities increased 8.0% to \$21.4 million from \$19.8 million for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The increase in research and development spending reflects higher employee compensation costs from increased staffing, our annual performance review process and incentive pay programs, project related expenses, and to a lesser extent, higher patent related expenses, partially offset by lower consulting costs and depreciation charges.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased as a percentage of revenue to 10.2% from 10.7%, while spending on selling, general, and administrative activities increased 26.4% to \$13.6 million from \$10.7 million for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The increase in selling, general, and administrative spending was attributable to higher compensation costs associated

with our annual review process and incentive pay programs, including higher commission expense on higher net revenue, additional expenses related to compliance with new SEC regulations, tax advisory services, and generally higher operating levels.

Amortization of Goodwill and Other Acquired Intangible Assets. In prior years, amortization of other intangible assets related to our October 1999 acquisition of ASL, a company located in Cambridge, United Kingdom. As of June 30, 2003, these other intangible assets were fully amortized. Accordingly, no amortization of other intangible assets was recorded for the year ended June 30, 2004. For the year ended June 30, 2003, we recorded \$40,000 of amortization of other intangible assets.

Amortization of Deferred Stock Compensation. The year ended June 30, 2004 included amortization expense for deferred stock compensation of \$517,000 compared with \$516,000 for the year ended June 30, 2003. The remaining unamortized balance of deferred stock compensation is \$634,000, of which we expect to record amortization expense of approximately \$330,000 in fiscal 2005 and the balance in future years.

Restructuring. In late June 2003, we completed the acquisition of NSM. In connection with the acquisition of NSM, duplicate operational positions were identified at our San Jose and Taiwan locations, resulting in a \$432,000 restructuring charge, consisting primarily of severance costs for terminated employees which occurred in the first quarter of fiscal 2004.

Operating Income. We generated operating income of \$20.1 million, or 15.0% of revenue, for the year ended June 30, 2004 compared with \$11.2 million, or 11.1% of revenue, for the year ended June 30, 2003. As discussed in the preceding paragraphs, the improvement in operating income was primarily a result of the increase in revenue coupled with a reduction of research and development expenses and selling, general, and administrative expenses, as a percentage of revenue.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income was \$833,000 for the year ended June 30, 2004 compared with \$904,000 for the year ended June 30, 2003, resulting from generally lower interest rates partially offset by the benefit of higher average cash balances.

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes for the year ended June 30, 2004 was \$7.9 million compared with \$4.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2003, reflecting the higher pre-tax profit levels. The income tax provision represents estimated federal and state taxes and foreign taxes associated with our operations in the United Kingdom, Taiwan, and Japan for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, and the addition of Hong Kong for the year ended June 30, 2004. The effective tax rates for the years ended June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003 were 37.9% and 36.0%, respectively, and represent a lower percentage than the combined federal and state statutory rate primarily due to the benefit of research and development tax credits and tax exempt interest income.

Fiscal year ended June 30, 2003 compared with fiscal year ended June 30, 2002

Net Revenue. Revenue for the year ended June 30, 2003 was \$100.7 million, which was essentially flat with the \$100.2 million of revenue for the year ended June 30, 2002. Unit shipments increased approximately 20% year over year but were mostly offset by a change in product mix from dual to single pointing solutions, general competitive pricing pressure, and lower non-recurring engineering and patent license fees. While we experienced increases in revenue from our single pointing products and non-PC products, revenue from dual pointing products declined 18%. Revenue from our dual pointing applications was approximately 39% of our revenue for the year ended June 30, 2003 compared with 47% for the year ended June 30, 2002. Revenue growth was impacted by lower overall average selling prices resulting from both the mix change and competitive pricing pressure.

Gross Margin. Gross margin as a percentage of revenue was 42.0% for the year ended June 30, 2003 compared with 41.1% for the year ended June 30, 2002. The improvement in gross margin as a percentage of revenue resulted primarily from cost reductions and changes in product mix, partially offset by general competitive pricing pressures. During fiscal 2003, our ongoing cost-improvement programs resulted in manufacturing efficiencies gained from design and process improvements and lower materials and assembly costs. Increased sales

of our proprietary dual pointing solutions, which have higher gross margin than dual pointing solutions using third-party products, also contributed to the improvement in gross margin as a percentage of sales.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses increased as a percentage of revenue to 19.7% from 16.6% and spending on research and development activities increased 19.5% to \$19.8 million from \$16.6 million for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The major contributors to the increased research and development spending were costs associated with our higher staffing levels, including compensation and facilities-related costs, higher product development activities, which included outside services, and material costs.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased as a percentage of revenue to 10.7% from 9.8% and spending on selling, general, and administrative activities increased 8.7% to \$10.7 million from \$9.9 million for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The increase in selling, general, and administrative spending resulted principally from higher compensation costs associated with increased staffing levels, generally higher operating levels, and additional costs related to our status as a public reporting company, partially offset by lower commissions, primarily resulting from the replacement of outside sales representatives with inside sales personnel for certain customer accounts that we implemented in October 2001.

Amortization of Goodwill and Other Acquired Intangible Assets. Amortization of other intangible assets was related to our October 1999 acquisition of ASL, a company located in Cambridge, United Kingdom. For the year ended June 30, 2003, we recorded amortization of \$40,000 compared with \$134,000 for the year ended June 30, 2002. As of June 30, 2003, these other intangible assets were fully amortized.

Amortization of Deferred Stock Compensation. The year ended June 30, 2003 included amortization expense for deferred stock compensation of \$516,000 compared with \$453,000 for the year ended June 30, 2002.

Operating Income. We generated operating income of \$11.2 million, or 11.1% of revenue, for the year ended June 30, 2003 compared with \$14.1 million, or 14.1% of revenue, for the year ended June 30, 2002. As discussed in preceding paragraphs, the reduction in operating income was primarily a result of the increase in research and development and selling, general, and administrative spending, partially offset by an improvement in gross margins on essentially flat revenue.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income was \$904,000 for the year ended June 30, 2003 compared with \$325,000 for the year ended June 30, 2002. The increase in net interest income reflected higher interest income from higher cash balances, resulting primarily from the investment of our initial public offering proceeds, cash flow from operations, and lower interest expense associated with equipment lease financing arrangements, partially offset by the impact of lower interest rates on invested cash.

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes for the year ended June 30, 2003 was \$4.3 million compared with \$5.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2002, reflecting the lower pre-tax profit levels, partially offset by a slightly higher tax rate. The income tax provision represents the estimated federal and state taxes and the foreign taxes associated with our operations in the United Kingdom, Taiwan, and Japan. The effective tax rate for the year ended June 30, 2003 was approximately 36%, which is lower than the combined federal and state statutory rate primarily due to the benefit of research and development tax credits.

Quarterly Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our unaudited quarterly results of operations for the eight quarters for the two-year period ended June 30, 2004. You should read the following table in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes contained elsewhere in this report. We have prepared this unaudited information on the same basis as our audited financial statements. This table includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, that we consider necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position and operating results for the quarters presented. You should not draw any conclusions about our future results from the results of operations for any quarter.

	Three Months Ended			
	September 30, 2002	December 31, 2002	March 31, 2003	June 30, 2003
		(unaudited) (in thousands)	
Net revenue	\$22,177	\$24,199	\$26,103	\$28,222
Cost of revenue(1)	12,443	13,917	15,385	16,672
Gross margin	9,734	10,282	10,718	11,550
Operating expenses:				
Research and development(1)	5,323	4,812	4,942	4,760
Selling, general, and administrative(1)	2,604	2,621	2,715	2,793
Amortization of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets	30	10	_	
Amortization of deferred stock compensation	110	133	137	136
Restructuring	_	_	_	
Total operating expenses	8,067	7,576	7,794	7,689
Operating income	1,667	2,706	2,924	3,861
Interest and other income, net	238	232	224	210
Income before income taxes	1,905	2,938	3,148	4,071
Provision for income taxes	705	1,093	1,079	1,467
Net income	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,845	\$ 2,069	\$ 2,604
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.11
Diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.10
Shares used in computing net income per share:	, ,,,,,	, ,,,,,	7 0100	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Basic	23,260	23,387	23,537	23,668
				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Diluted	24,840	25,083	25,125	25,902
	2 1,0 10	20,000		== ,> 3=

[Additional columns below]

[Continued from above table, first column(s) repeated]

		Three Months Ended			
	September 30, 2004	December 31, 2004	March 31, 2004	June 30, 2004	
		(unaudited) (in thousands)			
Net revenue	\$29,571	\$34,274	\$34,284	\$35,147	
Cost of revenue(1)	17,426	20,134	19,726	19,958	
Gross margin	12,145	14,140	14,558	15,189	
Operating expenses:					
Research and development(1)	5,096	5,130	5,613	5,580	
Selling, general, and administrative(1)	3,074	3,293	3,452	3,752	
Amortization of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets	_	_	_	_	